·Clinical Research ·

Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in west Malaysia

S C Reddy, I Tajunisah

Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence to: S C Reddy. Department of Ophthalmology, International Medical University, Clinical School, Jalan Rasah, 70300 Seremban, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. screddy98@hotmail. com

Received: 2008-03-23 Accepted: 2008-04-29

Abstract

• AIM: To determine the indications for penetrating keratoplasty in Malaysia and to compare the leading indications with recently published data from other countries.

• METHODS: A retrospective review of the medical records of all patients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty at Medical Centre, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia over a period of fifteen years (1991/2005) was done. The gender, age, race of patients, eye operated, indication for surgery were noted from the records, and analyzed.

• RESULTS: A total of 125 penetrating keratoplasties were performed in 105 patients (male 71 and female 34; Chinese 55, Indians 33, and Malays 17; unilateral 85 and bilateral 20) during the study period. The mean age of patients was 51.3 years (range 13-79 years). The indications for penetrating keratoplasty were pseudophakic bullous keratopathy (28.8%), corneal scar (20.0%), keratoconus (17.6%), regrafts (9.6%), corneal dystrophies (8.8%) and corneal perforations (7.2%).

• CONCLUSION: Pseudophakic bullous keratopathy is the most common indication for penetrating keratoplasty in Malaysia. The three leading indications (pseudophakic bullous keratopathy, corneal scar and keratoconus) in our study are similar to many countries in the world, but they differ in the order of frequency from some countries.

• KEYWORDS: penetrating keratoplasty; pseudophakic bullous keratopathy; corneal scar; keratoconus; regraft; corneal dystrophies

Reddy SC, Tajunisah I. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in west Malaysia. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2008;1(2):125–128

INTRODUCTION

orneal diseases are one of the major causes of blindness and visual impairment in developing countries ^[1]. Penetrating keratoplasty (PK) is still the procedure of choice for visual rehabilitation of patients with corneal blindness. The leading indications for PK reported in ^[2-41] include the literature from different countries pseudophakic bullous keratopathy (PBK), corneal scarring following infections and injuries, keratoconus, failed corneal grafts, purulent keratitis/ corneal ulcer, corneal dystrophies and degenerations. However, the most common indication varies from one centre to another centre in the same country, from one country to another country in the same region and from one region to another region globally based on geographical variation, socio-economic status (developed/ developing) of the country and the availability of health care services. The Pubmed search showed numerous reports published from different countries in the world, but none from Malaysia. Therefore, we conducted a retrospective study to determine the indications for penetrating keratoplasty in a tertiary medical centre in west Malaysia (University of Malaya Medical Centre, Kuala Lumpur), and compared the leading indications with the recently published data from different countries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective review of the medical records of all patients who underwent PK over a period of fifteen years (1991/2005) was done. The gender, age, race of patients, eye operated, indication for surgery were noted from the records, and analyzed. The graft failure was defined as irreversible graft reaction after intensive medical therapy, resulting in corneal opacification and poor vision (<3/60). The primary indication for which the PK was performed earlier, was noted in patients with regrafts.

RESULTS

A total of 125 PKs were performed in 105 patients. Males were predominant (71) than females (34) in this study; Chinese were 55 followed by Indians (33) and Malays (17).

Indications	for	penetrating	keratoplasty	in	west	Malaysia
-------------	-----	-------------	--------------	----	------	----------

Table 1 Indications	=125 eyes)		
Indication	n (%)	Subcategory of indication	n (%)
Pseudophakic bullous keratopathy	36(28.8)	ACIOL ¹	20(16.0)
		PCIOL ²	16(12.8)
Corneal scar	25(20.0)	Bacterial keratitis	12(9.6)
		Trauma	8(6.4)
		Fungal	3(2.4)
		Viral keratitis	2(1.6)
Keratoconus	22(17.6)		
Regrafts	12(9.6)	PBK ³	10(8.0)
		Corneal perforation	2(1.6)
Corneal dystrophies	11(8.8)	Fuch's endothelisl dystrophy	5(4.0)
		Lattice dystrophy	5(4.0)
		Granular dystrophy	1(0.8)
Bullous keratopathy	10(8.0)	Aphakia	8(6.4)
		Open angle glaucoma	1(0.8)
		Acute congestive glaucoma	1(0.8)
Corneal perforations	9(7.2)	Corneal ulcer	8(6.4)
		Sjogren syndrome	1(0.8)

