• Investigation •

Prevalence of and risk factors for diabetic macular edema in a northeastern Chinese population

Zhong Lin^{1,2}, Feng-Hua Wang³, Liang Wen⁴, Yu Wang⁴, Dong Li⁴, Xiao-Xia Ding⁴, Yu Dou⁴, Gang Zhai⁴, Yuan-Bo Liang^{1,2}

¹The Eye Hospital, School of Ophthalmology and Optometry, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325027, Zhejiang Province, China

²National Clinical Research Center for Ocular Diseases, Wenzhou 325027, Zhejiang Province, China

³Beijing Tongren Eye Center, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Capital Medical University; Beijing Ophthalmology & Visual Science Key Lab, Beijing 100730, China

⁴Fushun Eye Hospital, Fushun 113006, Liaoning Province, China

Co-first authors: Zhong Lin and Feng-Hua Wang

Correspondence to: Yu Wang. Fushun Eye Hospital, No.1 Hu Po Quan Street, Xin Fu District, Fushun 113006, Liaoning Province, China. fswangyu71@163.com

Received: 2021-01-29 Accepted: 2021-11-12

Abstract

• AIM: To estimate the prevalence of diabetic macular edema (DME) and clinically significant macular edema (CSME), and to assess their risk factors in a population with type 2 diabetic mellitus (T2DM) located in northeast China.

• **METHODS:** Patients were included from the Fushun Diabetic Retinopathy Cohort Study (FS-DIRECT), a community-based study conducted in northeast China. The presence of DME and CSME was determined by the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) retinopathy scale of fundus photographs. The agestandardized prevalence of DME and CSME was estimated. The association between DME/CSME and risk factors was analyzed in a multivariate Logistical analysis.

• **RESULTS:** A total of 292 (15.4%) and 166 (8.8%) patients were diagnosed as DME and CSME, yielding the age and sex standardized prevalence of 13.5% (95%CI: 11.9%-15.0%), and 7.1% (95%CI: 5.9%-8.3%), respectively. Female patients had a higher prevalence of DME compared to their male counterparts (15.7% vs 10.4%, *P*=0.03). Multivariable Logistic regression analysis showed that younger age, insulin use, proteinuria, longer duration of diabetes, and higher glycosylated hemoglobin A1c, were

associated with the prevalence of DME and CSME. Patients with higher fasting plasma glucose, systolic blood pressure, and blood urea nitrogen were also found to be associated with DME.

• **CONCLUSION:** Early fundus screening in diabetic patients is invaluable and given the relatively high prevalence of DME and CSME in this study cohort, those with a high risk of sight threatening maculopathy would invariably benefit from earlier detection.

• **KEYWORDS:** diabetic macular edema; clinically significant macular edema; diabetic retinopathy

DOI:10.18240/ijo.2022.02.19

Citation: Lin Z, Wang FH, Wen L, Wang Y, Li D, Ding XX, Dou Y, Zhai G, Liang YB. Prevalence of and risk factors for diabetic macular edema in a northeastern Chinese population. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2022;15(2):320-326

INTRODUCTION

D iabetic retinopathy (DR) has become an important public health issue in China^[1]. A population-based study estimated that in rural area of China, approximately 9.2 million persons aged 30y and above have DR, including 1.3 million with vision-threatening DR^[2].

Among the complications of DR, diabetic macular edema (DME) is a common cause of vision loss. DME, especially clinically significant macular edema (CSME) which affects the central macula, can cause a noticeable reduction in vision towards even blindness if untreated or treated inappropriately. A cross-sectional study estimated the prevalence of DME among diabetic patients to be 3.8% in the United States^[3], and 2.3% among American Indians and Alaskan Natives^[4]. The prevalence of DME and CSME from the Chinese population-based studies were reported to be 5.2%-8.6%^[2,5] and 3.5%-4.0%^[2,6], respectively, suggesting a great burden of visual impairment in China. Besides duration of diabetes and glycosylated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)^[3], early onset of diabetes^[7], obstructive sleep apnea and nocturnal hypoxemia^[8], overt proteinuria and moderately reduced estimated glomerular

filtration rate^[9], were also reported to be associated with DME. Since 2017, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) replaced the focal/grid laser photocoagulation and became the first-line therapy for center-involving DME, as most of the guidelines suggested^[10-11]. Although anti-VEGF therapy can help to obtain sufficient vision improvement for DME patients^[12-13], it remained a financial burden for patients, especially in China where anti-VEGF for DME was only partially included in the current medical reimbursement guidelines.

