Paediatric retinal detachment: a review
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Abstract

- Paediatric retinal detachment (PRD) is an uncommon and challenging disease; it differs from adult detachments in etiology, anatomical characteristics, management and prognosis. PRDs can be particularly challenging, even for the most expert paediatric surgeons due to the higher prevalence of total retinal detachments, late diagnosis and bilateral involvement with respect to those which occur in adulthood. Moreover, the anatomical success, when achieved, is frequently not related to a functional recover. Postsurgical adverse events, refractive errors and amblyopia may additionally undermine the final outcome. Up to date there are few reviews regarding the approach of retinal detachment in children, mainly dealing with rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. In this review, rhegmatogenous, retinopathy of prematurity-related and Coats’-related PRDs were considered. The available literature from the last decades were reviewed and summarized. Epidemiology, etiology and clinical presentation, together with therapeutic approaches and outcomes have been reviewed and discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Paediatric retinal detachment (PRD) is an uncommon and challenging disease accounting for the 3.2%-6.6% of all cases of retinal detachment (RD)\(^\text{[1]}\). PRDs differ from adult detachments in etiology, anatomical characteristics, management and prognosis. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) is the most common subtype of PRD, followed by tractional and exudative forms\(^\text{[2]}\). Children often present with worse visual acuity (VA), a higher percentage of macular involvement and poorer functional and anatomical success, ranging from 10% to 80% with different surgical approaches\(^\text{[3]-[7]}\). Besides these challenges, children present a lifelong risk of recurrent RD, glaucoma and cataract\(^\text{[8]}\). Compared to RD in adults, PRDs should be addressed in a different way and guidelines for management of adult RD may be inappropriate\(^\text{[2,9]}\). There are a few reviews on PRD and most of them deal with RRD. Our aim is to give a brief summary of the epidemiology, clinical presentations, management and prognosis of PRDs analyzing the available evidenced based literature.

ANALYSIS OF LITERATURE

PRDs epidemiology, clinical features and management are presented according to their aetiologies. For RRDs, studies conducted in the last 20y including more than 20 children have been analysed. For other PRDs, due to the lower number of papers available, no exclusion criteria have been applied.

RHEGMATOGENOUS RETINAL DETACHMENT

Epidemiology

Paediatric rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (PRRD) is a relatively rare disease (incidence range 0.38-0.69 per 100 000), representing approximately 2%-6% of all cases of RRD\(^\text{[10]-[11]}\). Epidemiological and clinical data of included studies are provided in Table 1\(^\text{[12]-[28]}\).

All included papers were retrospective case series. About a half of the studies were conducted in Asia, due to the higher prevalence of myopia in these countries\(^\text{[29]-[30]}\). The main cause of PRRD is ocular trauma, followed by myopia, congenital-developmental anomalies and previous intraocular surgery. However, this distinction is arbitrary as patients frequently present with more than one risk factor for RRD\(^\text{[14,17]}\). PRRD is more frequent in males, with a prevalence around 70%. Children mean age at presentation is mainly between 9 and 12y and subgroups analysis according to the age of onset is frequently reported.

History and Presentation

Young patients with RRD should be carefully examined. History of prematurity, infections, hereditary syndromes with systemic involvement and trauma are the main fields of investigation. Strabismus and leukocoria are possible findings and photographs can be useful to evaluate their occurrence and changes over time\(^\text{[31,22]}\). Chronic
The most frequently reported retinal anomaly in fellow eyes is lattice degeneration. Fivas and Capone\textsuperscript{[21]} reported that 89% of children presented pathologic findings in the fellow eye [high myopia, retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), RD, congenital-developmental anomalies]. Soheilian \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[25]} found a retinal pathology that could represent a risk factor for RRD in 82% of fellow eyes excluding trauma. Howbeit, Rumelt \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[19]} reported no statistical differences in RRD bilaterality between children and adults. VA should be tested in both eyes and refractive error should be evaluated, to underline possible differences between eyes. If present and detectable, the onset of symptoms and their possible relation to traumatic events is useful. Symptoms are more rarely reported compared to adult patients, in particular among patients younger than 6y. Gonzales \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[20]} and Gurler \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[28]} respectively reported VA loss as a presenting symptom in 82.7% and 46% of patients. In younger and less cooperative children, it may be difficult to assess a complete ocular examination, that is preferentially carried out under general anaesthesia.

Ultrasound can be useful in cases of opaque media or poor mydriasis. The late diagnosis of PRRD, mainly due to the functions contributes to the development of several statuses that can influence patients’ prognosis.

