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Introduction

Endoscopy-assisted ophthalmological surgery is a relatively old technique, although it has not been widely adopted except for managing difficult cases. The indications for this technique include goniosynechialysis, retained lens fragments, a posteriorly dislocated intraocular lens, transscleral suture fixation, ciliary body photocoagulation, proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR), intraocular foreign bodies, retinal detachment repair (especially for undetectable breaks in the vitreous), endophthalmitis, ocular trauma, selecting for vitrectomy (PPV), EV makes it easier to visualize the operative field in cases with media opacity or a microcornea, especially when the anterior vitreous (AV) is hidden beneath the iris. The concept of the AV was first proposed by Lewis and Aaberg in 1988 as being anterior to the posterior insertion of the vitreous base. However, in 1991, Machemer et al. suggested that the AV was anterior to the equator of the vitreous base. Although most AV dissections can be recognized, they are difficult to treat because of the complexity and variability of the AV under different conditions. Thus, EV may be useful for overcoming these difficulties.

Development of Intraocular Endoscopes

The first use of an endoscope during ophthalmological surgery was reported in 1934, when Thorpe removed an intraocular foreign body using integrated forceps. In 1982, Norris and Cleaseby also performed surgery using an intraocular endoscope with a diameter of 1.7 mm. Furthermore, intraocular lens (IOL) implantations were performed using endoscopes during the 1980s and 1990s. In 1996, Jürgens et al. described the use of an endoscope to localize the sulcus during placement of a sulcus-fixed sutured posterior chamber IOL. Moreover, a 20-gauge intraocular endoscope was developed in 1991 for use during endocyclophotocoagulation for glaucoma, and various video endoscopes and ophthalmoendoscopes have subsequently been developed for use during vitreoretinal surgery. Since then, several clinical case series have examined the use of EV for different conditions.

Characteristics of Intraocular Endoscopes

The current intraocular endoscopes project the intraocular images, which are captured using a lens on the distal tip, onto an electronic monitor. In addition, each endoscope has an optical duct illuminating system. Various gradient index lens systems are used, although the E2 or E4 fiber-optic systems (Little Silver, NJ, USA) are widely used. Other endoscope systems are produced by PolyDiagnost (Germany) and Fiber Tech (Tokyo, Japan), which require micro incisions to accommodate the 19-gauge, 20-gauge, or 23-gauge endoscopes. Each endoscope includes a xenon light source (illumination), a charge-coupled device camera (for image capture and monitoring), and an optical laser. The endoscope’s size determines the imaging resolution and the field of view (FOV), with the 19-gauge endoscopes having 17 000 pixels and a 140° FOV, the 20-gauge endoscopes having 10 000 pixels and a 110° FOV, and the 23-gauge endoscopes having 6000 pixels and a 90° FOV. Thus, the specific case.
determines the sizing of the endoscope that is used[16], and 19-gauge endoscopes have become common in complex cases with a greater need for peripheral imaging[17].

DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN TRADITIONAL MICROSCOPES AND ENDOSCOPES

Traditional microscopes can easily visualize intraocular objects through a clear anterior media, while endoscopes must cross the anterior segment to capture images using their distal tip[11,16,18]. Thus, unlike traditional microscopes, intraocular endoscopes provide a high magnification with panoramic, unobstructed, and undistorted views of the space between the vitreous base and the anterior segments behind the iris[19]. In addition, traditional microscopes provide a top-down perspective from outside the patient’s cornea, while endoscopes provide a unique intraoperative view from inside the vitreous cavity (i.e. the side-on perspective) (Figure 1)[18,20]. Nevertheless, surgeons must be comfortable manipulating the endoscope inside the eye, as it is similar to a traditional light guide[20]. Image rotation is possible if the surgeon repositions the probe, and a baseline level of positioning is needed before the probe can be inserted into the eye. Thus, it is important to ascertain the orientation of the endoscopic image on the monitor.