Although numerous studies have reported the prevalence and risk factors for DR, data on DME and CSME, as well as their risk factors remains scarce. Hence, the study aims to estimate the prevalence of DME/CSME in a northeastern Chinese population with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and to assess the factors associated with them.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval Ethics Committee approval was obtained from the Fushun Eye Hospital. All subjects signed the written informed consent. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Available data on the level of DME formed the inclusion criterion for this study. Fushun Diabetic Retinopathy Cohort Study (FS-DIRECT) is a community-based study which ran from July 2012 to May 2013 in the northeastern region of China. The details of the study design, methodology and baseline results have been described previously^[14]. Residents aged \geq 30y, suffering with T2DM and living in the communities of Jiangjun Street (Fushun, Liaoning Province) longer than 3mo, were recruited.

Definitions

Diabetic macular edema, and clinically significant macular edema After pupil dilation, 6 fields of color fundus photography as well as a stereoscopic macula image of each eye were taken by trained photographers using a 45° retinal camera (Kowa, VK-2, Tokyo, Japan). The level of DME and CSME of each subject was defined according to the grading of the worse eye. If only one eye was readable, the grading result was chosen automatically. Two senior graders (Wang FH and Wen L) graded the fundus photographs respectively, according to the modified Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) scale, without knowing the other examination results. Disagreement of grading results would be sent to a third sophisticated grader for an edited grade.

DME was considered as existing retinal thickening within 1 diameter of papilla disc from the foveal center or existing focal photocoagulation scars in the macular area. CSME was confirmed if one of the following criteria was met: 1) retinal thickening $<500 \mu m$ from center of macula or the existence of focal photocoagulation scars; 2) hard exudates $<500 \mu m$ from center of macula with adjacent retinal thickening; 3) retinal

thickening area larger than 1 diameter of papilla disc within 1 diameter of papilla disc from center of macula.

Statistical Analysis Age and sex standardized prevalence of DME and CSME were estimated. Mean±standard deviation and independent *t*-tests were used respectively for the distribution and corresponding comparisons for normally distributed variables. The prevalence and proportion were presented in percentages, and Chi-square tests were employed for the comparisons. Age and sex adjusted Logistical analyses were performed to test the associations between DME/CSME and potential risk factors. Further multivariable Logistical analyses, including age, sex and significant risk factors in previous analyses, were then performed for these associations. All statistical analyses were conducted using Statistical Analysis System for Windows version 9.1.3 (SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

In FS-DIRECT, 2006 patients completed the examinations and blood sample collection. Among these patients, 1893 patients (1893/2006, 94.4%) with gradable maculae were enrolled for further analysis. The mean age and fasting plasma glucose (FPG) were 61.7 ± 8.8 y and 9.3 ± 3.4 mmol/L, respectively. The mean duration of DM and HbA1c were 7.5 ± 5.8 y and $7.7\%\pm2.0\%$, respectively.

A total of 292 (15.4%) and 166 (8.8%) patients met the criteria for DME and CSME, respectively. All the CSME meet the criteria of DME. There were 48 (2.5%) patients received prior treatments, including retinal laser and/or vitrectomy (no patients received intravitreal injection). The age and sex standardized prevalence of DME and CSME were 13.5% (95%CI: 11.9%-15.0%), and 7.1% (95%CI: 5.9%-8.3%). The age standardized prevalence of DME was 10.4% (95%CI: 8.2%-12.5%) for male patients, which was significantly lower than that in female patients (15.7%, 95%CI: 13.5%-17.8%, P=0.03). However, no significant difference was found for the prevalence of CSME between male (5.5%, 95%CI: 3.9%-7.1%) and female patients (8.2%, 95%CI: 6.6%-9.9%, P=0.07). Table 1 also showed the prevalence of DME and CSME as a function of DR severity and age.

The patients with DME or CSME had significant younger age, longer duration of diabetes, and higher levels of FPG, HbA1c, systolic blood pressure (SBP), blood urea nitrogen, total cholesterol, low and high density lipoproteins than those without DME or CSME, respectively. Those with DME or CSME were more likely to use insulin, and have severe proteinuria (Table 2).

