Surgical treatment and pathologic analysis of posterior lentiglobus with cataract
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Dir Sir,

I am doctor Guo-Yuan Yang, from the Department of Ophthalmology of West China Hospital of Sichuan University in Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China. I write to present a case report of posterior lentiglobus with cataract. Posterior lentiglobus is a rare abnormality in the shape of the crystalline lens, characterized by a progressive spheroidal protuberance affecting the posterior lens surface. It could cause lens opacity gradually. But the weakness of the posterior capsule wall makes the surgery challenging, especially for those who have large area of capsule bulging. So we reported a case, in which the area of capsule bulging was about 6 mm in diameter, successfully treated by the technique of posterior continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis (PCCC). Transmission electron microscopy examinations (TEM) of the posterior capsule also were conducted.

A 5-year-old boy was referred to our department for evaluation of his squint and decreased visual acuity (VA) in the left eye. The parents noticed his decreased vision since 6 months after birth and secondary esotropia around the age of 3 years. The boy was normal full-term delivery, breast feeding. His mother had no history of radiation exposure in her pregnant period. There was no family history of cataract or any other systemic illness. On examination, his visual acuity was 20/20 in the right eye and only light perception in the left eye. Hirschberg test revealed an exotropia of 15°. Slit-lamp examination showed hemispherical protrusion of the posterior lens surface with a totally opacity in the central region of the lens in the left eye (Figure 1A). The posterior capsule was opaque, too. Dilated fundoscopy of the left eye was not accessible because of the totally opacity of the lens. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was 11.8 mmHg and 14.9 mmHg respectively in the right and left eye. As the little boy was too young, A-scan ultrasonography was impossible under surface anesthesia. B-scan examination showed the protrusion of the posterior lens in the left eye (Figure 1B). Some ophthalmic biological parameters were obtained by B-scan and IOL master (Carl Zeiss V.5.4, Germany). Keratometry value was 42.35D and 43.32D in the right eye, and 40.37D and 42.99D in the left. Axial length of the right eye was 22.38mm and in the left eye it was 22.10mm. Lens thickness was 4.1mm in the right and 4.77mm in the left. Extraction cataract extracapsular (ECCE), intraocular lens (IOL) implantation and anterior vitrectomy were performed under general anesthesia. With a clear corneal incision, the anterior chamber was filled with viscoelastics (Alcon, USA). An anterior continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis (ACCC) was made. The hemispherical protrusion of the posterior capsule was separated by blunt dissection technique. The intracapsular material aspiration was performed. A posterior continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis (PCCC) 6 mm in diameter and anterior vitrectomy were performed, followed by in-the-bag implantation of a +23.00D ADAPT–AO IOL (BAUSCH& LOMB, USA). That’s because the axial length of aphakic eye grows faster than normal following infantile IOL surgery, so for 5-year-old child, at least +2D refraction should be given rather than that towards 0D postoperatively. At the time of lens aspiration, the bulging posterior capsule confirmed the diagnosis of lentiglobus (Figure 1C). The area of capsule bulging was about 6mm in diameter. Simultaneously, capsular flutter was noted. The capsulorhexis fragment was fixed in 3% buffered glutaraldehyde and embedded with Epon812. Then ultrathin sections stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate were examined under electron microscope at 80kV (Hitachi TEM system, Japan). But no typical thinned basal lamina with basement membrane disruption and unusual nodular structure was found. The thickness of the thinnest part in posterior capsule is about 1.83μm in
diameter (Figure 1D). Synthesis training for form vision deprivation amblyopia was prescribed postoperatively. Six months later, the visual acuity was improved to 20/100 in the left eye. No complications were observed.

Posterior lentiglobus is an uncommon abnormality, characterized by a progressive spheroidal protuberance. Some papers refer to this anomaly as "lenticonus". But we think the term lentiglobus is anatomically more accurate because the bulging is spherical and not conical. The prevalence is about 1 in 100 000 people \(^{[1]}\). The disease may be unilateral or bilateral. It can present as the only ocular anomaly or association with persistent fetal vasculature stalk \(^{[3]}\), morning glory syndrome \(^{[1]}\) and Alport syndrome \(^{[4]}\). Bilateral posterior lentiglobus may be inherited in an X-linked \(^{[5]}\) or an autosomal dominant fashion \(^{[6]}\). Its etiology remains obscure and mysterious even today. It may involve subepithelial capsular hyperplasia, embryologic hyaloid artery traction, inherent weakness of the posterior capsule wall, and excessive strain during accommodation, resulting in posterior herniation of the lens. Kim \(\textit{et al}^{[7]}\) suggested that the mean age at presentation of posterior lenticous was about 39.0±37.4 months. Travi \textit{et al} \(^{[8]}\) reported that conservative treatment of amblyopia in unilateral small posterior lens opacities and lenticonus initially can be successful. But Wilson and Trivedi \(^{[9]}\) thought that a delayed diagnosis and surgical treatment of posterior lentiglobus may result in dense amblyopia from form vision deprivation, which may be resistant to occlusion therapy after surgery. A fragile, floppy capsule makes cataract surgery challenging. During the surgery, hydrodissection is contraindicated. Fluctuations in the IOP and turbulence of the fluid in the anterior chamber can threaten the capsular bag stability. Praveen \textit{et al} \(^{[10]}\) reported one case with intraoperative posterior capsule flutter in posterior lentiglobus. We also had the same experience intraoperatively. So a low flow rate and low bottle height is dedicated to avoid of uncontrolled peripheral extension of the posterior capsule defect. In our case, the opacification of the bulging posterior capsule was very severe. So removal of the bulging posterior capsule can also be helpful to the treatment of amblyopia postoperatively.

Figure 1 The clinical manifestation and auxiliary examination results of the patient

A: The posterior lentiglobus photograph of the left eye. The slit beam on the posterior lens surface is more curved than usual; B: B-scan examination showed the protrusion of the posterior lens in the left eye (arrow); C: Intraoperative photograph of the left eye. The bulging posterior capsule is showed (arrow); D: Transmission electron microscopy of the posterior lens capsule. The thinnest diameter is approximately 1.83 μm (arrow)
But we think that even the bulging posterior capsule is not opaque, it is necessary to perform posterior capsulorhexis. Posterior capsule opacification (PCO) is rapid and virtually inevitable in such young patients with floppy, outstretched capsule. Primary capsulotomy and anterior vitrectomy can reduce the risk of PCO. The technique of posterior curvilinear continuous capsulorrhexis (PCCC) can also be helpful in converting preexisting posterior capsule rupture to a desired size. Transmission electron microscopy of the posterior lens capsule revealed the thickness of the thinnest part is only 1.83 μm. Murakami et al. reported one case in which the thinnest diameter was approximately 2.3 μm. The thinner diameter in our case may have relation to greater intralenticular pressure due to large area of protuberance. But we did not find typical thinned basal lamina with basement membrane disruptions and unusual nodular structures, as has been described previously. Maybe the thinning posterior lens capsule in the central part comes from the pressure of bulging lens and inherent weakness of the posterior capsule wall.
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