NORMAL STRUCTURES OF THE ANTERIOR VITREOUS

Endoscopy can easily visualize the entire AV, including a circumferential view without scleral indentation or views that are outside of the standard FOV. Furthermore, endoscopy provides a clear and detailed image of the vitreous fibers and gel, the ciliary body, the ora serrata, and the anterior retina, even in cases with myopic, phakic, aphakic, or pseudophakic eyes. Under the subiris, the anterior zonular ligament is attached to the anterior lens capsule in the anterior equatorial region, and the posterior zonular ligament is attached to the posterior lens capsule in the posterior equator[21]. The ciliary body and processes form the pars plicata and consist of the non-pigmented and pigmented ciliary epithelium, which rest on loose connective tissue or stroma (Figure 2), and the ligament of Wieger can also be clearly visualized[22]. The anterior hyaloid membrane is composed of parallel fibers that adhere to part of the posterior lens capsule, the zonular ligament, the pars plicata, and the ora serrata. In addition, the fibers of the vitreous cortex form a transparent gel without liquefaction or individualization[23-24]. The junction of the AV membrane and the ora serrata is the closest region to the anterior retinal surface[24]. In myopic eyes, vitreous fibers and abnormal attachments to the retinal surface may be observed at the border or along the posterior part of the AV base, and may occasionally extend beyond the equator level. In phakic eyes, the zonular and AV fibers are attached over the ciliary process and the pars plicata is rarely visible. In aphakic eyes, the zonular lens is shrunk and the capsule bag is visible because the lens’ haptics are positioned appropriately, whereas the haptics would be in the ciliary sulcus in cases of capsule rupture with or without vitreous gel contraction (Figure 3)[23].

CLINICAL APPLICATION AND OUTCOMES

Table 1 summarizes the outcomes from a number of cases series that used EV to treat AV pathologies[20,25-41]. Single-case reports were excluded.

PATHOLOGIES OF THE ANTERIOR VITREOUS

Anterior Vitreous Retraction, Prolapse, or Incarceration
Vitreous retraction with/without retinal breaks can usually be
detected during anterior PVR\cite{20,30}, where the anterior smooth invisible gel is usually replaced by high-density agglomeration, whereas the contractive gel or fibers connect to the anterior and posterior parts of the vitreous base and the circumferential retina\cite{20}. Therefore, persistent tractive forces exist around the vitreous base and the circumferential retina, which may lead to hemorrhage in the retinal surface. Vitreous prolapse usually occurs because of a capsular defect, and contraction of the vitreous gel or fibers could lead to IOL dislocation or a powerful tractive force being exerted on the retina, leading to retinal tearing\cite{13,42}. Vitreous incarceration often occurs in cases involving surgical incision\cite{43}.

### Anterior Vitreous Adhesion

In aphakic eyes, vitreous adhesion to the iris is often observed in the AV regions of the vitreoretinal junction. This is usually associated with various pathological conditions involving the pupil, such as inflammation, foreign matter, or a neoplasm behind the iris. Vitreous adhesion to the anterior retina can also lead to peripheral retinal tearing or fibrovascular proliferation\cite{44}.

**Ciliary Body Detachment and Cyclodialysis Cleft** The common causes of ciliary detachment and cyclodialysis cleft include eye trauma and iatrogenic interventions\cite{43}. Ciliary body detachment is always accompanied by retinal prolapse and often involves other posterior structures.

