Ultrasonography in sub–Tenon’s and peribulbar techniques
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Ultrasound comparison of diffusion of local anesthetic solution after a peribulbar and a sub–Tenon’s block: a pilot study
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Dear Sir,

I am Dr. Emile Calenda, from the Department of Anesthesiology in Ophthalmology, Rouen University Hospital, Institute for Biomedical Research, Rouen, France. I write to present ultrasound visualization of local anesthetic spread after a sub–Tenon's anesthesia (3 patients) and after a peribulbar anesthesia (3 patients).

Ultrasounds are newly introduced in regional anesthesia [1]. But very few studies reported the use of ultrasounds to perform a sub–Tenon's block or a peribulbar anesthesia [2-3]. The aim of that prospective study was to show with ultrasonography the location of the local anesthetic solution with two routes of injection that is to say a sub–Tenon's block performed by the surgeon (3 patients) and a peribulbar block performed by the anesthesiologist (3 patients). The hypothesis was that these two different routes of injection are able to provide analgesia then should lead to the same space of diffusion that is to say the periscleral space.

We usually use in our institution a sub–Tenon's anesthesia to relieve postoperative pain in patient scheduled for ophthalmic procedure with general anesthesia [4]. A 40-year-old female, weighing 55 kg, ASA I status, a 28-year-old female, weighing 45 kg, ASA I status and a 35-year-old male, weighing 85 kg, ASA I status were scheduled for a cataract surgery with general anesthesia and gave their written consent to perform the ultrasonography. The Helsinki declaration has been respected and our local ethic comity gave approval for this report. After a surgical disinfection the surgeon performed the sub–Tenon's block with the help of the microscope. The surgeon introduced a blunt cannula in the sub–Tenon's space (temporal lateral quadrant of the globe) in order to inject 2.5 mL of lidocaine 2% without epinephrine. Ultrasonography was performed at the end of the surgery through closed eyelids in sterile conditions. The phacoemulsification and general anesthesia were performed without problems. A 75-year-old man, weighing 80 kg, ASA II status, a 85-year-old man, weighing 65 kg, ASA III and A 70-year-old female, weighing 60 kg, ASA III status, all scheduled for vitrectomy with peribulbar block were included. The local anesthetic chosen was ropivacaine 7.5 mg/mL. The 3 patients respectively received 9, 8 and 11 mL. Patients gave their oral consent to receive a peribulbar anesthesia performed by the anesthesiologist with the help of ultrasonography. No compression was applied after the peribulbar block.

We chose a Logic E from General Electric Heathcare (USA) with following settings: soft tissue thermal index (TIS)<1 (0.8 in our machine) and mechanical index (MI)<0.2 to prevent from eye damages. The probe was a linear 12 Hertz frequency with the cross bean system. Image passing by the major axis of the optic nerve was fixed as a reference. The same ophthalmic surgeon injected the three sub–Tenon's group of patients and the same anesthesiologist did all the three injections in the peribulbar group.

At the end of the surgery (phacoemulsification of the lens) the surgeon injected 2.5 mL of lidocaine in the sub–Tenon's space. From either side of the optic nerve surrounding the eye globe behind the equator an hypoechoic edging was visible (Figure 1). This is the witness of a perfect spread of the local anesthetic solution in both sides of the optic nerve in the periscleral area called sub–Tenon's space. The 3 patients had similar images equivalent to a T-sign.

In the peribulbar group the spread of the local anesthetic solution appeared in the peribulbar, in the retrobulbar and astonishingly enough in the sub–Tenon's space, similar in the three patients. A T sign was also observed (Figure 2).
The advent of ultrasonography will probably change the consideration of the efficacy because we will be able to follow in real time the spread of the local anesthetic solution in different spaces \(^5\). Magnetic resonance imaging showed that after a combined peribulbar and retrobulbar block the volume of local anesthetic solution spread throughout the globe, and after a retrobulbar and a sub-Tenon’s block, the local anesthetic solution accumulates behind the globe \(^6\)–\(^7\).

Authors determined the distribution of anesthetic fluid during 3 regional anesthetic techniques (sub-Tenon’s, peribulbar, and retrobulbar) routinely used for phacoemulsification. After a sub-Tenon’s injection the fluid around the optic nerve developed a characteristic T sign. In the retrobulbar technique the fluid was localized within the cone and with a peribulbar administration the fluid was seen in the extraconal fat. They did not notice a characteristic T sign after a peribulbar or a retrobulbar\(^8\).

Whatever the route of injection a T sign occurred in our pilot study. That is probably due to the high volume injected or the different peribulbar technique used or a coincidence in relation to few number of patients. The peribulbar injection reached the episcleral space and that ascertainment is probably one element of the analgesia obtained by a peribulbar injection. That pilot study with few patients is not able to affirm that the spread of the anesthetic solution in the sub-Tenon’s space (T-sign) is permanently present after a peribulbar block. We can notice that the Figures 1 and 2 are strictly stackable. The local anesthetic solution has spread in contact with the sclera and in each side of the optic nerve in both figures.

Ultrasonography showed that a peribulbar block led to a diffusion in the peribulbar, in the retrobulbar and strangely in the sub-Tenon’s space. A prospective study including much more patients is in progress to confirm these preliminary pilot results.
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