In the multivariable Logistic analysis, we found that younger age, insulin use, proteinuria, longer duration of diabetes, and higher HbA1c, were associated with the presence of DME and CSME. In addition, patients who were not current smokers or

Prevalence of diabetic macular edema in northeast China

Table	1	Prevalence	of DME a	ind (CSME	in I	Fushun	Diabetic	Retino	pathy	Cohoi	rt Stu	d
										• •/			

	DME		CSME		
Parameters	Prevalence (95%CI)	Р	Prevalence (95%CI)	Р	
DR severity		< 0.001		< 0.001	
Mild NPDR (<i>n</i> =478)	22.4 (18.7, 26.1)		8.4 (5.9, 10.9)		
Moderate NPDR (<i>n</i> =93)	76.3 (67.7, 84.9)		45.2 (35.1, 55.3)		
Severe NPDR (<i>n</i> =66)	92.4 (86.0, 98.8)		62.1 (50.4, 73.8)		
PDR (<i>n</i> =60)	88.3 (80.2, 96.4)		71.7 (60.3, 83.1)		
Age (y)		< 0.001		0.001	
30-39 (<i>n</i> =13)	0		0		
40-49 (<i>n</i> =148)	19.6 (13.2, 26.0)		9.5 (4.8, 14.2)		
50-59 (<i>n</i> =596)	21.3 (18.0, 24.6)		11.9 (9.3, 14.5)		
60-69 (<i>n</i> =768)	13.8 (11.4, 16.2)		8.6 (6.6, 10.6)		
70-79 (<i>n</i> =340)	7.4 (4.6, 10.2)		3.5 (1.5, 5.5)		
≥80 (<i>n</i> =28)	17.9 (3.7, 32.1)		10.7 (2.0, 22.1)		
Sex ^a		0.03		0.07	
Male (<i>n</i> =785)	10.4 (8.2, 12.5)		5.5 (3.9, 7.1)		
Female (<i>n</i> =1108)	15.7 (13.5, 17.8)		8.2 (6.6, 9.9)		
Total (<i>n</i> =1893) ^b	13.5 (11.9, 15.0)		7.1 (5.9, 8.3)		

CI: Confidence interval; DME: Diabetic macular edema; CSME: Clinically significant macular edema; NPDR: Non proliferative diabetic retinopathy; PDR: Proliferative diabetic retinopathy. ^aAge standardized prevalence; ^bAge and sex standardized prevalence. Both were standardized to the year 2010 China population census.

not current drinkers, and had higher FPG, SBP, and blood urea nitrogen, were more likely to have DME. For CSME, female sex and higher serum creatinine were additional independent risk factors (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study provided data on the prevalence of DME and CSME among Chinese participants with T2DM in a northeastern area of China. It also provided insight into potential risk factors for this fundus complication of diabetes. This study reported an overall age and sex standardized prevalence of 13.5% and 7.1% for DME and CSME, respectively, in this study cohort aged 30y and over.

The prevalence of DME in this study was higher than previous reported data from the United States, like 3.0% in Beaver Dam Eye Study (BDES)^[15], 3.8% in National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)^[3], 9% in Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)^[16], and 10.4% in Los Angeles Latino Eye Study (LALE)^[17]. The difference of prevalence among these studies may due to the race/ ethnicity. For example, both NHANES and MESA were multi-ethnic, including whites, blacks, and Hispanics, while Latinos in LALE. However, the prevalence in this study was also higher when compared to the Chinese participants in MESA (8.9%)^[16]. When further compared with indigenous Chinese population, the prevalence of DME in the present study was also higher than previous population-based studies conducted in urban area of China (Hong Kong, maculopathy

8.6%)^[5], and in rural area of China (Handan Eye Study, DME 5.2%)^[2]. Our prevalence of DME was even comparable with a hospital-community based study among type 1, type 2, and pregnancy diabetic patients (maculopathy 11.54%), conducted in six provinces of China^[18]. The prevalence of this study was also higher than that in other Asian populations, for example, 2.8% and 5.7% in Korean and Malay population-based studies respectively^[19-20], and 5.7% in a national registration study in Saudi Arabia^[21]. High prevalence of CSME was also found in this study compared to previous studies (5.6%-6.2% in the US^[16-17], 1.4%-4.9% in Asia^[2,20,22-23], and 4.3% in the Blue Mountains Eye Study from Australia^[15]).

