· Original article ·

# Central foveal distribution based on posterior scleral morphologies in high myopia

Wei-Feng Liu<sup>1</sup>, Jiang-Bo Fan<sup>2</sup>, Yue-Yuan Xu<sup>1</sup>, Xuan Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Ya-Lan Ming<sup>1</sup>, Yi-Qi Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xue-Li Lan<sup>1</sup>, Guo-Fu Huang<sup>1</sup>

引用:刘维锋,樊江波,徐月圆,等.高度近视眼不同后巩膜形态 黄斑中心凹分布研究.国际眼科杂志 2021;21(9):1504-1507

Foundation item: Key Research and Development Project of Jiangxi Province (No.20171BBG70100)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, the Third Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (The Eye Hospital of Nanchang, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat – Sen University), Nanchang 330000, Jiangxi Province, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, No. 1 People's Hospital of Xiushui County, Xiushui 332400, Jiangxi Province, China

**Correspondence to:** Guo – Fu Huang. Department of Ophthalmology, the Third Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (The Eye Hospital of Nanchang, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat – Sen University), Nanchang 330000, Jiangxi Province, China. hgf2222@ sina.com Received:2020-05-18 Accepted:2021-03-15

# 高度近视眼不同后巩膜形态黄斑中心凹分布 研究

刘维锋<sup>1</sup>,樊江波<sup>2</sup>,徐月圆<sup>1</sup>,朱 璇<sup>1</sup>,闵亚兰<sup>1</sup>,刘毅琦<sup>1</sup>, 兰雪莉<sup>1</sup>,黄国富<sup>1</sup>

基金项目:江西省重点研发计划项目(No.20171BBG70100)

(作者单位:<sup>1</sup>330000 中国江西省南昌市,南昌大学第三附属医院 眼科(中山大学中山眼科中心南昌眼科医院);<sup>2</sup>332400 中国江 西省修水县第一人民医院眼科)

作者简介:刘维锋,毕业于南昌大学,硕士研究生,主任医师,研 究方向:眼底病。

通讯作者:黄国富,毕业于中山大学,博士研究生,主任医师,教授,研究方向:角膜病及屈光手术.hgf2222@ sina.com

### 摘要

**目的:**研究高度近视眼黄斑中心凹在不同后巩膜形态的 分布。

方法:收集 2016-05/2018-02 南昌大学第三附属医院高 度近视患者 126 例 207 眼,按照 Curtin 的后巩膜形态分类 方法分为三组:A 组为 I 和 Ⅱ 型后巩膜形态眼,B 组为 Ⅲ 型后巩膜形态眼,C 组为 Ⅶ、Ⅸ、X 型后巩膜形态眼。将眼 底后极部区域分为 1、2、3 区域。测量中心凹至通过视盘 中心垂直线的水平距离(HDFCO)、中心凹至通过视盘中 心水平线的垂直距离(VDFCO)和视力。研究在高度近视 不同后巩膜形态中心凹位置的分布。

**结果:**在后极部1区,3眼(1.5%)均来自A组。在后极部2区,117眼(56.5%)来自A组,15眼(7.2%)来自B组,13眼(6.3%)来自C组。三组HDFCO分别为5037±507、

4771±509、5585±773µm(F=8.38,P<0.01)。三组 VDFCO 分别为 615±297、643±322、504±363(F=0.87,P=0.41)。 在后极部 3 区,43 眼(20.7%)来自 A 组,7 眼(3.4%)来自 B 组,9 眼(4.4%)来自 C 组。三组 HDFCO 分别为 5048± 683、4 444±540、5293±840(F=3.13,P=0.05)。三组 VDFCO 分别为 1385±484、1225±201、1664±318µm(F= 2.18, P=0.12)。中心凹位于 2 区和 3 区的高度近视眼平 均最佳矫正视力(LogMAR)分别为 4.87±0.22 和 4.77±0.27 (t=-1.55,P=0.12)。

结论:高度近视眼黄斑中心凹位置在不同后巩膜形态中发 生轻微变化,对最佳矫正视力无影响。

关键词:高度近视;后巩膜形态;中心凹;视力

## Abstract

• AIM: To describe the distribution of the central fovea macula in different zones of the eye fundus and different posterior scleral morphologies in high myopia (HM).

