Visual performance after conventional LASIK and wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration: results at 1 year
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Abstract

- AIM: To compare visual performance of wavefront-guided laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) with iris-registration (Wg-LASIK group) and conventional LASIK (LASIK group) one year after surgery and analyze the correlation between wavefront aberrations and visual performance.

- METHODS: Eight hundred and fifty-two myopic eyes of 430 patients were enrolled in this prospective study and divided into two groups: Wg-LASIK group (436 eyes) and LASIK group (416 eyes). A Wavescan Wavefront aberrometer was used to analyze Zernike coefficients and the root–mean–square (RMS) of higher order aberrations, and Optec 6500 visual function instrument was used to measure contrast sensitivity (CS) before and 3, 6, 12 months after surgery.

- RESULTS: The mean spherical equivalent (SE) in Wg-LASIK group was significantly better than those in LASIK group one year after surgery (P<0.024). Wg-LASIK eyes showed better CS values than LASIK eyes at all spatial frequencies with and without glare after surgery (Pall<0.01). Moreover, the increase of higher RMS (RMSH), coma, RMS3, RMS4, RMS5 in Wg-LASIK group were significantly lower than those in LASIK group 1 year after surgery (Pall<0.05). The increase of coma, spherical aberration (SA), RMS3 and RMS4 in Wg-LASIK and coma and RMS3 in LASIK group were negatively correlated with reduction of contrast sensitivity 1 year after surgery.

- CONCLUSION: A significant better visual performance is got in Wg-LASIK group compared with LASIK group 1 year after surgery, and the Wg-LASIK is particularly suitable for eyes with high-magnitude RMSH.
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INTRODUCTION

Although conventional laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) has become an efficient and safe way in reducing spherocylindrical refractive errors, there are concerns about a decrement of visual performance after the refractive surgery, such as glare and halos under dim conditions and poor night vision despite the fact that visual acuity has been improved [1-5]. Recent studies show that the increase in ocular optical aberrations which degraded the retinal image may account for most of the decrease in contrast sensitivity and symptoms described above [6-8]. Wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration may improve visual performance by reducing or eliminating existing ocular aberrations. Some studies reported that contrast sensitivity and visual performance were improved after wavefront-guided LASIK and most authors agreed with the apparent superiority of wavefront-guided laser ablations over conventional surgery [9-11].

Apart from Snellen acuity, contrast sensitivity is a more reliable parameter in assessing visual performance. Contrast sensitivity measurement at 6 and 12 cpd is considered to be the most useful in the assessment of patients who have undergone laser refractive surgery [12]. There have been reports of at least temporary losses of contrast sensitivity, and this loss may persist through 6 months after LASIK [13-15]. However, to our knowledge, an in-depth and large cohort statistical comparison of corneal aberrations and visual performance between conventional LASIK (LASIK group) and wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration (Wg-LASIK group) continued for more than one year has not been performed.

The aim of this study was to compare the visual acuity, higher-order aberrations and contrast sensitivity of Wg-LASIK group and conventional LASIK one year after the surgery and evaluate the long-term effect of wavefront-guided LASIK and conventional LASIK.
treatments on contrast sensitivity and visual acuity, so we hope to provide a proposition for refractive surgical treatments.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Subjects** This study included 852 eyes of 430 consecutive patients who were scheduled for LASIK from January 2007 to June 2010 in the Tongren Ophthalmic Center of Capital Medical University (Beijing, China) and all the patients completed one year of postoperative follow-up examinations. During the period from April to July 2010, 436 eyes of 220 patients were treated with wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration. To better evaluate the outcome of the wavefront-guided LASIK, a comparable group of 210 patients treated with conventional LASIK during the period from June to September 2009 was identified retrospectively. All patients were thoroughly informed about the examinations and surgical procedure and subscribed written consents.