¹ACIOL: anterior chamber intraocular lens; ²PCIOL: posterior chamber intraocular lens; ³PBK: pseudophakic bullous keratopathy

The mean age of patients was 51.3 years, ranging between 13 and 79 years. In keratoconus patients, the mean age was 22.6 years with a range between 13 and 36 years. The surgery was done in one eye in 85 patients and in both eyes in 20 patients (total 125 procedures); regrafts were done in 12 eyes of patients in whom one eye was operated already. Bilateral PK was performed in 10 patients with keratoconus, in 6 with pseudophakic bullous keratopathy, in 2 with lattice corneal dystrophy, in 1 patient with aphakic bullous keratopathy (ABK) and Fuchs' endothelial dystrophy each. In our study, the most common indication was pseudophakic bullous keratopathy (28.8%) followed by corneal scarring (Table 1). Pseudophakic bullous keratopathy was noted more frequently in anterior chamber intraocular lens implantation. Nearly half the number of corneal scars were due to bacterial keratitis. In regraft cases, primary indication for doing earlier PK was PBK. Majority of bullous keratopathy patients were aphakic; Fuchs' endothelial dystrophy and lattice corneal dystrophy were equal in number in our study.

DISCUSSION

The most common indication for PK varies from country to country in different regions of the world. The period of study, number of PKs done, and the percentage of leading three indications published in the literature during the past eighteen years is shown in Table 2. It is evident that the three leading indications for PK were different in the order of frequency from region to region. When the percentages of

126

indications from different centres in the region are added together, the order of leading indications is as follows: USA—PBK,keratoconus,regrafts; UK—regrafts, keratoconus, corneal scar; Europe—PBK, keratoconus, regrafts; Canada —regrafts, PBK, keratoconus; Australia & New Zealand keratoconus, PBK and ABK, regrafts; India—corneal scar, active infectious keratitis, regrafts; and China—corneal scar, purulent keratitis, keratoconus.

In countries like India and China where the population is very large, corneal scar followed by the corneal infections and corneal injuries is the first leading indication for PK. The probable reason for this could be that majority of people in these countries live in rural areas and they may not be in a position to afford the cost of the treatment which is available quite far away from their places, thus suffering from corneal blindness.

In our study, PBK (28.8%) was the most common and first leading indication for PK which is similar to the figures reported from Canada ^[29], Denmark ^[31], France ^[16], and USA ^[18,26,30,35]. However, its frequency is much higher than the figures reported from Singapore (11.4%)^[27] and Thailand (12.6%) ^[39]. Cataract surgery related to corneal edema (PBK/ABK) accounted for 35.2% of PKs in our study which is much higher than the figures of similar cause from Canada (22.2%)^[38], Slovenia (23.1%)^[3], Singapore (26.3%)^[27], and Thailand (28.9%)^[14] (Table 2). The routine practice of intraocular lens implantation following cataract surgery in many countries and the learning curve of the surgeons in the initial years of practice could be the possible causes for the increased frequency of PK in patients with PBK.

The second leading indication for PK in our study was corneal scar (20%), which resulted from corneal infections (13.6%) and corneal trauma (6.4%). However, the corneal scar was reported as the first indication for PK in many countries like China ^[8], India ^[9,28], Nepal ^[11], Taiwan ^[19], Thailand ^[39], UK ^[25], and Zimbabwe ^[23], and the reported figures varied between 26% ^[25] and 55.9% ^[8] (Table 2). In majority of these patients, it was post infectious keratitis scarring. Delayed diagnosis, inadequate treatment, failure to implement standard management protocol for corneal infections may be the contributing factors for higher proportion of dense corneal scarring following corneal infections. In patients with corneal scar following trauma, 2.2% ^[14] to 16.7% ^[9] required penetrating keratoplasty.