0/6

Taking into account the ethnicity, study design, fundus grading protocol, and type of diabetes, two important reasons for the high prevalence of DME and CSME in this study must be noted. First, the unsatisfactory glycemic control may the most important reason. In this study cohort, the mean value for FPG and HbA1c was 9.3 ± 3.4 mmol/L and $7.7\%\pm2.0\%$, respectively. Besides, 75.7% of the patients had FPG>7.0 mmol/L, and 60.1% had HbA1c \geq 7%. Second, multiple risk factors for diabetes were found in this study cohort, including hypertension (SBP \geq 140 mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure \geq 90 mm Hg, or medication use, 67.5%), hyperlipidemia (total cholesterol \geq 6.2 mmol/L or medication use, 29.9%), and renal dysfunction (proteinuria 32.8%).

Although several studies have reported on the prevalence of DME or CSME, data evaluating their risk factors is much less

Int J Ophthalmol,	Vol. 15,	No. 2,	Feb.18,	2022	www.ijo.cn
Tel: 8629-82245172	8629-8	2210956	Ema	il: ijoj	press@163.com

Table 2 Characteristics of DME and CSME in Fushun Diabetic Retinopathy Cohort Study n (
Characteristics		DME							
	Absent	Present	Р	Absent	Present	Р			
Male	681 (42.5)	104 (35.6)	0.03	727 (42.1)	58 (34.9)	0.07			
Education			0.046			0.06			
Primary or lower	246 (15.7)	39 (13.6)		261 (15.4)	24 (14.6)				
Middle/high school	1082 (69.1)	219 (76.0)		1175 (69.6)	126 (76.8)				
College and above	237 (15.2)	30 (10.4)		253 (15.0)	14 (8.6)				
Monthly income (CNY)			0.10			0.09			
<3000	571 (36.6)	122 (43.0)		619 (36.8)	74 (45.1)				
3000-5000	913 (58.5)	152 (53.5)		980 (58.3)	85 (51.8)				
≥5000	77 (4.9)	10 (3.5)		82 (4.9)	5 (3.1)				
Current smoker	358 (22.9)	49 (17.1)	0.03	378 (22.4)	29 (17.7)	0.16			
Current drinker	364 (23.3)	47 (16.4)	0.01	382 (22.7)	29 (17.7)	0.14			
Diabetes treatment			< 0.001			< 0.001			
No treatment	244 (15.7)	14 (4.9)		253 (15.1)	5 (3.1)				
Oral	998 (64.3)	137 (47.7)		1061 (63.3)	74 (45.1)				
Insulin	311 (20.0)	136 (47.4)		362 (21.6)	85 (51.8)				
Proteinuria			< 0.001			< 0.001			
Negative	1118 (70.0)	151 (51.9)		1183 (68.6)	86 (52.1)				
+	169 (10.6)	49 (16.8)		190 (11.0)	28 (17.0)				
++	79 (4.9)	30 (10.3)		89 (5.2)	20 (12.1)				
+++	19 (1.2)	22 (7.6)		29 (1.7)	12 (7.3)				
++++ and above	212 (13.3)	39 (13.4)		232 (13.5)	19 (11.5)				
Age (y)	62.1±8.8	59.6±8.3	< 0.001	61.8±8.8	60.2±8.2	0.02			
Duration of diabetes (y)	6.7±5.4	11.8±6.5	< 0.001	7.0±5.5	12.4±6.8	< 0.001			
FPG (mmol/L)	9.1±3.1	10.9±4.4	< 0.001	9.2±3.3	10.5±4.2	< 0.001			
HbA1c (%)	7.6±1.9	8.6±2.2	< 0.001	7.7±2.0	8.4±1.9	< 0.001			
BMI (kg/m^2)	26.5±3.4	26.2±3.3	0.22	26.5±3.4	26.1±3.3	0.21			
WHR	0.96 ± 0.07	0.97 ± 0.06	0.15	0.96 ± 0.07	0.97 ± 0.06	0.12			
SBP (mm Hg)	146.6±23.2	152.3±23.2	< 0.001	147.0±23.3	151.9±22.6	0.01			
DBP (mm Hg)	77.2±11.2	78.2±12.3	0.19	77.3±11.4	77.5±11.4	0.9			
BUA (µmol/L)	309.7±87.5	308.6±86.8	0.84	310.0±87.5	305.1±86.8	0.49			
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	0.95±0.21	$0.98 {\pm} 0.27$	0.08	0.95±0.21	1.01 ± 0.28	0.01			
BUN (mmol/L)	6.16±3.35	6.65±2.21	0.001	6.19±3.28	6.69±2.24	0.01			
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.46±1.22	5.83±1.37	< 0.001	5.48±1.23	5.86±1.43	0.001			
Total triglycerides (mmol/L)	2.16±1.55	2.45±2.11	0.02	2.19±1.64	2.36±1.83	0.26			
LDL (mmol/L)	3.20±0.89	3.43±1.12	< 0.001	3.21±0.90	3.46±1.19	0.01			
HDL (mmol/L)	1.47±0.38	1.53±0.32	0.01	1.47±0.37	1.54±0.34	0.03			
- (