**Table 1** Demographic, clinical data, and interventions performed in the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Eyes/ patients (n)</th>
<th>Mean age (M/F, %)</th>
<th>Post-traumatic/myopic/congenital-developmental/other (%)</th>
<th>No. of interventions (mean)</th>
<th>Primary epiretinal/vitreoretinal surgery/vitrectomy (%)</th>
<th>Total vitrectomy (%)</th>
<th>Combined epiretinal-vitreoretinal (%)</th>
<th>Use of silicone oil (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Haring\textsuperscript{[12]}</td>
<td>33/31</td>
<td>15; 54/46</td>
<td>24'/42/0/NR</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akabane\textsuperscript{[13]}</td>
<td>32/28</td>
<td>12.8; 65/35</td>
<td>22/38/16/24</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22/31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fivas\textsuperscript{[21]}</td>
<td>29/24</td>
<td>9.6; 70/30</td>
<td>25-60/3/45/51</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>28/72</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weinberg\textsuperscript{[14]}</td>
<td>39/24</td>
<td>9.2; 78/29</td>
<td>36/NR/53/15</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>41/13</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarrazin\textsuperscript{[15]}</td>
<td>37/36</td>
<td>11; 86/14</td>
<td>100/0/0</td>
<td>74%&gt;1; Range 1-4</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarrazin\textsuperscript{[15]}</td>
<td>23/20</td>
<td>12.6; 83/17</td>
<td>100/0/0</td>
<td>55%&gt;1; Range 1-6</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoyama\textsuperscript{[26]}</td>
<td>55/49</td>
<td>12; 86/14</td>
<td>27'/25 (&gt;4D)/15/33</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>76/24</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang\textsuperscript{[27]}</td>
<td>152/146</td>
<td>13.1; 70/30</td>
<td>33/37/12/17</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>61/39</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>see vitrectomy</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang\textsuperscript{[28]}</td>
<td>296/278</td>
<td>14.6; 74/26</td>
<td>31/79; 38 (&gt;6 D)/17/0</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>76/5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen\textsuperscript{[29]}</td>
<td>35/32</td>
<td>12.4; 75/21</td>
<td>16; (25&gt;3 D)/23 (&gt;3 D), (60&gt;/49/6</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>91/9</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumelt\textsuperscript{[30]}</td>
<td>144/127 (115 RRD)</td>
<td>10.8; 73/27</td>
<td>42/14; 3 (&gt;6 D)/36/22</td>
<td>67% 1; 19% 2; 14% 3 to 8</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang\textsuperscript{[31]}</td>
<td>33/33</td>
<td>11; 75/25</td>
<td>100/0/0</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>12/30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonzales\textsuperscript{[22]}</td>
<td>46/45</td>
<td>9.8; 71/29</td>
<td>43/17 (&gt;4D)/35/11</td>
<td>50%&gt;1 (range 1-3)</td>
<td>26/44</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee\textsuperscript{[32]}</td>
<td>92/88</td>
<td>14; 73/27</td>
<td>53/17 ≥6 D (28/7)/27/19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wadhwa\textsuperscript{[33]}</td>
<td>230/216</td>
<td>11.1; 82/18</td>
<td>34/14/24/28</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>37/0 (see association)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soheilian\textsuperscript{[23]}</td>
<td>127/108</td>
<td>12.1; 81/19</td>
<td>43/9 (8&gt; 8 D)/38 (some)/2</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>31/0 (see association)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang\textsuperscript{[34]}</td>
<td>111/107</td>
<td>15.7; 68/32</td>
<td>0/74 (&lt;10 D); 26 (&gt;10 D)/12/0</td>
<td>18%/1</td>
<td>86/3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oono\textsuperscript{[35]}</td>
<td>48/44</td>
<td>12.3; 88/12</td>
<td>38/44 (&gt;3 D); 25 (alone)/10/27</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>77/23</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahimi\textsuperscript{[36]}</td>
<td>77/77</td>
<td>12.5; 73/27</td>
<td>90/6 (&gt;4 D)/0/4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20/78</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errera\textsuperscript{[37]}</td>
<td>104/99</td>
<td>12; 80/20</td>
<td>39/42; (17 &lt;6 D; 25&gt;6 D)/20/29</td>
<td>27/104&gt;1, 10/104&gt;2</td>
<td>100 (84% segmental)/0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurler\textsuperscript{[28]}</td>
<td>30/29</td>
<td>12.6; 79/21</td>
<td>43 (7% suspected)/40 (high myopia)/10/6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>30/63</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR: Not reported; D: Dioptre; IOFB: Intraocular foreign body; \textsuperscript{a}Excluding perforating trauma; \textsuperscript{b}Original cohort of 60; \textsuperscript{c}Excluded from analysis; \textsuperscript{d}28 excluding trauma; \textsuperscript{e}Open/closed globe injuries; \textsuperscript{f}Others/idiopathic; \textsuperscript{g}With/without episcleral surgery; \textsuperscript{h}Associated with other conditions; \textsuperscript{i}46% penetrating, 18% IOFB, 18% globe ruptures; \textsuperscript{j}Data on PRD causes only.

misalignment, nystagmus, cataract and hypotony [suggestive of anterior proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVRJ)] may indicate an old RD and provide poor prognosis.

Bilateral involvement should also be investigated, as pathologic findings in the fellow eye are commonly found. Within the analyzed papers, bilateral involvement was described, ranging from 0 to 26% (mean value 9%\textsuperscript{[14,19,26]}). The most frequently reported retinal anomaly in fellow eyes is lattice degeneration. Fivas and Capone\textsuperscript{[21]} reported that 89% of children presented pathologic findings in the fellow eye [high myopia, retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), RD, congenital-developmental anomalies]. Soheilian \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[25]} found a retinal pathology that could represent a risk factor for RRD in 82% of fellow eyes excluding trauma. Howbeit, Rumelt \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[19]} reported no statistical differences in RRD bilaterality between children and adults. VA should be tested in both eyes and refractive error should be evaluated, to underline possible differences between eyes. If present and detectable, the onset of symptoms and their possible relation to traumatic events is useful. Symptoms are more rarely reported compared to adult patients, in particular among patients younger than 6y. Gonzales \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[20]} and Gurler \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[28]} respectively reported VA loss as a presenting symptom in 82.7% and 46% of patients. In younger and less cooperative children, it may be difficult to assess a complete ocular examination, that is preferentially carried out under general anaesthesia.