• METHODS: From May 2016 and February 2018, a total of 207 eyes(126 cases) with HM were selected and divided into three groups according to Curtin's posterior scleral staphyloma classifications: group A (Types | and ||), group B (Type |||), and group C (Types VI, IX, and X). The posterior zone of the fundus was divided into three zones: zones 1, 2 and 3. The horizontal distance between the central fovea and the vertical line pass of the optic nerve head (ONH) center (HDFCO), the vertical distance between the fovea and the horizontal line pass of the ONH center (VDFCO), and vision were tested. The fovea position in different posterior scleral morphologies groups were investigated.

• RESULTS: In zone 1, 3 eyes were all from group A (1.5%). In zone 2, 117 eyes were from group A (56.5%), 15 eyes were from group B (7.2%), and 13 eyes were from group C (6.3%). The HDFCO was  $5\,037\,\pm$ 507 µm, 4771±509 µm, and 5585±773 µm in groups A, B, and C, respectively (F=8.38, P<0.01). The VDFCO values were  $615 \pm 297 \,\mu m$ ,  $643 \pm 322 \,\mu m$ , and  $504 \pm 363 \,\mu m$  (*F*= 0.87, P=0.41). In zone 3, 43 eyes were from group A (20.7%), 7 eyes were from group B (3.4%), and 9 eyes were from group C (4.4%). The HDFCO was  $5048 \pm 683 \mu m$ ,  $4444 \pm$  $540 \mu m$ , and  $5293 \pm 840 \mu m$  in groups A, B, and C, respectively (F=3.13, P=0.05). The VDFCO values were  $1385 \pm 484 \,\mu m$ ,  $1225 \pm 201 \,\mu m$ , and  $1664 \pm 318 \,\mu m$  (F=2.18, P = 0.12). The mean best corrected visual acuity of HM group was LogMAR 4.87±0.22 and 4.77±0.27 in zone 2 and zone 3, respectively (t = -1.55, P = 0.12).

• CONCLUSION: The position of the central fovea changes slightly with different posterior scleral morphologies in HM and has no effects on best corrected visual acuity.

• KEYWORDS: high myopia; posterior scleral morphology; central fovea; vision DOI:10.3980/j.issn.1672-5123.2021.9.02

**Citation**: Liu WF, Fan JB, Xu YY, *et al.* Central foveal distribution based on posterior scleral morphologies in high myopia. *Guoji Yanke Zazhi (Int Eye Sci)* 2021;21(9):1504–1507

### INTRODUCTION

 $\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{ith}}$  the increasing prevalence of myopia<sup>[1-3]</sup>, the occurrence of high myopia (HM) is also increasing<sup>[4-6]</sup>. As HM progresses, the axial length (AL) increases and the sclera expands, resulting in different posterior scleral morphologies. Some of the most convex parts of the posterior sclera are located at the posterior pole or below, some are located at the optic nerve area, and others are mixed. Whether this change in the morphology of the posterior sclera affects the central foveal position has yet to be reported. This study used Topcon DRI-OCT to measure the horizontal distance between the fovea and the vertical line pass of the optic nerve head (ONH) center (HDFCO) and the vertical distance between the fovea and the horizontal line pass of the ONH center (VDFCO). The distribution of the fovea in the posterior zone was additionally evaluated to explore the influence and relationship of different posterior scleral morphologies.

#### SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Patients with refractive errors were consecutively recruited between May 2016 and February 2018 at the Third Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University. The exclusion criteria were patients who underwent fundus surgery and patients with other ocular diseases that affected the evaluation of the foveal position. For patients with an eye AL larger than 26 mm, the 12 mm×9 mm scan mode of Topcon DRI-OCT Atlantis was used to acquire OCT images of the eye. This study included 126 cases (207 eyes): 74 females (128 eyes), 52 males (79 eyes), 110 cases of the right eye, and 97 cases of the left eye. The age range was from 7-81 (average  $38.55 \pm$ 18.36) years, spherical equivalent (SE) from -6.00 to -31.50 (mean: -13.13±5.89) Diopters, and AL from 26-36.10 (average: 28.98±2.04) mm. The study complied with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of the Third Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University.

**Group Classification** Classification of the posterior scleral morphology was determined using the OCT-3D model. The imaging model visualized 3D images of the posterior sclera. According to Curtin's posterior scleral staphyloma classifications<sup>[7]</sup>, the most common types were analyzed (Types I, II, III, VI, IX, and X). Types I and II were classified as group A, type III as group B, and types VII, IX,

and X as group C. The posterior scleral morphology was evaluated and confirmed by two retina specialists. If there were any inconsistencies in the evaluations, the sample was assessed by a third specialist.

**Zone Definition** According to three horizontal line passes of the ONH, the posterior polar 30° eye fundus was divided into three zones. Line 1 was the horizontal line pass of the superior rim of the ONH, Line 2 was the pass of the center ONH, and Line 3 was the pass of the inferior rim of the ONH. Zone A was between Line 1 and Line 2, Zone 2 was between Line 2 and Line 3, and Zone 3 was below Line 3. (Figure 1).

**Location Definition** The distribution of the central fovea position was defined according to several measurements. As shown in Figure 1, the macular fovea (red intersection) and the center of the ONH (red intersection) determined the HDFCO (solid long blue line) and VDFCO (solid short blue line). Two sets of data, HDFCO and VDFCO, were used to determine the location of the fovea.

**Refractive Error** Refractive error was determined using computerized refractor and subjective refraction examinations. A Nidek autorefractometer ARK - 1 was initially used to determine the refractive degree and other notable features. Patients ( < 18 years of age ) were then examined retinoscopically following an instillation of three drops of tropicamide 0.5%, which were instilled at 5-min intervals. After the instillation of the third drop (>30 min), subjective refraction was performed. Patients > 18 years of age were examined directly using subjective refraction. SEs were calculated following the examination. Subjective refraction was performed using a Nidek RT3100 refractometer (NIDEK CO. LTD.). Best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) were tested and recorded. The visual acuity of the myopic maculopathy CO and C1 HM were analyzed according to META-PM classification. Axial Length Measurement IOL Master (Carl Zeiss Meditec) was used to measure the AL 5 times. The average was taken, and if there was a deviation within the 5 measurements, the datum was not used in the study.

**Statistical Analysis** Descriptive analyses of the data were undertaken using SPSS 16.0 software (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used. A probability value of less than 5% was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

General Posterior Scleral Morphology Group There was no statistically significant difference in age, SE, or AL between groups A and B. However, the difference among groups A, B, and C was statistically significant. The width of the ONH ranged between 941 and 2424  $\mu$ m, and the average was  $1451 \pm 374 \ \mu$ m. Among them, the horizontal length of group A was shortest than that of groups B and C, and the difference was statistically significant. The vertical length of the ONH ranged between 1341 and 3176  $\mu$ m, with an average of  $2083 \pm 351 \ \mu$ m. The vertical length of group C was longer than that of groups A and B, and the difference was statistically significant (Table 1).