DME: Diabetic macular edema; CSME: Clinically significant macular edema; CNY: China Yuan; FPG: Fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin A1c; BMI: Body mass index; WHR: Waist/hip ratio; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; BUA: Blood uric acid; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; HDL: High density lipoprotein.

available. Younger age, insulin use, presence of proteinuria, longer duration of diabetes, and high level of HbA1c, were identified as significant for both DME and CSME in this study. Generally, longer duration of diabetes or high level of HbA1c, indicates a chronic hyperglycemic status of the diabetic patient. The sustained hyperglycemia would directly damage the bloodretinal barrier (BRB) and vascular endothelial cells, or alter the structure and function of the BRB by releasing advanced glycemic end-products (AGEs) free radicals, and glucotoxins, hence leading to increased macular fluid extravasation^[24]. The

Prevalence of diabetic macular edema in northeast China

Table 3 Multivariate	logistic analysis for	DME and CSME in Fushun	Diabetic Retinopathy Cohort Study

Disk fastors	DME		CSME			
KISK factors —	OR (95%CI)	Р	OR (95%CI)	Р		
Age (y)	0.93 (0.91, 0.95)	< 0.001	0.95 (0.93, 0.97)	< 0.001		
Female vs male	0.90 (0.61, 1.32)	0.59	1.51 (1.01, 2.23)	0.04		
Current smoker vs not current smoker	0.61 (0.40, 0.94)	0.03	-	-		
Current drinker vs not current drinker	0.53 (0.34, 0.83)	0.006	-	-		
Insulin treatment vs not insulin treatment	2.04 (1.49, 2.78)	< 0.001	2.18 (1.50, 3.17)	< 0.001		
Proteinuria (positive vs negative)	1.58 (1.16, 2.13)	0.003	1.54 (1.07, 2.22)	0.02		
Duration of diabetes (y)	1.13 (1.11, 1.16)	< 0.001	1.12 (1.09, 1.16)	< 0.001		
FPG (mmol/L)	1.06 (1.02, 1.11)	0.003	1.01 (0.96, 1.06)	0.73		
High HbA1c (≥7%)	1.46 (1.02, 2.07)	0.04	1.54 (1.00, 2.37)	0.049		
SBP (mm Hg)	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	0.002	1.00 (1.00, 1.01)	0.23		
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	0.06	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	0.007		
BUN (mmol/L)	1.04 (1.00, 1.07)	0.03	-	-		
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.14 (0.96, 1.34)	0.13	1.15 (0.97, 1.36)	0.11		
Total triglycerides (mmol/L)	0.98 (0.90, 1.08)	0.71	-	-		
LDL (mmol/L)	1.08 (0.87, 1.34)	0.48	1.05 (0.83, 1.33)	0.70		
HDL (mmol/L)	1.40 (0.92, 2.12)	0.12	-	-		

DME: Diabetic macular edema; CSME: Clinically significant macular edema; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; FPG: Fasting plasma glucose; HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin A1c; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; LDL: Low density lipoprotein; HDL: High density lipoprotein.