Ultrasound can be useful in cases of opaque media or poor mydriasis. The late diagnosis of PRRD, mainly due to the slow visual loss and progression and patients’ lower cognitive functions contributes to the development of several statuses that can influence patients’ prognosis.

**Macular Involvement and Visual Acuity at Presentation**

Macula-off status at presentation is frequently reported with an incidence ranging from 26% to 98% (mean 68%\textsuperscript{[22,28]}). This prevalence was even higher (77%) in patients younger than 10y\textsuperscript{[27]}. Together with this condition, a poor VA is frequent, being less than 20/400 (mean value) in most of the reports. In a comparative study between RRD in the paediatric and adult population, Rumelt \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{[19]} found a significant lower prevalence of macula-off status in the adult population (45% vs 77%;
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P < 0.001), together with a higher baseline VA (>20/400 in 57% (adults) vs 30% (children); P < 0.001).

Proliferative Vitreoretinopathy Delayed diagnosis, high cellular response and intraocular bleeding are the main causes of PVR[12,13,15]. PVR is commonly graded using the 1983 Retina Terminology Committee classification in its updated version[12-33]. In most of the series, PVR worse than grade C was found in over the 30% of patients (mean 28%, range 0-64%), more frequently associated with trauma and previous intraocular surgery[1,12,15,19]. Although PVR has been frequently associated with a lower rate of retinal reattachment, in some studies this correlation was not found[27]. Nevertheless, PVR is related to a higher rate of reintervention and should be considered in the choice of the surgical approach[23].

Previous Intraocular Surgery Previous intraocular surgery in children with RRD was reported in most papers (mean value 11%, range 0-88%)[15,19,25]. It is more often related to trauma but even to malformative ocular syndromes (i.e. congenital cataract, congenital glaucoma). Fivgas and Capone[13] and Gonzales et al[20] reported previous surgery in 34% and 61% of cases respectively; they also underlined that these eyes had a worse prognosis than surgical naïve eyes. RD relapse after previous treatment was found being an indicator of poor prognosis.

Aetiologies: Trauma, Myopia, Congenital-developmental Anomalies Main causes of paediatric RRD are trauma, myopia and congenital-developmental anomalies. Nevertheless, a variable but substantial amount is represented by idiopathic forms. Idiopathic detachments can account for up to the 20% of all RRD in childhood and are more frequent than in adults[3,16]. Lee et al[21] found that idiopathic RRD were caused by retinal dialyses in 76% of patients.

Ocular trauma in the paediatric age are significantly more frequent as compared to adults, with a higher prevalence in the male population[34-35]. Although frequently reported in children with otherwise healthy eyes, RRD after trauma can occur in eyes with predisposing factors such as peripheral retinal degeneration/tears or congenital abnormalities. Distinctions between open and closed globe injuries are provided in several papers. Sarrazin et al[17] found no significant differences between open and closed globe injuries in terms of type, extent, severity, anatomic and surgical outcomes. Nonetheless, Wadhwa et al[22] observed more complex RRD with frequent retinal incarceration in open globe injuries. Visual outcome following globe injuries can be strikingly variable and several complications may occur[19]. However, traumatic RRD are reported being among those with higher VA recovery and less need for multiple surgeries[18,23,27]. Trauma can be associated with other ocular conditions, like cataract, hyphema, vitreous haemorrhages and epiretinal membrane[15]. Sarrazin et al[15] reported ocular findings other than RRD in the 59% and 43% of patients with open and close globe trauma respectively.

Moreover, in about half of the eyes, they reported that RRD diagnosis was not performed at initial presentation. This finding can be partially due to tight adherences between the vitreous gel and the retina, absence of vitreous liquefaction and posterior vitreous detachment, leading to a deferred and slow onset of RD. RRD diagnosis at the time of open globe injury was only 10% in the series of Wang et al[9]. Nevertheless, as compared to others PRDs, traumatic RRD are more likely to have a shorter delay in diagnosis due to the traumatic event itself, leading to an earlier assessment.

Myopia represents a major risk factor for RRD, even in children, where it is frequently associated with other ocular and systemic abnormalities[34]. The prevalence of myopia is higher in older children and in Asia, where the condition ranges between 55% and 84%[36-37]. Myopia and RRD in children have been deeply investigated by Wang et al[24] who studied a series of 107 children, comparing RRD in extreme (> -10 D) and high myopia (-6 to -10 D). In both groups lattice degeneration and retinal tears were common findings while a higher rate of total RD, posterior staphyloma and multiple retinal tears were more frequent in the extreme myopia group, probably due to the higher percentage of amblyopia, often leading to a late diagnosis. Nevertheless, Errera et al[27] found no differences in reattachment rate between myopic and highly myopic eyes in their cohort of children who underwent scleral buckling as a primary procedure.