Keratoconus (17.6%) was the third leading indication in our study. However, it was the first leading indication in Australia^[34], Brazil^[5], France^[20], Germany^[24], Iran^[2], Israel^[7], New Zealand^[17], Sweden^[40], UK & Ireland^[33], USA ^[36,37], and West Bank & Gaza ^[41]; with the reported figures ranging between 24% ^[37] and 65% ^[5] (Table 2).

Int J Ophthalmol, Vol. 1, No. 2, Jun.18, 2008 www. IJO. cn Tel:8629–82245172 8629–83085628 Email:IJO. 2000@163.com

Author	Study year	Country	PK No.	Leading indications with percentage		
Autiloi				First	Second	Third
ACGR ^[34]	1985-1991	Australia	3463	Keratoconus 31%	BK 25%	Failed graft 14%
Calix Natto et al [5]	June-Dec2003	Brazil	106 ¹	Keratoconus 65%	PBK21%	Corneal scar 10%
Damji <i>et al</i> ^[38]	1978-1987	Canada	659^{2}	BK 22.2%	Keratoconus 17.1%	Corneal scar 13.5%
Liu et al ^[29]	1986-1995	Canada	904	PBK 28.5%	Regraft 22.4%	Keratoconus 10%
Maeno et al [21]	1964-1997	Canada	6192^{2}	Regraft 18%	Keratoconus 16%	PBK 15.3%
Dorrepaal et al [4]	1986-2004	Canada	777	Regraft 26.9%	PBK 24.8%	Fuchs' dystrop 13%
Xie et al ^[6]	1997-2002	China	1702	Purulent keratitis 31.9%	HSK 17.6%	Keratoconus 12.9%
Zhang et al ^[8]	1994-2003	China	229	Corneal scar 55.9%	BK 19.9%	Keratoconus 12.2%
Haamann et al ^[31]	1984-1993	Denmark	180	PBK 28.3%	Fuchs' dystro.13.9%	Regraft 11.1%
Legeais et al [20]	1980-1999	France	3736	Keratoconus 28.8%	HSK 10.9%	Graft failure 9.9%
Poinard et al [16]	2000-2001	France	11598 ¹	PBK 27.7%	Keratoconus 25.3%	Fuchs' dystrop 9.1%
Cursiefen et al [24]	1992-1996	Germany	1250^{2}	Keratoconus 20.9%	Corneal scar 20.4%	PBK/ABK 17%
Dandona et al [28]	1987-1995	India	1964	Corneal scar 28.1%	Regraft 17.1%	AIK 12.2%
Sony <i>et al</i> ^[9]	1997-2003	India	2022	Corneal scar 38%	AIK 28.4%	Regraft 11.5%
Kanavi et al [2]	1994-2004	Iran	19668 ³	Keratoconus 34.5%	Corneal scar 18.6%	PBK 9.2%
Yahalom <i>et al</i> ^[7]	1961-2000	Isrel	1681	Keratoconus 28.4%	Graft failure 13.4%	PBK 8.4%
Tabin <i>et al</i> ^[11]	1994-1995	Nepal	472	Corneal scar 37%	Corneal perfor. 9%	PBK 6%