**Examinations of Visual Performance** Higher-order aberrations (HOAs) were measured by WaveScan Wavefront aberrometer (VISX Inc., Santa Clara, USA) based on the principle of the Hartmann-Shack wavefront sensor technique\[9\]. All HOAs were measured in the scotopic condition (3cd/m²) after 10-min dark adaptation. A skilled technician performed three examinations on the same eye with a 6mm pupil diameter. The following parameters were recorded and analyzed: 1) The root mean square of HOAs from 3rd to 6th orders (RMS3-6); 2) RMS of 3rd coma (square root of the sum of the squared coefficients of Z3\(^{-1}\) and Z3\(^{1}\)), trefoil (Z3\(^{-3}\) and Z3\(^{3}\)), 4\(^{th}\) order spherical aberration (SA) (Z4\(^{0}\)) and RMS of total higher order aberrations (RMS\(_h\)).

Contrast sensitivity with and without glare was measured by using Optec 6500 Vision Tester (Stereo Optical Co. Inc, USA) under scotopic lighting conditions (3cd/m²). All patients were examined for monocular contrast sensitivity and were measured with corrected spherical and cylindrical lens according to the manifest refraction in the natural scotopic condition after dark adaptation. The spatial frequencies at which contrast sensitivity was examined were 1.5, 3, 6, 12, 18 cycles per degree (cpd), and contrast sensitivity was expressed in logarithmic units.

**Surgical Technique** All surgeries were performed by the same experienced surgeon using VISX STAR S4 excimer laser system (VISX Inc., Santa Clara, USA) with variable spot scanning and the M2 automated microkeratome (Moria, France). The wavefront data were transferred by floppy disk from the WaveScan Wavefront aberrometer (Zernike software) to the STAR S4 excimer laser system. The Moria M2 automated microkeratome was used to create an 8.5 diameter corneal flap with a 6.0mm optical zone and 0.5mm transition zone. After photoablation, the flap was repositioned and the interface was washed with balanced salt solution. After the operation, patients were instructed to instill fluorometholone 0.1% four times per day for 3 days, and then tapered over for two weeks, levofloxacin and artificial tears fours per day for 2 weeks.

**Statistical Analysis** Data were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) and analyzed with the SPSS 13.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Paired Student's \(t\)-test was used for the evaluation of measurement data between post- and pre-treatment. Between the two groups, Independent-samples \(t\)-test was used to analyze measurement data conform to normal distribution, and Wilcoxon signed rank test was applied for measurement data not conforming to normal distribution, and Chi-square test was used to enumeration data. A multiple stepwise regression analysis model was applied to analyze the relationship between HOAs and contrast sensitivity. \(P<0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Preoperative Characteristics** The preoperative characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. No significant differences were observed between the Wg-LASIK group and LASIK group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Wg- LASIK Mean±SD (Range)</th>
<th>LASIK Mean±SD (Range)</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>416</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (a)</td>
<td>28.56±4.76 (18 to 40)</td>
<td>29.12±2.23 (20 to 40)</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>95/125</td>
<td>79/131</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical lens (D)</td>
<td>-5.42±1.68 (-1.85 to -7.65)</td>
<td>-5.29±1.74D (-1.35 to -7.85)</td>
<td>0.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindric lens (D)</td>
<td>-0.75±0.39 (0.00 to -4.20)</td>
<td>-0.68±0.54 (0.00 to -3.85)</td>
<td>0.319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE (D)</td>
<td>-5.26±1.59 (-1.86 to -7.50)</td>
<td>-5.41±1.76 (-1.50 to -8.25)</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCVA (logMAR)</td>
<td>1.36±0.24 (0.15 to 1.70)</td>
<td>1.39±0.27 (0.1 to 1.70)</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSCVA (logMAR)</td>
<td>-0.13±0.05 (-0.08 to 0)</td>
<td>-0.12±0.06 (-0.08 to 0)</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE: Spherical equivalent; UCVA: Uncorrected visual acuity; BSCVA: Best spectacle-corrected visual acuity. \(^{1}\) Analyzed by Independent-samples \(t\)-test (Age, Spherical and Cylindric lens, SE, UCVA, BCVA) and \(\chi^2\) test (Sex).
Visual Acuity

Effectiveness  Uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) was measured at each visit for each group. Ninety-six point one percent, 96.6% and 94.4% of Wg-LASIK group were ≥20/20 at 3 months, 6 months and one year after surgery, compared to 92.3%, 89.6% and 88.2% for the conventional LASIK group respectively (P all>0.05).