Congenital-developmental anomalies account for up to the 53% of RRD, with a higher prevalence in western countries, probably due to the high number of myopic RRD in Asia[14]. These anomalies are more frequent in younger children and are generally bilateral at presentation, due to structural anomalies involving both eyes[17,23]. Causative diseases differ in incidence, severity and modalities of transmission and presentation. Compared to other causes, RRD associated with such conditions are deeper at presentation, present with more severe PVR, have poorer prognosis and are generally associated with a greater number of surgical procedures. Several patients in this group also suffer from myopia, due to excessive globe elongation. Indeed, similar peripheral retinal degenerations may be observed in both myopia and congenital-developmental anomalies. Most reported anomalies are: stickler syndrome, Marfan syndrome, non-traumatic retinal dialysis, familial exudative vitreoretinopathy (FEVR), X-linked retinoschisis, choroidal coloboma, cicatricial ROP, Morning Glory syndrome and persistent fetal vasculature. Other perinatal pathologies are represented by infections and chronic inflammations.

Surgical Management and Outcomes Data on surgical management from included studies are reported in Table 1. The available approaches to PRRD are those commonly performed for adults: scleral buckle (associated or not with
The vast majority of all vitrectomies are performed as a second-line approach because it offers several advantages: significantly less vitreous manipulation and cataract formation and no need of reintervention in case of silicone oil employment during vitrectomy. Since its introduction, vitrectomy has gained a place in the treatment of complex PRRD that were likely to be judged inoperable before its coming. Vitrectomy is generally employed (alone or in association with episceral surgery) in cases of severe PVR (worse than grade C), media haze, posterior tears, epiretinal membranes and colobomas. In the included studies, episceral surgery as the first procedure has been performed in a variable number of cases ranging from 12% to 86% [19-24]. This big discrepancy is probably due to the different underlying conditions analyzed within the studies (e.g. trauma, myopia, congenital anomalies). In cases of post-traumatic RRD (especially open globe injuries) or congenital-developmental anomalies, vitrectomy is generally preferred [19,25]. Retinal surgery for RRD after trauma is generally performed 4 to 7d after the event, to achieve more vitreous liquefaction before the onset of PVR. Episceral surgery is instead highly performed in cases of myopia and less severe PVR. Scleral buckle and encircling can be performed alone or in association. Yokoyama et al [16] performed scleral buckle with chandelier illumination in 21 eyes to minimize the surgical trauma and to reduce the induced refractive error, risk of glaucoma, choroidal detachment and anterior segment ischemia. Errera et al [27] reviewed data from scleral buckle (alone or in association with encirclement) in 99 paediatric patients reporting its great efficacy as a primary procedure in selected cases. Besides its primary indications for complex cases, vitrectomy is generally performed as a second-line procedure in cases of relapse of RD. The overall number of vitrectomies in the analysed series ranged from 3% in myopic RRD [12,24] to over 50% after globe injuries [13,15,19,24]. Some authors performed vitrectomies combined with scleral buckle [3,14-15,17,19-20,22-24,28]. Wadhwa et al [22] performed combined surgery when vitrectomy was needed, to reduce peripheral tractions due to PVR. The use of tamponades in children is highly influenced by their condition. To avoid the risk of improper postoperative positioning and intracocular pressure (IOP) spikes with gas tamponades, silicone oil is often preferred. In some studies, silicone oil has been used in the vast majority of all vitrectomies [22-23]. Main pitfalls in the use of silicone oil are oil emulsification, corneal decapsulation, cataract progression and IOP spikes/glaucoma [22,38]. Final anatomical success, defined as attached retina without silicone oil tamponade, has been achieved in over 60% of patients, with the exception of Rumelt et al [3], Wang et al [89] and Sarasin et al [25], who performed surgery on RRD following open and closed globe injuries. The overall mean anatomical success was 80% (1280 out of 1604 patients). Highest success was obtained after surgery in myopic eyes and in mild/moderate closed globe trauma [5,24]. Low anatomical success was also achieved after surgery for congenital-developmental anomalies due to their higher frequency of macula-off and inveterate RRD. After trauma, Wang et al [15] respectively reported ocular phthisis, iris ruberosis and persistent RD in 30%, 15% and 18% of eyes. Mean number of interventions, when reported, ranged between 1.2 and 2.2 procedures per patient, being up to 5 procedures per patient [11-12,28]. Rumelt et al [3] reported no significant differences in the mean number of interventions between adults and children. However, the author reported significant lower anatomic success and higher rate of postoperative complications among children. Functional success after surgery is variable between the series. Overall a mean VA improvement was achieved in all studies, even if visual impairment is widely reported. Mean preoperative best corrected VA, when available, was within or below 20/400. After surgery, VA remained stable in approximately 20% of patients while it improved in more than 50%. Nevertheless, no light perception was observed in 54 out of 406 patients (13.3%). VA outcomes are summarized in Figure 1.