### Table 1: Summary of studies that evaluated endoscopic-assisted vitrectomy for anterior vitreous pathologies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Endoscopic procedure</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Complications (No. of eyes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uram, 1994\cite{22}</td>
<td>RRD with anterior PVR</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Retinal reattachment (60%)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraude and Wiedemann, 2004\cite{30}</td>
<td>Severe anterior PVR</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Retinal detachment (60%), ciliary body detachment (20%)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Retinal or ciliary body detachment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscher et al., 2014\cite{39}</td>
<td>RD with anterior PVR</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Anterior vitreous retraction (63%), ciliary detachment (29%), pigmentation/granulation (27%), &quot;stiff wrinkling&quot; (25%), shallow ciliary/retinal detachment (12%), retinal hemorrhage (8%)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciardell et al., 2001\cite{27}</td>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Visual improvement (75%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Retinal tear (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2016\cite{29}</td>
<td>Hyptony, PVR</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>IOP&gt;11 mm Hg (4 eyes), IOP&lt;6 mm Hg (11 eyes) to 11.4 mm Hg at the final follow-up (P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kita and Yoshimura, 2011\cite{38}</td>
<td>RRD with undetected retinal breaks</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Breaks detected in 19/20 eyes (95%), retina reattachment (100%), stable (100%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Smet and Mura, 2008\cite{37}</td>
<td>RRD with media opacity</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Retinal reattachment (89%)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>RD (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabti and Raizada, 2012\cite{36}</td>
<td>Severe ocular trauma</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Open globe injuries (83.7%) and endophthalmitis (46%) experienced vision improvement</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaikh et al., 2014\cite{35}</td>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>PPV+pars plana tube shunt</td>
<td>Significant reduction in IOP at the final follow-up (23-32 mm Hg to 7-17 mm Hg, P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taranta et al., 2011\cite{34}</td>
<td>Glaucoma</td>
<td>PPV+pars plana tube shunt</td>
<td>Significant reduction in IOP at the final follow-up (31.3 mm Hg to 11.4 mm Hg, P&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Phthisis (2), shunt retraction (1), shunt blockage (3), suprachoroidal hemorrhage (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammer and Grizzard, 2003\cite{33}</td>
<td>Ciliary body-related hyptony</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Chronic hyptony (9)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ren et al., 2013\cite{32}</td>
<td>Severe endophthalmitis with RD</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Visual acuity improved from 2/100 to 20/100 (14.3%), finger counting (9.5%), hand motion (38.1%), light perception (28.6%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Eye evisceration (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martiano et al., 2015\cite{31}</td>
<td>Severe endophthalmitis</td>
<td>PPV+ciliary body dissection</td>
<td>Infection control (89%) and improved visual acuity</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscher, 2012\cite{30}</td>
<td>Severe endophthalmitis</td>
<td>EAV</td>
<td>Visual acuity &gt;10/200 in 5 eyes (45.0%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Retinal perforation (1), iatrogenic retinal tear (4), sympathetic ophthalmia (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2015\cite{29}</td>
<td>Acute endophthalmitis after cataract surgery</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Best visual acuity of &gt;0.05 in 14 eyes (66.75%)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>RD (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Smet and Carlberg, 2005\cite{28}</td>
<td>Severe endophthalmitis</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>Final visual acuity from counting fingers to 20/20 in 8 eyes, no light perception in 7 eyes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>RD (2), hypotony (1), phthisis (3), enucleation (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boscher et al., 1998\cite{27}</td>
<td>Retinal lens fragments or posteriorly dislocated IOL</td>
<td>PPV+sutured lens fixation</td>
<td>Visual acuity &gt;20/40 in 19 eyes (63.3%), &gt;20/30 in 15 eyes (50.0%)</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>Intraoperative breaks in 2 eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsen and Pribilla, 2011\cite{26}</td>
<td>Ocular trauma without capsular support</td>
<td>PPV+sutured lens implantation</td>
<td>Broken sutures (2) that were both attributable to repeated trauma</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- PPV: Pars plana vitrectomy; EAV: Endoscopy assisted vitrectomy; PVR: Proliferative vitreoretinopathy; PDR: Proliferative diabetic retinopathy; IOP: Intraocular pressure; RD: Retinal detachment; RRD: Re-retinal detachment.
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or choroidal detachment. In most cases, retinal detachment occurs within a limited area and with a clear boundary. Furthermore, anterior retinal detachment rarely occurs in combination with ciliary detachment, unless there is extremely severe vitreous contraction, cyclitic membrane contraction, or hypotony. Cyclodialysis clefts are more rare than ciliary detachment. All of these pathological changes can be clearly and directly visualized using an endoscope.

**Anterior Neovascularization** Neovascularization in the anterior retina or in the iris is always observed in cases of proliferative diabetic retinopathy or retinal vein occlusion, especially when ischemia is observed. This is mainly the result of ischemia in areas with long-standing anterior loop traction and loss of blood supply into the anterior retinal vessels. Furthermore, neovascularization is related to angiogenesis that is controlled by various cytokines, mainly including vascular endothelial growth factor, fibroblast growth factor and insulin-like growth factor. This process can create a vicious circle that aggravates the ischemia and hypoxia and potentially can lead to anterior hemorrhage.

**Anterior Retinal or Subretinal Proliferation** Anterior retinal or subretinal proliferation can be observed in cases involving proliferative diabetic retinopathy, proliferative vitreoretinopathy, or prolonged complex retinal detachment, which causes severe retinal contraction with the stiff fibers. These fibers adhere tightly to the retina, which can create a sort of “retinal anterior loop” or “subretinal anterior loop” that can also lead to hemorrhage or tearing.

**Indications for Endoscopy-assisted Vitrectomy in the Anterior Vitreous** Intraocular endoscopy can facilitate the visualization and manipulation of the anterior structures, which can lead to better efficacy and anatomical outcomes in cases that are treated using EV vs other surgical options. This is because the endoscope can easily reach the areas of the posterior iris to manage retained lens matter, anterior PVR, and complex retinal detachments.

In the ciliary sulcus, endoscopy can facilitate complete capsulotomy during vitreolensectomy in cases with uveitis and in cases with retained pseudophakic lens matter causing chronic uveitis. In addition, endoscopy can be used to manage ciliary body and posterioririris pathologies, such as cyclodialysis cleft or ciliary detachment and hypotony, which can be addressed by removing the epipiliary membranes. Furthermore, endoscopy is not distorted by scleral indentation and provides a clear view of AV contraction, as well as the relationship between the AV gel and the pars plana-based glaucoma shunt tube. Therefore, endoscopy can facilitate more precise and complete vitreous clearance during vitrectomy, and reduce the risk of anterior proliferation resulting in severe anterior PVR, hypotony, and re-retinal detachment.