Predictability  The SE of 95.1% and 92.6% eyes in Wg-LASIK and 90.6% and 86.3% eyes in conventional LASIK group were within ±0.50D three months and one year after surgery. The mean preoperative SE (-5.42±1.68)D was significantly reduced to (-0.21±0.38)D at 3 months and (-0.24±0.41)D at one year after surgery in Wg-LASIK group, while (-5.29±1.74)D decreased to (-0.43±0.54)D at 3 months and (-0.46±0.52)D at 1 year in conventional LASIK group. The differences between two groups for SE at 3 months and one year after surgery were statistically significant (P =0.015, P =0.024).

Safety  Three months after surgery, 29.6% of the treated eyes gained one line of Snellen best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), and 17.2% gained two lines in Wg-LASIK group. After one year 22.3% gained one line and 13.5% gained two lines. None of the eyes lost line at 3 and 6 months after Wg-LASIK, but 0.8% of the eyes lost one line at 1 year after surgery. Seventeen point eight percent and 12.1% of the eyes gained one line 3 months and one year after surgery in conventional LASIK group. None of the eyes gained two lines, while 8.9% of the eyes lost one line at one year after surgery (Figure 1). No intra- or postoperative complications were noted during the study. No eye underwent retreatment during the follow-up period.

Contrast sensitivity  Contrast sensitivity with and without glare was compared before surgery and 3, 6 and 12 months after treatment. The preoperative contrast sensitivity log values were not significantly different between the two groups (P>0.05) (Figure 2). The differences between the two groups were statistically significant at 3, 6 and 12 months (P all<0.01) (Figure 3). Moreover the magnitude of improvement was greater at higher spatial frequencies (12 and 18 cpd) than lower spatial frequencies (1.5 and 3 cpd) under all lighting conditions (Figure 3).

Contrast sensitivity in Wg-LASIK eyes improved significantly (P all<0.01) at all spatial frequencies (1.5-18 cpd) 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery, while conventional LASIK group displayed significantly reduced CS values at most spatial frequencies except for 1.5 cpd with glare (P<0.01) at 3 months after surgery. Then the CS values in conventional LASIK group rose to the same level as preoperative CS at 6 and 12 months, except that CS values at 12 and 18 cpd both with and without glare remained worse than preoperative values (P<0.01).

Higher–order aberration  There were no statistically significant differences in RMSh, 3rd coma, trefoil, 4th SA and RMS3-6 between Wg-LASIK and conventional LASIK (P
Figure 3  Postoperative contrast sensitivity (CS) for Wg–LASIK and conventional LASIK  

A: 3-month CS without glare; B: 3-month CS with glare; C: 6-month CS without glare; D: 6-month CS with glare; E: 1-year CS without glare; F: 1-year CS with glare. Analyzed by Independent-samples t-test. *P < 0.05.