Table 1 Comparison of different posterior scleral morphology groups under general conditions					$\bar{x}\pm s$	
Parameters	Group A	Group B	Group C	F	Р	
Age (y)	36.8±18.6	40.54±15.97	49.36±15.11 <sup>a</sup>	4.84	< 0.01	
SE (D)	$12.65 \pm 5.21$	$13.38 \pm 3.96$	$17.89 \pm 11.10^{a,b}$	5.30	< 0.01	
AL (mm)	28.74±1.85	$28.69 \pm 1.90$	$31.25 \pm 2.32^{a,b}$	13.39	< 0.01	
Width of ONH (µm)	$1390 \pm 378$	1786±201 <sup>a</sup>	1581±251 <sup>a</sup>	13.30	< 0.01	
Vertical length of ONH ( $\mu m)$	$2052 \pm 343$	$2167 \pm 308$	2234±409 <sup>a</sup>	3.38	< 0.05	

SE: Spherical equivalent; AL: Axial length; ONH: Optic nerve head. <sup>a</sup>Statistically significant for group A; <sup>b</sup>Statistically significant for group B.



Figure 1 Distance between the central fovea and the ONH: HDFCO and VDFCO.

**Parameters of the Central Foveal Position** The HDFCO ranged between 3629 and 7290  $\mu$ m, with an average of 5048± 608  $\mu$ m. The HDFCO was 5042±555  $\mu$ m, 4662±530  $\mu$ m, and 5466±795  $\mu$ m in groups A, B, and C, respectively. There was a statistically significant difference among the three groups (F=10.27, P<0.01). The HDFCO of group B (Type III) was the shortest, followed by group A (Types I and II). The longest HDFCO is in Group C.

The VDFCO ranged between 71 and 3317  $\mu$ m, with an average of 831 ± 510  $\mu$ m. The VDFCO values were 811 ± 497  $\mu$ m, 828±397  $\mu$ m, and 979±674  $\mu$ m in groups A, B, and C, respectively. There was no significant difference among the three groups (*F*=1.05, *P*=0.35).

The Central Foveal Position in Different Zones Of the 207 eyes, there were 3 eyes in zone 1, accounting for 1.5% (3/207) of the samples – all of which were from group A (Type I and Type II). There were 145 eyes in zone 2, accounting for 70% (145/207) of the samples. A total of 117 eyes were from group A (56.5%), 15 eyes were from group B (7.2%), and 13 eyes were from group C (6.3%). There were 59 eyes in zone 3, which accounted for 28.5% (59/207) of the samples; 43 eyes were from group A (20.7%), 7 eyes were from group B (3.4%), and 9 eyes were from group C (4.4%).

In zone 2, the HDFCO was  $5037\pm507 \ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $4771\pm509 \ \mu\text{m}$ , and  $5585\pm773 \ \mu\text{m}$  in groups A, B, and C, respectively. There was a statistically significant difference among the three groups (F=8.38, P<0.01). The VDFCO values were  $615\pm297 \ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $643\pm322 \ \mu\text{m}$ , and  $504\pm363 \ \mu\text{m}$  in groups A, B, and C, respectively. There was no significant difference among the three groups (F=0.87, P=0.41).

In zone 3, the HDFCO was  $5048\pm683 \ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $4444\pm540 \ \mu\text{m}$ ,

and  $5293 \pm 840 \ \mu\text{m}$  in groups A, B, and C, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference among the three groups (F = 3.13, P = 0.05). The VDFCO values were  $1385 \pm 484 \ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $1225 \pm 201 \ \mu\text{m}$ , and  $1664 \pm 318 \ \mu\text{m}$ . There was no significant difference among the three groups (F = 2.18, P = 0.12).

**Visual Acuity** The visitual acutiv of HM were LogMAR 4.87 $\pm$ 0.22 and 4.77 $\pm$ 0.27 in zone 2 and zone 3; these values were not significantly different (t = -1.55, P = 0.12). The vision of HM in zone 1 was LogMAR 4.2 in just one eye. **DISCUSSION** 

The visual function decrease gradually in HM. Most ophthalmologies think it corrected with much factors in clinical practice, include refractive error, optical aberration in early stage and retinal structural damage, choroidal vascular reduction in late stage of HM. But all changes attribute to long AL and posterior staphyloma. Long AL and posterior staphyloma will change the macular fovea and eye position. It cause visual axis light can't focus on the macular fovea. This is a question worth discussing.