Edwards et al [17]	1991-1999	New Zealand	1308	Keratoconus 45.6%	PBK/ABK 17.9%	Regraft 8.7%
Amaral et al [10]	1998-2002	Pernambuo	814	Corneal ulcer 21.3%	BK 19.9%	Keratoconus 18.6%
Al-Towerki et al [12]	1983-2002	Saudi Arabia	8318	Corneal scar 36.9%	Keratoconus 20.5%	ABK/PBK 12.3%
Chan et al ^[27]	1991-1995	Singapore	327	BK 26.3%	Regraft 11.9%	Corneal dystr. 10.4%
Pahor et al ^[3]	1985-2004	Slovenia	290	ABK/PBK 23.1%	Keratoconus 21.7%	Corneal scar 21.4%
Claesson et al [40]	1996-1998	Sweeden	1950	Keratoconus 29%	BK 21.2%	Fuchs' dystrop. 14.6%
Chaidaroon et al [14]	1996-1999	Thailand	45	BK 28.9%	Corneal scar 22.2%	Corneal dyst.°. 20%
Prechanond et al [39]	1997-2001	Thailand	366	Corneal scar 28.7%	Regraft 17.5%	PBK 12.6%
Chen et al [19]	1987-1999	Thaiwan	770	Corneal scar 27.9%	Regraft 21%	Corneal ulcer 17.9%
Ramsay et al [25]	1970-1995	UK	1486	Corneal scar 26%	Regraft 20.7%	Fuchs' dystrophy 8%
Sharif et al [32]	1971-1990	UK	3555	Regraft 40.8%	Keratoconus 17%	Corneal scar 11.7%
Vail et al ^[33]	1987-1991	UK& Ireland	3184	Keratoconus 19.9%	PBK 15.1%	Fuchs dystrophy 11.4%
Al-Yousuf et al [13]	1990-1999	UK	784	Regraft 40.9%	Keratoconus 15%	Fuchs dystrophy 9.3%
Lois et al [26]	1989-1995	USA	2442	PBK 26%	Regraft 17.8%	Fuchs dystrophy 15.7%
Dobbins et al [22]	1982-1996	USA	4217	PBK 31.5%	Fuchs dystro 23.2%	Keratoconus 11.4%
Cosar et al ^[18]	1996-2000	USA	1529	PBK 27.2%	Regraft 18.1%	Keratoconus 15.4%
Randleman et al [15]	1997-2000	USA	79	Failed graft 29.1%	BK 21.5%	Keratoconus 20.3%
Flowers et al [30]	1989-1993	USA	1104	PBK 24.8%	Regraft 21.3%	Corneal scar 11.1%
Mamalis et al [36]	1981-1990	USA	999	Keratoconus 24.2%	PBK 23%	Regraft 13.1%
Hyman <i>et al</i> ^[35]	1985-1988	USA	3941	PBK 23%	Graft failure 17%	Keratoconus 13%
Lindquist et al [37]	1980-1988	USA	1594	Keratoconus 24%	PBK/ABK 21.2%	Corneal scar 13.9%
Claesson ^[41]	Jan 2001 -	West Bank&				
	Nov 2002	Gaza	154	Keratoconus 51.3%	Regraft 10.4%	BK 9.7%
Mkanganwi et al [23]	1992-1998	Zimbabwe		Corneal scar 39.2%	Keratoconus 26.8%	PBK 12.5%
Present study	1991-2005	Malaysia	125	PBK 28.8%	Corneal scar 20%	Keratoconus 17.6%