Table 2  Preoperative and postoperative higher-order aberrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Preop.</th>
<th>3 months Postop.</th>
<th>6 months Postop.</th>
<th>12 month Postop.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wgLASIK</td>
<td>LASIK</td>
<td>wgLASIK</td>
<td>LASIK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSh</td>
<td>0.33±0.11</td>
<td>0.32±0.17</td>
<td>0.57±0.19</td>
<td>0.57±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coma</td>
<td>0.17±0.01</td>
<td>0.17±0.10</td>
<td>0.34±0.18</td>
<td>0.36±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trefoil</td>
<td>0.17±0.10</td>
<td>0.15±0.09</td>
<td>0.16±0.11</td>
<td>0.17±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>0.11±0.09</td>
<td>0.10±0.08</td>
<td>0.27±0.15</td>
<td>0.27±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS 3</td>
<td>0.25±0.11</td>
<td>0.23±0.11</td>
<td>0.40±0.17</td>
<td>0.42±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS 4</td>
<td>0.16±0.08</td>
<td>0.16±0.11</td>
<td>0.31±0.14</td>
<td>0.31±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS 5</td>
<td>0.08±0.03</td>
<td>0.09±0.11</td>
<td>0.12±0.05</td>
<td>0.13±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS 6</td>
<td>0.06±0.03</td>
<td>0.06±0.04</td>
<td>0.09±0.04</td>
<td>0.10±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyzed by independent-samples t-test, *P < 0.05.

all >0.05) preoperatively. The RMS values of higher order aberrations increased significantly in both groups (P all <0.01) after surgery except for trefoil and RMS6 in Wg-LASIK group and trefoil in conventional LASIK group (P all >0.05). RMS values of HOAs in Wg-LASIK were lower than those in conventional LASIK group at 3, 6 and 12 months after the surgery. The differences were not statistically significant at 3 months, while at 1 year RMSh, coma, RMS3, RMS4 and RMS5 in Wg-LASIK eyes were significantly lower than those in conventional LASIK group (P all <0.05) (Table 2).

The correlation between induced changes in RMSh and preoperative RMSh in Wg-LASIK and conventional LASIK is shown in Figure 4. A significant negative correlation was found in both groups; that means, when the preoperative RMSh was higher, the induced changes in RMSh were lower. The correlation coefficient in Wg-LASIK group was -0.628 (r = -0.628) while that in conventional LASIK was -0.486 (r = -0.486), and the coefficients in each group achieved statistical significance (P <0.01).

Multiple Stepwise Regression Analysis on Higher–Order Aberrations and Contrast Sensitivity

Correlation between higher order aberrations and...
Multiple Stepwise Regression analysis was applied to analyze the influence of preoperative higher-order aberrations on the five spatial frequencies contrast sensitivity and the regression equations are shown in Table 3 (P<0.05). Preoperatively, the reduction in contrast sensitivity at 1.5 and 3cpd spatial frequencies was correlated significantly with the increase of SA. Highly significant positive correlation between RMS6 and 1.5cpd contrast sensitivity were observed, while significant negative correlations between RMS5 and 6cpd contrast sensitivity were seen. The reduction in 18cpd contrast sensitivity was significantly correlated with the increase of RMS6. After surgery, we found that the changes of SA, RMS3 and RMS4 were negatively correlated with the changes of CS at 1.5 and 3cpd spatial frequencies while the reduction in CS at 6 and 12cpd was correlated significantly with the increase of RMS3 and SA.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, long-term efficacy and safety of wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration were excellent. By 1 year, 94.4% eyes of Wg-LASIK group were ≥20/20, while 88.2% eyes of conventional group were ≥20/20. In Wg-LASIK group 22.3% eyes gained one line and 13.5% gained two lines while 12.1% eyes gained one line and no eyes gained two lines in conventional LASIK group one year after surgery. The predictability of refractive results was also encouraging. The mean residual SE in Wg-LASIK group was significantly lower than those in conventional LASIK group one year after surgery. The outcomes obtained in current study were generally consistent with previous studies [17,18]. The quality of vision after wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration was remarkable. Although some studies have revealed that conventional LASIK leads to loss of contrast sensitivity and 12 and 18cpd under dim condition are the most affected frequencies, others have shown that this effect recovers with 1 month to 1 year after surgery [19-25]. In the current clinical study, it was found that Wg-LASIK eyes showed better CS values than correspondingly preoperative values at all spatial frequencies with and without glare at 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery while conventional LASIK displayed reduced CS values at 3 months, which was similar to the results that got with the same vision tester 3 months after surgery [26]. Then the CS values in conventional LASIK group rose to the same level as preoperative CS at 6 and 12 months, except that CS values at 12 and 18cpd both with and without glare remained worse than preoperative values.