Predictive factors for poor visual recovery were: low preoperative VA, macula-off status, PVR worse than grade C, anterior PVR, need for vitrectomy, use of silicone oil, previous ocular surgery, total RD, open globe injuries, congenital-developmental anomalies and younger age at presentation. Younger children have poorer visual prognosis due to the higher frequency of congenital-developmental anomalies and longstanding RRD. On the contrary, older children have better visual prognosis due to higher incidence of trauma and lower prevalence of macula-off detachments. Factors influencing prognosis may explain why, even in condition of anatomical success, visual improvement may not occur. Moreover, after surgery there is a high risk of amblyopia in the affected eye, even if retinal reattachment is achieved. Some authors suggest applying postoperative occlusion to all patients younger than 10.

TRACTIONAL RETINAL DETACHMENT

Tractional RDs in childhood are mainly associated with primary retinovascular disease such as ROP, FEVR, inherited
vitreoretinal degenerations, incontinentia pigmenti or as a consequence of ocular inflammations (i.e. ocular toxocariasis) or ocular trauma.

Retinopathy of Prematurity ROP was first reported by Terry[39] in 1942; it is a vasoproliferative disorder occurring in premature infants, and it represents a leading cause of blindness among children, accounting for around 3% of all childhood vision loss[40-41].

Risk Factors Approximately 65% of neonates with a birth weight of less than 1250 g and 80% of those with a birth weight less than 1000 g develop some degree of ROP. Campbell[42] in 1951 was the first to recognize an increased use of oxygen treatment as a risk factor for ROP development. Other risk factors are small for gestational age, anemia of prematurity, blood transfusion, hypoxemia, perinatal sepsis, use of inotropes, intraventricular haemorrhage, in vitro fertilization, multiple pregnancies[43-48].

Parasurgical Treatments Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a key factor in ROP pathogenesis, so laser ablation of the peripheral avascular retina and anti-VEGF intravitreal injections represent the two main treatment strategies. Unlike laser photoablation, anti-VEGF treatment allows the development of further retinal vascularization while inducing retinal vasoproliferation regression; this is extremely important considering the young age of the patients[49]. Nowadays laser photocoagulation of avascular retina is still the gold standard therapy for ROP[50]. Ablation of the avascular retina improves the structural and functional outcomes of children with severe ROP and a prompt managing is highly recommended as an earlier treatment achieves a better structural and visual outcome[51-53]. Recently the ETROP (early treatment for retinopathy of prematurity) cooperative group has shown that early treatment of eyes with high-risk pre-threshold ROP [defined as any ROP in zone I that was less than threshold-defined by at least five contiguous or eight clock hours of stage 3 ROP in zone I or II in the presence of plus disease (a degree of dilation and tortuosity of the posterior retinal blood vessels meeting or exceeding that of a standard photograph); or in zone II stage 2 with plus disease; or zone II, stage 3 disease without plus disease; or zone II, stage 3 with plus disease but fewer than five contiguous or eight cumulative clock hours] significantly improves the final outcomes[51,54-55]. Nevertheless, despite a timely treatment with laser therapy or cryotherapy, ROP progresses up to the 12% of the eyes and RD can occur[56].

Retinal Detachment in Retinopathy of Prematurity ROP still develops in RD and blindness in 15% to 30% of involved eyes[57]. The incidence of RD in ROP diminished in the last decades thanks to a better screening and adequate prophylactic laser treatments. Furthermore, in the past decades, ROP surgery was performed as an open-sky procedure, or by a pars plicata approach with lensectomy. Now the approach to ROP is easier, safer and less invasive and the final outcomes improved. As expected the prognosis is better in eyes at stage 4 ROP—with partial RD—whereas plus disease, neovascularization, vitreous hemorrhage or organization are associated with poor surgical outcome[58]. Although the advancements, the surgery of RD associated with ROP presents risks and complications often not predictable. All the papers analyzed except one on RD in ROP are case series. One paper is a randomized controlled trial (RCT)[59].

Surgery: Scleral Buckling, Vitrectomy and Combined Treatments The ideal timing for surgery in stage 4 ROP is when the vascular activity is reduced and the detachment is beginning (usually around 40wk post conception)[59]. Scleral buckling and vitrectomy can successfully retatch the retina of children with stage 4 or 5 ROP but despite the anatomical success VA results are disappointing[56,60-64].

Scleral buckling alone in ROP stage 4 was shown to have at least two favorable actions: on the one hand it offsets the vitreoretinal tractions (mainly anterior), on the other it decreases VEGF release[65]. Scleral buckling has certain limitations: it doesn’t clean vitreous chamber from angiogenic factors and doesn’t restore normal retinal anatomy; it could induce any some tropia which can results in amblyopia, it has to be divided or removed at six months of age; it may push peripheral vitreous into the retrolenticular space, exacerbating the tractional forces already present; it may induce acute local choroidal ischemia that increases angiogenic factors secretion as well as subsequent myopia, despite explant or division months later[66-67].

