Effect of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in a corneal graft rejection
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Dear Sir,

I am Dr. Nilufer Yesilirmak, from the Department of Cornea, Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, Miami, Florida, USA. We wrote to report a reduction of graft rejection with dexamethasone intravitreal implant (Ozurdex®) in a case with aphakia. Ozurdex® (Allergan Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) is an intravitreal implant containing a biodegradable material combined with the active drug dexamethasone. It is a small rod-shaped, 0.46 mm in diameter and 6 mm in length. Inside the eye, it provides sustained delivery of 0.7 mg of preservative-free dexamethasone to the vitreous cavity and retina for up to 180d. This implant is proved to be effective in the treatment of macular edema following branch or central retinal vein occlusions, posterior non-infectious uveitis, diabetic macular edema, pseudophakic macular edema and neovascular age-related macular degeneration.

Herein we report a different usage of Ozurdex® implant for 51-year-old female diagnosed with corneal graft rejection in her right eye. The patient had been followed at our clinic with chronic visual loss in her right eye for 4y and undergone a penetrating keratoplasty 4y ago due to a fungal keratitis scar. Simultaneously a cataract extraction had been performed without implanting an intraocular lens. The outside surgeon probably decided to implant it on another occasion after making sure that the cornea survived. Because of the rejection, the patient had undergone her second keratoplasty 2y ago. After the second keratoplasty her best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.5, however during the follow-up period, her vision decreased again due to an epiretinal membrane and she underwent a pars plana vitrectomy.

On her last examination, the BCVA was 0.1 and intraocular pressure (IOP) was 15 mm Hg, in the right eye. The anterior segment showed 1+ cells, 1+ flare and fine Khodadoust line which was considered as a rejection (Figure 1A). The posterior segment was normal. Topical steroids were given 4 times a day and subtenon corticosteroid injections were applied twice a month for 3mo. She responded well to each steroid injection, however, because of frequent injections, her eyelids were bruised and became edematous as well as her conjunctiva (Figure 1B). In order to reduce the number of injections, an Ozurdex® implantation was performed through the superior temporal quadrant of right eye, approximately 3- to 5-mm posterior to the limbus (through the pars plana). After first week of implantation, the Ozurdex® implant migrated into the anterior chamber (AC) and wandered between the AC and vitreous cavity with head movements of the patient without any corneal touch (Figure 2A). Therefore, patient was advised not to lean forward too much and she learnt to keep the implant inside the eye by adjusting her body movements. At 4wk post-implantation, the BCVA was 0.2, IOP was 15 mm Hg and rejection regressed significantly (Figure 2B). At 8wk post-implantation, the BCVA was still 0.2, IOP was 18 mm Hg, cornea was clearer and the eye (conjunctiva and eyelids as well) was quiescent with the implant in the vitreous cavity. On her last visit, at 11wk post-implantation, the BCVA was 0.1, IOP was 12 mm Hg, cornea was not clear and the implant was not seen in the vitreous cavity or AC. At almost 3mo time, the implant was absorbed and the rejection seemed to be started again.

Penetrating keratoplasty is usually a successful surgical procedure but some factors may cause graft rejection. According to literature immunologic rejection is one of the most common causes for graft failure. Guilbert et al observed that lens status influenced the risk of rejection as well. Besides, severe allograft rejection episodes results in endothelial cell density decrease that leads to a lower reversibility rate. Our case had both aphakia and rejection episodes that might have increased the endothelial failure.
Corticosteroids are usually strongest tools to reverse graft rejection usually. Even though our case received intensive topical and subtenon corticosteroid therapy, rejection progressed. Due to the fact that the combination of subconjunctival and topical corticosteroids was reported\(^6\) as more effective in reversing rejection than treatment with a single pulse of methylprednisolone (500 mg) in combination with the topical corticosteroids, we decided to use Ozurdex\(^\circledR\) due to its long lasting effect. Besides the patient could not tolerate immunomodulator therapies. One week after the implantation, the implant dissolved and passed to anterior chamber easily due to the aphakic and vitrectomized eye. At the following examination, the rejection was significantly regressed. In contrast this, according to literature there are some studies showing the Ozurdex\(^\circledR\) implant migration to the anterior chamber in aphakic eyes, which resulted with corneal decompensation due to the direct contact of the implant to the endothelium\(^7,8\). Even, Marin-Lambies et al\(^[9]\) considered that the implant of these devices in aphakic patients should be contraindicated. Chang-Lin et al\(^[10]\) showed the concentration of dexamethasone in the vitreous and retina raised to high levels within 60d of implantation, extending the therapeutic period to 6mo and Myung et al\(^[11]\) showed the duration of its effect was approximately 3-4mo. It has been mentioned that, in vitrectomized eyes the half-life of drugs after intravitreal injection reduces and the drug clearance increases from the vitreous\(^[11]\). Resembling to aforesaid mentioned study, the Ozurdex\(^\circledR\) reached its maximum effect within 2mo and instead of 6mo, it completely dissolved within 3mo, in our case.

In long-term usage of corticosteroids, adverse effects such as glaucoma and cataract may appear. It is reported that Ozurdex\(^\circledR\) can cause lower incidence of IOP elevation requiring surgery and cataract extraction than the other intravitreal corticosteroids\(^[12]\). In this study, we did not observe any long-term adverse effect associated with the implantation. The patient did not complain of eyelid swelling as seen in the photo before subtenon steroid injections. Therefore, this swollen eyelid appearance was attributed to our repeated steroid injections. Due to Ozurdex\(^\circledR\) could provide higher and stable corticosteroid levels without obvious adverse effects we might consider a second implantation since the rejection started to be occurred again after the implant dissolution.

In conclusion, dexamethasone intravitreal implantation is a minimally invasive treatment modality that might be an effective treatment option in anterior segment inflammations, especially in aphakic and vitrectomized eyes. Although there are no cases of dexamethasone intravitreal implantation for corneal graft rejection in aphakic and vitrectomized eyes in the literature, this case report provides an insight for future long-term studies. Future comparative clinical studies with a large sample size and long-term follow-up will able to provide better results and guidelines.
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