duration of diabetes and HbA1c were also reported in previous studies^[3,25]. For example, Varma et al^[3] found that having diabetes for 10y or more had 8.5 times to have DME than those having diabetes less than 10y (OR: 8.51; 95%CI: 3.70-19.54). Insulin use was identified as a risk factor for both DME and CSME in this study. In one aspect, it seems understandable that patients using insulin to achieve glycemic control tends to have or used to have long term sustained hyperglycemic status, and hence compromised vascular and BRB structure. However, in another aspect, researchers have postulated that DME itself may be a possible side effect of insulin usage^[26-30]. For example, in a Meta-analysis enrolling 202 905 subjects, Zhang et al^[28] found that use of insulin increased the risk of macular edema incidence (relative risk, 3.416; 95%CI: 2.417-4.829). The possible mechanisms include BRB breakdown via increased VEGF expression^[26], increased vascular leakage due to vasoreactive effect of high insulin level or mediated by betacellulin and signaling via the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor^[27,31], However, our cross-sectional data from this study could not distinguish the exact effect of insulin for DME, hence further cohort studies are warranted.

Although two uncommon risk factors for DME were identified in this study, *i.e.*, non-current cigarette smoking and noncurrent alcohol consumption, their relationship with DME needs further exploration. When we changed these two binary variables (*e.g.*, current smoker *vs* non-current smoker) into

324

three-way classification (e.g., current smoker, previous smoker vs non-smoker) in the multivariable model, neither current smoker (OR: 0.67, 95%CI: 0.41-1.10) nor previous smoker (OR: 1.25, 95%CI: 0.75-2.08) increased the risk for DME compared to non-smoker. However, current drinker (OR: 0.50, 95%CI: 0.31-0.79) was still significant as compared to nondrinker. When further replacing the continuous variables, i.e., year of smoke and year of drink for the binary variables, neither remained significant (year of smoke: OR: 0.99, 95%CI: 0.94-1.04; year of drink: OR: 1.01, 95%CI: 0.94-1.09). The associations in previous studies were inconsistent. A few studies reported that smoking cigarettes reduced the risk for DME^[3,30], or exacerbated DME by altering outer BRB integrity via upregulating the hypoxia-inducible factors and VEGF expression^[32], or by increasing the intraocular and extraocular oxidative stress^[33], other studies found no such association^[34-35]. Similarly, more alcohol consumption was found to increase the risk for DME^[30,36], due to poor glycemic control (possibly eating more) and undermine the effect of hypoglycemic therapy. One explanation for the associations of this study may be the patients with DME avoid smoking or drinking, since they pay more attention to lifestyle management as suggested by their care-givers and physicians. Given the cross-sectional data available, it is difficult to determine the causality.

This study had some limitations. First, as mentioned above, the cross-sectional data makes it difficult to interpret the cause-effect between risk factors and the outcomes. Second, some of the information was collected *via* questionnaires, such as smoking and drinking habits, making the recall bias unavoidable. Third, the lack of OCT assessment for the macular edema may underestimate its prevalence to some extent. Hence, further studies on comparisons of these two methods are warranted.

In summary, this study reported a relatively high prevalence of DME and CSME in a northeastern Chinese population with T2DM. Younger age, insulin use, presence of proteinuria, longer duration of diabetes, and high level of HbA1c, were associated with the presence of both DME and CSME.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foundations: Supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No.LQ18H120004); Wenzhou Basic Medical and Health Technology Project (No.Y2020364).

Conflicts of Interest: Lin Z, None; Wang FH, None; Wen L, None; Wang Y, None; Li D, None; Ding XX, None; Dou Y, None; Zhai G, None; Liang YB, None. REFERENCES