Vitrectomy in ROP presents two main advantages: it removes antero-posterior tractions and depletes angiogenic factors from the vitreous cavity. It’s hard to relax the tractional forces as an excessive delamination of the posterior hyaloid can lead to iatrogenic retinal breaks due to its strong adherence to the retina leading to a poor visual outcome[67]. On the basis of these considerations Sears and Sonnie[67] developed a study which aimed to compare outcomes of surgery in stage 4A (extrafoveal partial RD) and 4B (foveal partial RD) ROP detachments that were treated with either vitrectomy lens sparing with scleral buckling or vitrectomy lens sparing alone. The authors concluded that the scleral buckling adds little to the success of a vitrectomy lens sparing and therefore is unnecessary. Whether a lens-sparing vitrectomy or a vitrectomy-lensectomy surgery must be performed is still controversial. It is reported a good success rate in terms of both structural and visual outcomes in stage 4A ROP when vitrectomy lens sparing is performed[56,68-71]. So lens sparing vitrectomy is becoming the mainstay of treatment for ROP stage 4A tractional RD[69]. The main advantage this procedure offers is the diminished traction in ROP detachments and the improved visual rehabilitation by reducing the risk of aphakia. In 2005, Nudleman et al[72] reported a retinal reattachment rate...
after lens sparing vitrectomy of 82.1% in stage 4A, 69.5% in stage 4B, 42.6% in stage 5. Only 5.6% patients developed cataract and 3.7% required lensectomy due to impairment of the visual axis. On the other hand, El Rayes et al.[73] obtained a 75% rate of retinal reattachment after vitrectomy-lensectomy vs 71.8% in vitrectomy-sparing lensectomy for stage 4B plus disease ROP and Azuma et al.[74] reported a very poor outcome (100% failure) in cases with lens-sparing vitrectomy compared with the lensectomy-vitrectomy group.

Anti-VEGF therapy has been recently extended into the treatment regimen for ROP, preoperative laser treatment or anti-VEGF injections are performed as it was shown they improve the final outcomes[75-76]. Preoperative anti-VEGFs on the one hand induce the regression of extraretinal fibrovascular proliferation (and thus intraoperative bleeding risk) on the other hand they can induce the contracture of the fibrovascular extravascular tissue, increasing tractional forces and the risk of tractional RD[77-78]. To reduce this risk a scleral buckling at the time of bevacizumab injection seems effective to loosen the tractional forces. Scleral buckling combined with bevacizumab intravitreal injection may act as a bridge to subsequent vitrectomy or in some instances of stage 4 may obviate the need for a subsequent vitrectomy.[79].

Aggressive Posterior Retinopathy of Prematurity  Aggressive posterior retinopathy of prematurity (APROP) is an unusual form of ROP characterized by a rapid progression to a total tractional RD (usually within one or two weeks) despite application of early and timely photocoagulation or anti-VEGF treatment.[80]. Recently, some studies have strongly supported the use of anti-VEGFs instead of laser ablation for APROP, showing an high regression rate after anti-VEGF injections, higher than that recorded after laser photocoagulation[81-83]. Besides an early, dense and repeated laser ablation or anti-VEGF treatment, an early vitreous surgery seems to be effective in preventing the progression of RD.[84-85]. The aim of a vitrectomy in APROP is to remove as much posterior vitreous as possible so to reduce the vitreous between the fibrous tissue and the vitreous base, which represents a scaffolding area for the fibrovascular tissue and to wash out the angiogenic factors. It was shown by fundus fluorangiography a rapid reduction (only 6 to 12d after surgery) of vascular activity after vitrectomy in APROP cases[84].

EXUDATIVE RETINAL DETACHMENT
Main causes of exudative RD in childhood are Coats’ disease, retinoblastoma, ROP, ocular toxocariasis, choroidal haemangioma, posterior scleritis, Harada’ syndrome. Treatment is addressed at the underlying cause.

Coats’ Disease
Epidemiology and clinical presentation Coats’ disease is an idiopathic condition; it was first described by George Coats in 1908; it is usually unilateral (about 90% of cases), and occurs predominantly in young males (about 75% of cases) in the first or second decades of life[86]. Neither geographic nor ethnic associations have been detected. It is characterized by a defect in retinal vascular development which results in capillary non-perfusion, aneurysms formation, retinal telangiectasia, vessel leakage with intra and subretinal exudations[87]. The clinical features are quite heterogenic ranging from asymptomatic perifoveal telangiectasia (as in type 1 idiopathic macular telangiectasia) to total exudative RD, neovascular glaucoma and eventual ptosis bulbi. At presentation in addition to visual impairment leukocoria or strabismus are quite common[88-92]. Infiltrating retinoblastoma should be ruled out by clinical examination, ultrasound, CT, MRI scans especially before treatment to avoid the risk of cellular seeding[93-95]. There’s an adult-onset form as well, characterized by different features with respect to typical Coats’ disease: a limited affected area, a slower progression of the disease, haemorrhages localized near larger vascular dilations and a lower incidence of exudative RD[96-97]. The disease is classified according to the clinical features as follow: stage 1 presents only telangiectasia; stage 2 telangiectasia and exudation (2A, extrafoveal exudation; 2B, foveal exudation); stage 3 telangiectasia, exudation and RD (3A, subtotal RD; 3B, total RD); stage 4 total RD and neovascular glaucoma; stage 5 ptisis bulbi- advanced stage disease.[87,89] RD and neovascular glaucoma are the most severe consequences and their prevention by obliterating the abnormal vasculature and hyper permeable aneurysmal dilations is the main goal of Coats’s treatment.