Table 2 Comparison of leading three indications for penetrating keratoplasty in different countries

¹Patients registered for penetrating keratoplasty; ²Corneal buttons of patients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty;

³Eyebank records of patients who underwent penetrating keratoplasty

BK: bullous keratopathy, PBK: pseudophakic bullous keratopathy; ABK: aphakic bullous keratopathy; HSK: herpes

simplex keratitis; AIK: active infectious keratitis

Regraft accounted only for 9.6% of PKs in our study; in majority of these patients, the PK was done for PBK earlier. However, regrafting was reported as the first leading indication from well developed countries like Canada ^[4,21], UK ^[13,32], and USA ^[15]; and the reported figures ranged from 18%^[21] to 40.9%^[13]. Fuchs' corneal dystrophy was one of the three leading indications reported from different countries such as Australia ^[34], Canada ^[4], Denmark ^[31], France^[16],

UK $^{[13,25,33]}$, and USA $^{[22,26]}$; the range of figures varied from $8\%^{[25]}$ to $23.2\%^{[22]}$ (Table 2). In our study, Fuchs' dystrophy accounted for only 4% of PK.

Purulent keartitis/ corneal ulceration was the first leading indication in China^[6] and Pernambuo^[10] respectively, while active infectious keratitis has been reported as second ^[9] and third ^[28] leading indication for PK in India. In our study, infectious keratitis accounted for 13.6% of PK while

Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in west Malaysia

perforated corneal ulcer accounted for 6.4% of PK.

In conclusion pseudophakic bullous keratopathy, corneal scarring and keratoconus are the three leading indications in Malaysia, followed by regrafts for graft failure, corneal dystrophy, bullous keratopathy and corneal perforations. The three leading indications in our study are similar to many countries in the world, but they differ in the order of frequency from some countries.

REFERENCES

1 Thylefors B, Negrel AD, Pararajasegaram R, Dadzie KY. Global data on blindness. *Bull World Health Organ* 1995;73:115–121

2 Kanavi MR, Javadi MA, Sanagoo M. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in Iran. *Cornea* 2007;26:561–563

3 Pahor D, Gracner B, Falez M, Gracner T. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty over a 20-year period. *Klin Monatshl Augenheilkd* 2007;224: 110–114

4 Dorrepaal SJ, Cao KY, Slomovic AR. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in a tertiary referral centre in Canada, 1996–2004. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2007;42: 244–250

5 Calix Netto MJ, Giustina Ed, Ramos GZ, Peccini RF, Sobrinho M, de Souza LB. Major indications for corneal penetrating keratoplasty at a reference service in Sao Paulo state (Sorocaba–SP, Brazil). *Arq Bras Oftalmol* 2006;69:661–664

6 Xie LX, Wang FH, Shi WY. Analysis of causes for penetrating keratoplasty at Shandong Eye Institute from 1997 to 2002. *Chin J Ophthalmol* 2006;42:704–708

7 Yahalom C, Mechoulam H, Solomon A, Raiskup FD, Peer J, Frucht–Pery J. Forty years of changing indications in penetrating keratoplasty in Israel. *Cornea* 2005; 24:256–258

8 Zhang C, Xu J. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in East China, 1994–2003. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2005;243:1005–1009

9 Sony P, Sharma N, Sen S, Vajpayee RB. Indications of penetrating keratoplasty in northern India. *Corrnea* 2005;24:989–991

10 Amaral Cde S, Duarte JY, Silva PL, Valbuena R, Cunha F. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in Pernambuco. *Arg Bras Oflalmol* 2005;68:635–637

11 Tabin GC, Gurung R, Paudyal G, Reddy HS, Hobbs CL, Wiedman MS, Ruit S. Penetrating keratoplasty in Nepal. *Cornca* 2004;23:589–596

12 Al-Towerki AE, Gonnah el-S, Al-Rajhi A, Wagoner MD. Changing indications for corneal transplantation at the King Khalid Eye Specialist Hospital (1983-2002). *Cornea* 2004;23:584–588

13 Al-Yousuf N, Mavrikaksi I, Mavrikaksi E, Daya SM. Penetrating keratoplasty: indications over a 10 year period. *Br.J Ophthalmol* 2004;88:998–1001

14 Chaidaroon W, Ausayakhun S, Ngamtiphakron S, Prasisilp J. Clinical indications for penetrating keratoplasty in Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital, 1996–1999. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2003;86:206–211

15 Randleman JB, Song CD, Palay DA. Indications for and outcome of penetrating keratoplasty performed by resident surgeons. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2003;136:68–75

16 Poinard C, Tuppin P, Loty B, Delbosc B. The French national waiting list for keratoplasty created in 1999: patient registration, indications, characteristics, and turnover. *JFr Ophthalmol* 2003;26:911–919

17 Edwards M, Clover GM, Brookes N, Pendergrast D, Chaulk J, McGhee CN. Indications for corneal transplantation in New Zealand: 1991–1999. *Cornea* 2002; 21:152–155

18 Cosar CB, Sridhar MS, Cohen EJ, Held EL, Alvim Pde T, Rapuano CJ, Raber IM, Laibson PR. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty and associated procedures, 1996–2000. *Cornea* 2002;21:148–151 19 Chen WL, Hu FR, Wang IJ. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty in Taiwan from 1987 to 1999. *Cornea* 2001;20:141–144