- 1 Lin Z, Li D, Zhai G, Wang Y, Wen L, Ding XX, Wang FH, Dou Y, Xie C, Liang YB. High myopia is protective against diabetic retinopathy via thinning retinal vein: a report from Fushun Diabetic Retinopathy Cohort Study (FS-DIRECT). *Diab Vasc Dis Res* 2020;17(4): 1479164120940988.
- 2 Wang FH, Liang YB, Zhang F, Wang JJ, Wei WB, Tao QS, Sun LP, Friedman DS, Wang NL, Wong TY. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in rural China: the Handan Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2009;116(3):461-467.
- 3 Varma R, Bressler NM, Doan QV, Gleeson M, Danese M, Bower JK, Selvin E, Dolan C, Fine J, Colman S, Turpcu A. Prevalence of and risk factors for diabetic macular edema in the United States. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2014;132(11):1334-1340.
- 4 Bursell SE, Fonda SJ, Lewis DG, Horton MB. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema in a primary care-based teleophthalmology program for American Indians and Alaskan Natives. *PLoS One* 2018;13(6):e0198551.
- 5 Lian JX, Gangwani RA, McGhee SM, Chan CK, Lam CL, Primary Health Care Group, Wong DS. Systematic screening for diabetic retinopathy (DR) in Hong Kong: prevalence of DR and visual impairment among diabetic population. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2016;100(2):151-155.
- 6 Xie XW, Xu L, Wang YX, Jonas JB. Prevalence and associated factors of diabetic retinopathy. The Beijing Eye Study 2006. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2008;246(11):1519-1526.
- 7 Wang Y, Lin Z, Zhai G, Ding XX, Wen L, Li D, Zou B, Feng KM, Liang YB, Xie C. Prevalence of and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema in patients with early and late onset diabetes mellitus. *Ophthalmic Res* 2020. Online ahead of print.
- 8 Vié AL, Kodjikian L, Agard E, Voirin N, El Chehab H, Denis P, Coste O, Dot C. Evaluation of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome as a risk factor

for diabetic macular edema in patients with type II diabetes. *Retina* 2019;39(2):274-280.

- 9 Yamamoto M, Fujihara K, Ishizawa M, Osawa T, Kaneko M, Ishiguro H, Matsubayashi Y, Seida H, Yamanaka N, Tanaka S, Kodama S, Hasebe H, Sone H. Overt proteinuria, moderately reduced eGFR and their combination are predictive of severe diabetic retinopathy or diabetic macular edema in diabetes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2019;60(7):2685-2689.
- 10 Schmidt-Erfurth U, Garcia-Arumi J, Bandello F, Berg K, Chakravarthy U, Gerendas BS, Jonas J, Larsen M, Tadayoni R, Loewenstein A. Guidelines for the management of diabetic macular edema by the European society of retina specialists (EURETINA). *Ophthalmologica* 2017;237(4):185-222.
- 11 Solomon SD, Chew E, Duh EJ, Sobrin L, Sun JK, Vander Beek BL, Wykoff CC, Gardner TW. Diabetic retinopathy: a position statement by the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40(3):412-418.
- 12 Elman MJ, Ayala A, Bressler NM, Browning D, Flaxel CJ, Glassman AR, Jampol LM, Stone TW, Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Intravitreal ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema with prompt versus deferred laser treatment: 5-year randomized trial results. *Ophthalmology* 2015;122(2):375-381.
- 13 Wells JA, Glassman AR, Ayala AR, Jampol LM, Bressler NM, Bressler SB, Brucker AJ, Ferris FL, Hampton GR, Jhaveri C, Melia M, Beck RW, Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Aflibercept, bevacizumab, or ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema: two-year results from a comparative effectiveness randomized clinical trial. *Ophthalmology* 2016;123(6):1351-1359.
- 14 Wang Y, Lin Z, Wen L, Rong SS, Ding XX, Li D, Feng KM, Wang FH, Liang YB, Zhai G. Rationale, design, methodology and baseline data of Fushun diabetic retinopathy cohort study (FS-DIRECT). *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2020;27(1):73-82.
- 15 Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Linton KL. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. Retinopathy in adults with newly discovered and previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus. *Ophthalmology* 1992;99(1):58-62.
- 16 Wong TY, Klein R, Islam FM, Cotch MF, Folsom AR, Klein BE, Sharrett AR, Shea S. Diabetic retinopathy in a multi-ethnic cohort in the United States. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;141(3):446-455.
- 17 Varma R, Torres M, Peña F, Klein R, Azen SP, Los Angeles Latino Eye Study Group. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in adult Latinos: the Los Angeles Latino eye study. *Ophthalmology* 2004;111(7):1298-1306.
- 18 Liu Y, Song YF, Tao LY, Qiu WQ, Lv HB, Jiang XD, Zhang MZ, Li XM. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy among 13473 patients with diabetes mellitus in China: a cross-sectional epidemiological survey in six provinces. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(1):e013199.
- 19 Jee D, Lee WK, Kang S. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008-2011. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2013;54(10):6827-6833.
- 20 Wong TY, Cheung N, Tay WT, Wang JJ, Aung T, Saw SM, Lim SC, Tai ES, Mitchell P. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy: the Singapore Malay eye study. *Ophthalmology* 2008;115(11):1869-1875.