Treatment In stage 1 cases no treatment is required; for stage 2 laser photocoagulation or cryotherapy are usually performed to induce an ablation of telangiectatic blood vessels. Although in advanced disease (stage 3-4) a gold standard treatment has not been determined, vitreoretinal surgery [including scleral buckling, pars-plana vitrectomy with silicone oil or gas tamponade, internal or external subretinal fluid (SRF) drainage], differently combined with laser or cryotherapy or anti-VEGF treatment, is usually performed. In the setting of a RD, drainage of SRF should precede cryotherapy or laser photocoagulation, so to allow a better ablation of the abnormal vessels[98-99]. In advanced stages disease (stage 5) enucleation of the blind painful eye may be needed. An early treatment is highly recommended as it is likely to prevent the progression of the disease and to stabilize the visual function[100]. A deregulation of VEGF and elevated levels of VEGF were showed in patients affected by Coats’ and supposed as the leading cause of the disease[101]. Based on these considerations, intravitreal injections of bevacizumab or ranibizumab have recently been performed to decrease SRF and exudation with good functional and structural results[98,102-111]. Nevertheless, most of these studies employed anti-VEGF injections in...
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Table 2 Summary of the evidence for Coats’ disease treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Patients (n/age (a))</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
<th>Study type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silodor[109]</td>
<td>13/NR</td>
<td>7 patients: intracocular infusions, drainage of SRF, cryotherapy; 6 patients: no treatment</td>
<td>4/6 untreated patients developed neovascular glaucoma and underwent enucleation; 7/7 treated: no neovascular glaucoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Longitudinal case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthman[110]</td>
<td>15/1-20 (range)</td>
<td>IV triamcinolone. Advanced cases: simultaneous drainage of SRF. During follow-up, diode laser and/or cryotherapy when needed. Vitrectomy: 1 case</td>
<td>VA improved in all cases but one. All patients had stable flat retina with fewer posterior pole crystalline deposits and inactive telangiectatic vessels</td>
<td>5 cases: cataract</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muftuoglu[111]a</td>
<td>5/6-25 (range)</td>
<td>All patients: vitrectomy (1 combined with cataract surgery) with internal drainage, endolaser photoagulation and silicone oil tamponade</td>
<td>All patients had improved VA after surgery with attached retina. Disease was stable during follow-up</td>
<td>2 cases: cataract</td>
<td>Interventional case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao[112]</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>3 IV bevacizumab, 6wk interval</td>
<td>Complete resolution of RD was observed</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Letter to the editor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghazi[113]</td>
<td>4/1.5-4.3 (range)</td>
<td>1 IV triamcinolone followed by laser ablation after resolution of the SRF.</td>
<td>Almost total resolution of SRF after IV triamcinolone. Retinal reattachment was observed in all patients. No significant VA improvement achieved</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Letter to the editor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villegas[114]</td>
<td>24/0.8-13.2 (range)</td>
<td>Laser treatment until complete anatomic resolution and IV bevacizumab</td>
<td>All patients: resolution of RD and ablation of vascular telangiectasia.</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanga[115]</td>
<td>8/5-13 (range)</td>
<td>Surgical drainage of SRF, followed by IV bevacizumab and laser photoagulation</td>
<td>All patients: total resolution of RD between 2 and 4mo postoperatively. All eyes showed inactive disease.</td>
<td>2 cases: residual subretinal fibrosis</td>
<td>Retrospective case series</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV: Intravitreal; VA: Visual acuity; VEGF: Vascular endothelial growth factor; SRF: Subretinal fluid; RD: Retinal detachment; NR: Not reported.

The result of the combined pars plana vitrectomy with phacoemulsification was not different than in the other cases; ‘In authors’ opinion visual improvement was not achieved due to bullous exudative RD and submacular lipid deposition found in all eyes, as well as neovascular glaucoma in one patient.

Addition to standard therapy, so it’s difficult to ascertain the real benefit of the anti-VEGF treatment alone[104,112-113]. Recent reports have demonstrated resolution of total RD after intravitreal bevacizumab and have proposed anti-VEGF injections as first treatment option; even though anti-VEGF injections may not cure completely the disease, the reduction in SRF allows to perform a more elective ablative therapy[107,114]. Although these promising findings, the use of anti-VEGF in Coats’ disease is still controversial due to the risk of fibrovascular membrane formation and subsequent risk of RD[107]. When fibrovascular traction due to preretal membranes or PVR is present, the vitrectomy is the only treatment which can manage the disease[115-116]. Most of patients treated with vitrectomy alone or with adjuvant therapies don’t show a gain in visual function but a stop in disease progression with the subsequent preservation of the bulb[99,117]. Despite the advancement in the knowledge of the etiopathogenesis of Coats’ disease and the promising results of the anti-VEGF therapies visual prognosis of these patients remains poor. In the largest series accounting 124 eyes the majority of patients presented a final VA of 20/200 or less[89]. A summary of Coats’ disease treatment strategies is provided in Table 2[118-122].

**DISCUSSION**

Most literature regarding PRD consists of case reports or retrospective case series, mainly involving an exiguous number of patients, especially for tractional and exudative forms. Therefore, the level of the evidence allow us to provide informations on the best treatment options performed by experienced surgeons and not to produce statistical evidence. A summary of current treatment of PRD in children is provided in Table 3.