The values of higher-order aberrations and Zernike coefficients in Wg-LASIK were lower than those in conventional LASIK group at 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery. This might be due to the eye-tracking and iris-registration systems which precisely establish the spatial relationship between the optics of the eye and the natural features of the iris can recognize and compensate for ocular torsional movements and sub-clinical decentration when patients changed from sitting position to clinostatism and for pupil center shift from scotopic condition to photopic condition. Our study also showed that when the preoperative RMSH was higher, the induced RMSh changes by both types of treatments were lower. Furthermore, the correlation coefficient in Wg-LASIK group was -0.628 while that in conventional LASIK was -0.486, and the coefficients in both groups achieved statistical significance (P all<0.01). Our results were similar to results of Padmanabhan, more importantly, the coefficients in both groups achieved
statistical significance \(^{(27)}\). That means eyes with a significantly high magnitude RMS\(_h\) are more likely to benefit from wavefront-guided treatment than eyes with low-magnitude RMS\(_h\).

Several studies reported that the increase in ocular aberrations correlated with loss of visual acuity and accounted for most of the decrease in contrast sensitivity\(^{(2,9,10,16,26)}\). But Wang et al. \(^{(20)}\) reported that changes in corneal aberrations did not correlate with the changes of contrast sensitivity at daytime and nighttime, with and without glare. In the current study, the changes of SA and RMS\(_4\) were negatively correlated with the changes of 1.5 and 3cpd contrast sensitivity while the reduction in 6 and 12cpd contrast sensitivity was correlated significantly with the increase of RMS\(_3\) in Wg-LASIK group 1 year after surgery. The results in conventional LASIK group were slightly different from the results in Wg-LASIK group. The reduction of corresponding spatial frequencies CS was correlated with the increase of coma, SA, RMS\(_3\) and decrease of RMS\(_5\).

Hence we can see that not all HOAs could reduce the contrast sensitivity, most components of the HOAs could reduce the contrast sensitivity, such as the coma, SA, RMS\(_3\) and RMS\(_5\), while a small number of components of aberrations would increase the contrast sensitivity, such as the RMS\(_6\). It further confirmed that the overall aberration was not a major factor for the changes of contrast sensitivity, and higher overall aberration did not mean lower contrast sensitivity, while the composition of the aberrations would play an important role on the changes of contrast sensitivity. On the whole, SA played a major role on contrast sensitivity preoperatively and these results were similar to the research of Moshirfar who reported SA was a major factor for changes of contrast sensitivity in a large dark adaptation pupil. And RMS\(_h\), coma and SA were the main factors on contrast sensitivity after surgery \(^{(39)}\). However, the correlation between higher-order aberrations and contrast sensitivity were far more complex than imagined. By analyzing the results of this study, we could note that the influence of every high-order aberrations on contrast sensitivity for every individual was different and it could be concluded that every component of higher-order aberrations was interacted and constrained with each other on the role of contrast sensitivity.

In conclusion, wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration is highly effective to improve spherical equivalent and contrast sensitivity and to get better visual performance compared with conventional LASIK. And the wavefront-guided LASIK with iris-registration is particularly suitable for eyes with high-magnitude RMS\(_h\). SA and RMS\(_5\) were primarily negatively correlated with the contrast sensitivity prior to surgery while increase of coma, SA, RMS\(_3\), RMS\(_4\) in Wg-LASIK and coma, RMS\(_3\) in conventional LASIK group were negatively correlated with reduction of contrast sensitivity one year after surgery.
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