- 21 Al-Rubeaan K, Abu El-Asrar AM, Youssef AM, Subhani SN, Ahmad NA, Al-Sharqawi AH, Alguwaihes A, Alotaibi MS, Al-Ghamdi A, Ibrahim HM. Diabetic retinopathy and its risk factors in a society with a type 2 diabetes epidemic: a Saudi National Diabetes Registry-based study. *Acta Ophthalmol* 2015;93(2):e140-e147.
- 22 Raman R, Rani PK, Reddi Rachepalle S, Gnanamoorthy P, Uthra S, Kumaramanickavel G, Sharma T. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in India: Sankara Nethralaya Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetics Study report 2. *Ophthalmology* 2009;116(2):311-318.
- 23 Dehghan MH, Katibeh M, Ahmadieh H, Nourinia R, Yaseri M. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy in the 40 to 80 year-old population in Yazd, Iran: the Yazd Eye Study. *J Diabetes* 2015;7(1):139-141.
- 24 Romero-Aroca P. Managing diabetic macular edema: the leading cause of diabetes blindness. World J Diabetes 2011;2(6):98-104.
- 25 Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Cruickshanks KJ. The Wiscons in Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. XV. The long-term incidence of macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 1995;102(1):7-16.
- 26 Poulaki V, Qin WY, Joussen AM, Hurlbut P, Wiegand SJ, Rudge J, Yancopoulos GD, Adamis AP. Acute intensive insulin therapy exacerbates diabetic blood-retinal barrier breakdown via hypoxiainducible factor-1alpha and VEGF. J Clin Invest 2002;109(6):805-815.
- 27 Zapata MA, Badal J, Fonollosa A, Boixadera A, García-Arumí J. Insulin resistance and diabetic macular oedema in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2010;94(9):1230-1232.
- 28 Zhang J, Ma J, Zhou N, Zhang B, An J. Insulin use and risk of diabetic macular edema in diabetes mellitus: a systemic review and metaanalysis of observational studies. *Med Sci Monit* 2015;21:929-936.
- 29 Jingi AM, Noubiap JJ, Essouma M, Bigna JJ, Nansseu JR, Ellong A, Mvogo CE. Association of insulin treatment versus oral

hypoglycaemic agents with diabetic retinopathy and its severity in type 2 diabetes patients in Cameroon, sub-Saharan Africa. *Ann Transl Med* 2016;4(20):395.

- 30 Martín-Merino E, Fortuny J, Rivero-Ferrer E, Lind M, Garcia-Rodriguez LA. Risk factors for diabetic macular oedema in type 2 diabetes: a case-control study in a United Kingdom primary care setting. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2017;11(3):288-296.
- 31 Sugimoto M, Cutler A, Shen B, Moss SE, Iyengar SK, Klein R, Folkman J, Anand-Apte B. Inhibition of EGF signaling protects the diabetic retina from insulin-induced vascular leakage. *Am J Pathol* 2013;183(3):987-995.
- 32 Maugeri G, D'Amico AG, Rasà DM, La Cognata V, Saccone S, Federico C, Cavallaro S, D'Agata V. Nicotine promotes blood retinal barrier damage in a model of human diabetic macular edema. *Toxicol In Vitro* 2017;44:182-189.
- 33 Alışık M, Işik MU. The relationship between choroidal thickness and intracellular oxidised-reduced glutathione and extracellular thioldisulfide homeostasis at different stages of diabetic retinopathy. *Curr Eye Res* 2021;46(3):367-372.
- 34 Romero P, Baget M, Mendez I, Fernández J, Salvat M, Martinez I. Diabetic macular edema and its relationship to renal microangiopathy: a sample of type I diabetes mellitus patients in a 15-year follow-up study. *J Diabetes Complications* 2007;21(3):172-180.
- 35 Klein R, Knudtson MD, Lee KE, Gangnon R, Klein BE. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy XXIII: the twenty-five-year incidence of macular edema in persons with type 1 diabetes. *Ophthalmology* 2009;116(3):497-503.
- 36 Acan D, Calan M, Er D, Arkan T, Kocak N, Bayraktar F, Kaynak S. The prevalence and systemic risk factors of diabetic macular edema: a cross-sectional study from Turkey. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2018;18(1):91.