20 Legeais JM, Parc C, d'Hermies F, Pouliquen Y, Renard G. Nineteen years penetrating keratoplasty in the Hotel–Dieu Hospital in Paris. *Cornea* 2001;20: 603–606

21 Maeno A, Naor J, Lee HM, Hunter Ws, Rootman DS. Three decades of corneal transplantation: indications and patient characteristics. *Cornea* 2000;19:7–11

22 Dobbins KR, Price FW, Whitson WE. Trends in the indications for penetrating keratoplasty in the Midwestern United States. *Cornea* 2000;19:813–816

23 Mkanganwi N, Nondo SI, Guramatunhu S. Indications for corneal grafting in Zimbabwe. *Cent Afr: J Med* 2000;46:300–302

24 Cursiefen C, Kuchle M, Naumann GO. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty: histopathology of 1250 corneal buttons. *Cornea* 1998;17:468–470

25 Ramsay AS, Lee WR, Mohammed A. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty in the west of Scotland from 1970 to 1995. *Lye* 1997;11:357–360

26 Lois N, Kowal VO, Cohen EJ, Rapuano CJ, Gault JA, Raber IM, Laibson PR. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty and associated procedures, 1989–1995. *Cornea* 1997;16:623–629

27 Chan CM, Wong TY, Yeong SM, Lim TH, Tan DT. Penetrating keratoplasty in the Singapore National Eye Centre and donor cornea acquisition in the Singapore Eye Bank. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1997;26:395–400

28 Dandona L, Raghu K, Janarthanan M, Naduvilath TJ, Shenoy R, Rao GN. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 1997;45: 163–168

29 Liu E, Slomovic AR. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty in Canada, 1986–1995. *Cornea* 1997;16:414–419

30 Flowers CW, Chang KY, McLeod SD, Irvine JA, McDonnell PJ, Rao N, Smith RE. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty, 1989–1993. *Cornea* 1995; 14:583–588

31 Haamann P, Jensen OM, Schmidt P. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty. *Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh)* 1994;72:443–446

32 Sharif KW, Casey TA. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty, 1971-1990. *Eye* 1993;7:485-488

33 Vail A, Gore SM, Bradley BA, Easty DL, Rogers CA. Corneal transplantation in the United Kingdom and Republic of Ireland. *Br.J Ophthalmol* 1993;77:650–656

34 Williams KA, Muehlberg SM, Wing SJ, Coster DJ. The Australian Corneal Graft Registry, 1990 to 1992 report. *Aust NZJ Ophthalmol* 1993;21 (Suppl):1–48

35 Hyman L, Wittpenn J, Yang C. Indications and techniques of penetrating keratoplasties, 1985–1988. *Cornea* 1992;11:573–576

36 Mamalis N, Anderson CW, Kreisler KR, Lundergan MK, Oslon RJ. Changing trends in the indications for penetrating keratoplasty. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1992;110: 1409–1411

37 Lindquist TD, McGlothan JS, Rotkis WM, Chandler JW. Indications for penetrating keratoplasty: 1980–1988. *Cornea* 1991;10:210–216

38 Damji KF, Rootman J, White VA, Dubord PJ, Richards JS. Changing indications for penetrating keratoplasty in Vancouver, 1978–1987. *Can J Ophthalmol* 1990;25:243–248

39 Prechanond A, Chuckpaiwong V, Bunnparadist P, Vonghongsri A. Penetrating keratoplasty in Ramathibodi hospital (1997–2001) : A 5 year retrospective study. *Thai J Ophthalmol* 2002;16:55–61

40 Claesson M, Armitage WJ, Fagerholm P, Stenevi U. Visual outcome in corneal grafts: a preliminary analysia of the Sweedish Corneal Transplant Register. *Br J*. *Ophthalmol* 2002;86:174–180

41 Cleasson M, Armitage WJ. Corneal grafts at St John Eye Hospital, Jerusalem, January 2001–November 2002. *Br.J Ophthalmol* 2004;88:858–860