In previous qualitative and quantitative reports on the eye and retina, the morphology of the eye was rarely analyzed and taken into account. In 1977, Curtin used the first binocular indirect ophthalmoscope to classify posterior staphyloma into 10 types, providing a clinical reference for the evaluation of posterior scleral morphologies in HM. In recent years, with the development of imaging technology in ophthalmology, Ohno - Matsui reclassified posterior staphyloma into 5 categories using 3D-MRI images. This resulted in the removal of the latter 5 categories of Curtin's classifications, namely, the wide, narrow, peripapillary, nasal, and inferior types of posterior staphyloma<sup>[8]</sup>. In addition, Moriyama *et al*<sup>[9]</sup> used 3D-MRI imaging to classify the posterior segment into barrel, cylindric, nasally distorted, and temporally distorted types, whereas Fledelius *et*  $al^{[10]}$  quantified the appearance of posterior scleral grape cysts by reconstructing curvature plots with OCT. In this study, a 3D composite image of the posterior sclera was formed using DRI - OCT Atlantis to evaluate the posterior scleral pattern. Types I and II were classed together into group A because it is difficult to accurately assess. Type III was grouped into group B, and Types VII, IX, and X were classed as group C. Types IV and V were not observed in this study.

To analyze the distribution of the central foveal position, a

reference point must be formed. Researchers have used the temporal disc, myopic  $\operatorname{arc}^{[11-12]}$ , and optic  $\operatorname{disc}^{[13-14]}$  as previous reference points. The myopic arc often changes with age and AL<sup>[15]</sup>. These results showed that the widest width of the ONH was Type Ⅲ of group B, followed by group C and group A with the narrowest width. From a vertical length of the ONH, groups A, B, and C all gradually increased. This indicates that different posterior morphologies can lead to significant changes in the ONH. Therefore, in this study, the center of the ONH was established as the reference point, which was used to evaluate the position of the central fovea using HDFCO and VDFCO measurements. There were significant differences in groups A, B, and C by comparing HDFCOs. The HDFCO of Type Ⅲ of group B was the shortest, followed by Types I and II of group A. The longest HDFCO was found in group C. However, there were no significant differences in VDFCO among groups A, B, and C. This shows that the central fovea changes mainly in the horizontal direction in different posterior scleral morphologies, with the fovea closest to the ONH in group B.

It is evident that the central fovea of the 70% sample are normally distributed in zone 2. Approximately 30% of the samples have significant displacement in zone 1 (1.5%) and zone 3 (28.5%). A total of 1.5% of the eyes moved up to zone 1, which were mostly from the group with an AL longer than 29 mm. In zone 2, HDFCO was longest in group C than in other groups, and there was no difference between groups A and B. No difference in VDFCO among three groups, which indicates that the central fovea location mostly changes in the horizontal line and in mixed mode posterior scleral morphologies.

In zone 3, the longest HDFCO was in group C, and the shortest HDFCO was in group B. No difference in VDFCO among three groups, which indicates that the central fovea location mostly changes in the horizontal line and in mixed mode posterior scleral morphologies. The visual outcomes were determined, including refractive status, AL, and aging in HM<sup>[16]</sup>. With the progression of myopia, myopic maculopathy influences visual outcomes, including fusion of patchy atrophy, CNV, macular atrophy and lacquer cracks<sup>[17-18]</sup>. From this study, C2, C3, and C4 myopic maculopathy were excluded. The central fovea position was related to the vision of HM of C1 myopic maculopathy. Therefore, this suggests that the visual outcomes are influenced by the above factors, and the position of the fovea is not affected.

In this study, groups B and C had relatively small samples. However, aside from the limitations of this study, the age, AL, and SE of groups A and B were complementary, producing more accurate analysis results. For group C, the same factors were significantly higher than those of groups A and B. Interestingly, this in itself is a feature of the different types of posterior scleral morphologies. In summary, the position of the fovea changes horizontally with different posterior scleral morphologies in different zones in HM, but this change has no effect on vision.