There are several benefits to using EV during the repair of retinal detachment. For example, it provides better visualization for retinal repair or re-attachment in cases with anterior media opacity. In addition, it is a useful tool for identifying undetectable retinal breaks, especially in pseudophakic or aphakic eyes and cases with complex retinal detachment. Furthermore, EV is an effective approach for subretinal surgery, removal of the subretinal membranes, or managing PVR, as it provides tracking along the subretinal surface from a relatively small retinotomy at a remote site and can also facilitate subretinal fluid drainage. Sonoda et al have also used an ophthalmological endoscope to remove subretinal fluid without performing endodrainage retinotomy and successfully performed retinal re-attachment.

Some case series have also reported that EV is useful for open globe injuries with or without intraocular foreign bodies (IOFBs) and severe endophthalmitis. The anterior and posterior segment pathologies deteriorated soon after these ocular injuries, and endoscopy helped facilitate better IOFB removal than that under a conventional microscopic view. Moreover, endoscopy reduced the probability of retinal tearing or vitreous hemorrhage, especially in cases with IOFBs located in the anterior retina or pre-existing endophthalmitis. Other reports have indicated that ophthalmological endoscopy can preserve visual acuity in cases that would otherwise require delay of surgery because of hazy media or the non-availability of a donor cornea for simultaneous penetrating keratoplasty.

**Pediatric Vitreoretinal Surgery** Although few studies have examined EV for children, endoscopy provides clear advantages during complex pediatric vitreoretinal surgery. In this context, the common pediatric vitreoretinal pathologies include retinopathy of prematurity, tractional retinal detachment, and familial exudative vitreoretinopathy. Furthermore, pediatric eyes have a unique anatomy and physiology, as well as a high risk of aggressive and widespread PVR, especially in cases with traumatic retinal detachment and open globe injuries. Endoscopy reduces the risk in these situations, as it can directly guide the surgery with minimal manipulation and the greatest surgical effect. For example, pediatric tractional retinal detachment can extend anteriorly very close to the lens and pars plicata, which is associated with a high risk of iatrogenic retinal break or lens trauma during sclerotomy. Endoscopy can help prevent these iatrogenic events.

**Manipulation During Endoscopy-assisted Vitrectomy and Its Learning Curve** The use of EV is challenging, and this technique has a steep learning curve. The first issue is the indirect viewing of the surgical field on a monitor, which requires training to adapt to the pseudo stereopsis, rather than traditional true stereopsis. In addition, the side-on intraoperative perspective requires the ability to discern the endoscope’s orientation and manually control the probe tip.
which requires precise hand-eye coordination. Thus, novice surgeons may experience difficulty with EV.

The second issue is that bimanual surgery is impossible, as one hand must control the endoscope. Furthermore, the endoscope provides a highly magnified image, which can provide a false sense of security regarding distance from anatomical structures. Thus, care is needed to avoid harming the retina or uvea, which can lead to iatrogenic ocular and choroidal hemorrhage.

Third, it is important to center the target area in the FOV during endoscopy surgery, as the image is controlled by the endoscope’s tip. Thus, surgical maneuvers at the edge of the FOV are associated with an increased risk of iatrogenic trauma. In addition, lighting adjustment may be necessary, as the available light is a product of the distance between the endoscope tip and the target area. Thus, when working at high magnifications and short distances, the illumination intensity should be reduced. Moreover, it is important to keep the endoscope’s tip clean in order to avoid obstruction or blurring of the endoscopic image. Finally, crossing beyond the pole of the lens should be avoided.

EV is also affected by the surgeon’s technique, the vitreous cutting equipment, and the vitreous gel viscosity. For example, if the vitreous cutting machine is a Venturi-type pump, the pump only controls aspiration, and the vacuum’s power is controlled by a foot pedal, which is affected by the surgeon’s experience. Furthermore, it is difficult to select a proper aspiration flow and cutting speed, which is based on both the specific anatomy and gel viscosity. Thus, the effective aspiration flow depends on the specific gel viscosity, the infusion gradient, the cutting mode, the vitrectomy probe, and other factors. Moreover, each surgeon tends to select parameters that fit their technique and habits after they have evaluated gel viscosity. In general, high cutting speeds are required for highly viscous gel and near the target pathology, while decreased cutting speed is warranted when the probe reaches the root of the gel. Therefore, extensive EV training is essential to the successful use of this technique, and it is preferable to practice using an artificial eye if possible.

**CONCLUSION**

Intraocular endoscopy plays a crucial role in vitreoretinal surgery for cases with media opacity, a small pupil, or iris adhesion, as it can facilitate clear and accurate AV dissection. Thus, it is likely that EV will become an increasingly valuable technique in this field.
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