RRD still represents a challenge even for skilled surgeons and a standardized approach to the disease and to its management is not yet available. Nevertheless, some interesting conclusions can be drawn. First of all, RRD in the childhood need a more exhaustive approach as compared to that occurring in the adult population: this is mainly due to differences in presentation, presence of comorbidities and particular features of specific forms (e.g. RRD in children with congenital/developmental anomalies).

The evaluation of young patients with RRD must always involve an accurate exam of the affected eye (type of detachment, presence of PVR, macular involvement) and fellow eye, as bilateral RRD are relatively frequent. The surgical approach should be planned basing on different ocular and non-ocular conditions and even considering patients’ compliance. In general, episcleral surgery is preferred when dealing with mild trauma or myopic detachment, due to their lower rate of intraoperative and postoperative complications. Vitrectomy, instead, is generally chosen to face more complex cases, for examples in eye presenting with severe PVR. In any case, regardless of the type of intervention chosen as the first approach, retreatment is often necessary with a mean number
of interventions greater than two in most of the papers. Myopia and closed globe injuries seem to have a better prognosis as compared to RD in congenital developmental anomalies and severe perforating trauma.

When analyzing the existing literature on RD in children, it seems that final functional outcomes have not improved despite the great technological advancements occurred in the last years. Conversely, the current availability of surgical techniques has made it possible to approach more complex cases, which would have not previously considered as judged irreparable. Also the surgery of RD associated with ROP is very challenging and characterized by unpredictable results. Except for stage 4A detachments, in which “a wait and see approach” may be an option because of the potential spontaneous retinal reattachment, in the other cases a minimal approach is likely to be the most appropriate. Preoperative laser treatment or anti-VEGF injections followed by lens sparing vitrectomy turn out to be the best treatment option from our data analysis. As described for RRD, despite great rates of retinal reattachment after surgery, the postoperative visual function looks poor, in particular in stage 5 ROP (total RD). Negative prognostic factors seem to be early post partum age, plus disease, neovascularization, vitreous hemorrhage or organization. The cause of poor vision after successful retinal reattachment is uncertain but considering these functional outcomes the potential benefits of surgery must be balanced with the surgical risks.

As the incidence of RD in ROP decreased in the last decades thanks to adequate prophylactic laser treatments and new therapeutic approach (e.g. anti-VEGF injections) we believe that the development of new preventive and therapeutic strategies will significantly reduce the need of RD surgery in the future.

Assessment of the best treatment of RD associated with Coats’ is not easy, due to the low incidence of Coats’ disease. It’s estimated that one quarter of the patients with Coats’ disease with exudative RD develops neovascular glaucoma, which often requires primary enucleation[98]. So, nowadays, the most important goals to achieve in Coats’ disease are an early diagnosis and a prompt treatment, with the aim of safeguarding a useful vision in early stages and preserving a comfortable cosmetically acceptable globe in the most advanced cases. This in turn allows a normal orbital growth and avoids the psychological side effects that an enucleation could induce, in particular in children. Some issues on the best surgical approach are common to the different PRDs subtypes. A major point of debate, still open nowadays, is about the use of silicone oil tamponade in the paediatric population. On one hand, silicone oil presents several advantages as compared to other tamponades, such as an earlier visual rehabilitation, no restriction of air travel and avoidance of the requirement for strict prone positioning after surgery. On the other hand, it presents some risks and after its removal, RD relapses can occur. In the large prospective multicenter study by Scott et al[38], silicone tamponade was used for the treatment of 211 complex RDs, including post-traumatic, ROP, giant tears and advanced PVR cases. In the majority of treated eyes, retinal reattachment and preserved VA were achieved. Main complications included IOP spikes, hypotony, corneal opacity, oil emulsification and cataract. Silicone oil was removed in 83 eyes, mainly within 1y since the first surgery and retinal redetachment occurred in 5 (7%) of the eyes. The authors
concluded that in children with complex retinal RDs silicone oil should be an option within the standard of care. On the other hand Ferrone et al[38] found disappointing results from the use of silicone oil in 48 complicated RD with a baseline VA of hand motion or worse in about 90% of eyes. The authors stated that their unsatisfying results were mainly due to a low rate of sustained retinal reattachment, poor visual rehabilitation, and a high complication rate. Moisseiev et al[7], retrospectively analyzing 27 patients with various RD etiologies, found a final VA of hand motion or less in 19 eyes (68%) and observed that worst results occurred after perforating injuries.

Specific indications about silicone oil use in PRDs are thereafter difficult to draw. In general, silicone oil tamponade is to be preferred in complicated cases (i.e. severe injuries or advanced ROP) and even if retinal reattachment can often occur, visual prognosis could be variable. According to the study by Scott et al[38], RD relapse does not seem to be related to the timing of silicone oil removal. The surgeon who is going to use silicone oil in children with complicated RD must keep in mind the necessity of oil removal and all the potential complications that may occur during patients’ follow up. Another common feature, often discouraging both patient and surgeon, is the gap between anatomical and functional success, widely observed after paediatric surgery for RD. Several factors are thought to be responsible for this discrepancy, including low preoperative VA, high duration of RD, macular involvement, high rate of PVR, postoperative amblyopia and strabismus.
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