### REFERENCES

1 Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA, Jong M, Naido KS, Sankaridurg P, Wong TY, Naduvilath TJ, Resnikoff S. Global prevalence of myopia and high myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123(5):1036

2 Pan CW, Ramamurthy D, Saw SM. Worldwide prevalence and risk factors for myopia. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2012;32(1):3-16

3 Pan CW, Dirani M, Cheng CY, Wong TY, Saw SM. The age-specific prevalence of myopia in Asia: a Meta-analysis. *Optom Vis Sci* 2015; 92(3):258-266

4 He MG, Huang WY, Li YT, Zheng YF, Yin QX, Foster PJ. Refractive error and biometry in older Chinese adults: the Liwan eye study. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009;50(11):5130-5136

5 Liang YB, Wong TY, Sun LP, Tao QS, Wang JJ, Yang XH, Xiong Y, Wang NL, Friedman DS. Refractive errors in a rural Chinese adult population the Handan eye study. *Ophthalmology* 2009; 116 (11): 2119–2127

6 Fan DS, Lam DS, Lam RF, Lau JT, Chong KS, Cheung EY, Lai RY, Chew SJ. Prevalence, incidence, and progression of myopia of school children in Hong Kong. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004; 45 (4): 1071-1075

7 Curtin BJ. The posterior staphyloma of pathologicalmyopia. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 1977;75:67-86

8 Hsiang HW, Ohno-Matsui K, Shimada N, Hayashi K, Moriyama M, Yoshida T, Tokoro T, Mochizuki M. Clinical characteristics of posterior staphyloma in eyes with pathologic myopia. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2008; 146(1):102-110

9 Moriyama M, Ohno-Matsui K, Hayashi K, Shimada N, Yoshida T, Tokoro T, Morita I. Topographic analyses of shape of eyes with pathologic myopia by high – resolution three – dimensional magnetic resonance imaging. *Ophthalmology* 2011;118(8):1626-1637

10 Fledelius HC, Goldschmidt E. Eye shape and peripheral visual field recording in high myopia at approximately 54 years of age, as based on ultrasonography and Goldmann kinetic perimetry. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2010; 88(5):521-526

11 Nakazawa M, Kurotaki J, Ruike H. Longterm findings in peripapillary crescent formation in eyes with mild or moderate myopia. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2008;86(6):626-629

12 Chui TY, Zhong Z, Burns SA. The relationship between peripapillary crescent and axial length: Implications for differential eye growth. *Vision Res* 2011;51(19):2132-2138

13 Witmer MT, Margo CE, Drucker M. Tilted optic disks. Surv Ophthalmol 2010;55(5):403-428

14 Fledelius HC, Goldschmidt E. Optic disc appearance and retinal temporal vessel arcade geometry in high myopia, as based on follow-up data over 38 years. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2010;88(5):514-520

15 Liu WF, Gong LP, Li YJ, Zhu X, Stewart JM, Wang CY. Peripapillary atrophy in high myopia. *Curr Eye Res* 2017; 42 (9): 1308-1312

16 Shih YF, Ho TC, Hsiao CK, Lin LL. Visual outcomes for high myopic patients with or without myopic maculopathy: a 10 year follow up study. Br J Ophthalmol 2006;90(5):546-550

17 Wong TY, Ohno-Matsui K, Leveziel N, Holz FG, Lai TY, Yu HG, Lanzetta P, Chen Y, Tufail A. Myopic choroidal neovascularisation: current concepts and update on clinical management. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2015;99(3):289-296

18 Hayashi K, Ohno-Matsui K, Shimada N, Moriyama M, Kojima A, Hayashi W, Yasuzumi K, Nagaoka N, Saka N, Yoshida T, Tokoro T, Mochizuki M. Long-term pattern of progression of myopic maculopathy: a natural history study. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117(8):1595-1611.e4