Clinical efficacy of combined topical 0.05% cyclosporine A and 0.1% sodium hyaluronate in the dry eyes with meibomian gland dysfunction
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Abstract

AIM: To investigate the efficacy of combined topical 0.05% cyclosporine A (CsA; Restasis®, Allergan pharmaceuticals, USA) and 0.1% sodium hyaluronate treatment in dry eyes with meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD).

METHODS: In a retrospective analysis, 53 patients (106 eyes) with MGD were enrolled and performed lid warm massage for 10min daily and be instilled preservative free sodium hyaluronate 0.1% eye drops 4 times daily. Patients were divided into subjects treated with topical 0.05% CsA and preservative free sodium hyaluronate vehicle (experimental group, n=74 eyes) and subjects treated with the preservative free sodium hyaluronate vehicle (control group, n=32 eyes). They were evaluated at baseline and 1, 2, and 3mo for subjective symptoms and objective signs including tear film break-up time (tBUT), Schirmer test, corneal staining (CS) score, lid margin telangiectasia (LMT), meibomian gland secretion (MGS), and conjunctival injection (CI).

RESULTS: In the short-term treatment, the experimental group showed a statistically significant improvement in the ocular surface disease index (OSDI; P<0.001), tBUT (P=0.004), Schirmer test score (P=0.008) and LMT (P=0.021) by repeated measure ANOVA. Additionally, mean changes from baseline in OSDI (P<0.001), tBUT (P=0.001), Schirmer test score (P=0.029), CS score (P=0.047), LMT (P=0.002), CI (P=0.030) were improved better in the experimental group than in the control group at 3mo. However, there was no significant difference between the two groups in MGS (P=0.67).

CONCLUSION: In dry eyes with MGD, 0.05% CsA improves the tear film stability as well as subjective ocular discomfort, and is effective in controlling lid margin inflammation.
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INTRODUCTION

Meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is a common disease with chronic natural course in the eyelid and is a major cause of evaporative dry eye syndrome[1]. MGD results from meibomian gland orifice blockage due to plugging with solidified secretions and hyperkeratinization of the duct epithelium[2-3]. It was also caused by atrophy or inflammation of meibomian gland acini. Various treatments of MGD such as lid cleansing, eyelid warming massage, and artificial tears are mainly used[4,5]. If needed, systemic antibiotics such as oral tetracycline or topical anti-inflammatory agents like topical steroid eye drops are additionally used[5,6]. But these treatments are frequently ineffective. There is a demand for additional agents that acts on the main mechanism of MGD.

Inflammation is one of the important mechanisms of MGD. Ocular surface and palpable conjunctival inflammations influence meibomian gland structure and alter the function[7]. Therefore, it aggravates hyperkeratinosis of the duct epithelium and atrophy of acini leading to MGD[8]. Increased inflammation is associated with symptoms and signs of patients[9-11]. Anti-inflammatory agents such as steroids, macrolide antibiotics,
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tetracycline, and cyclosporine A (CsA) have been used for the treatment of inflammation in MGD, and improve symptoms and signs of MGD[1,4-5].

CsA is an immunomodulatory agent that block T-cell activation, reducing the production of inflammatory cytokines. Topical CsA eye drop is commonly used for various inflammatory ocular conditions, including ocular rosacea[14], subepithelial infiltrates due to adeno-viral keratoconjunctivitis[15], atopic keratoconjunctivitis[16], vernal keratoconjunctivitis[17], and graft-versus-host disease[18]. Especially, topical 0.05% CsA (Restasis®, Allergan pharmaceuticals, USA) is a therapeutic agent that improve tear production, due to a suppression of the inflammations of the ocular surface and lacrimal glands in dry eye patients[19]. Furthermore, it is effective for MGD patients by reducing inflammations of meibomian glands[20].

The prevalence rate of MGD was significantly different around the world, with the prevalence rate of 3.5%-19.9% in Caucasians and 60% in Asian population[21-23]. Despite the high prevalence of MGD in Asians, there were no reports on the effect of CsA in dry eye with MGD, especially in Asians including Korea[9,24-25].

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the additional effect of 0.05% cycrossporin A ophthalmic solution to treatment of dry eye with MGD in Koreans.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Study Design** Retrospective data of multicenter study was collected on eligible patients at each of five eye hospitals, reflecting the baseline and follow-up of patients who were diagnosed MGD and were treated for a minimum of 3mo (Figure 1). Researchers at five hospitals are members of the Busan-Gyeongnam External Eye Disease Study Group. We try to standardize the test methods applied in this study and other studies. All center used a standardized datasheet template for patient chart data extraction that included demographic variables and experimental data.

Korean patients aged 18 years or older with a diagnosis of MGD were treated at the initial visit, and whose management included 0.05% CsA eye drop (Restasis®, Allergan pharmaceuticals, USA) and 0.1% preservative-free sodium hyaluronate eye drop from July, 2016 to December, 2016 were eligible for inclusion. Patients were enrolled based on a slit-lamp examination and symptoms using the presence of the following criteria: occlusion or abnormal secretion of meibum, thickening or irregularity of the eye lid margin, dilation of blood vessels and telangiectasia around the glandular orifice, tear film break-up time (tBUT) of less than 8s, and at least one symptom in ocular irritation, glare, and epiphora due to tear layer instability. Patients were excluded if there was a history or presence of severe eyeball abnormalities, previous ocular surgery, severe ocular infections such as herpes keratitis, cyclosporine hypersensitivity reaction, pregnancy or breastfeeding, or previous topical CsA eye drop use within 3mo.

**Clinical Assessment** Data extracted from medical charts included subjective symptoms and signs: patient demographics, medication history, dry eye problems; ocular surface disease index (OSDI), tBUT, and Schirmer test, ocular surface problems; corneal staining (CS) score, and conjunctival injection (CI) score, MGD problems; lid margin telangiectasia (LMT) score, and meibomian gland secretion (MGS) score.

**Dry Eye Problems** The OSDI is an indicator of the change in symptoms that it is composed of 12 questions related to dry eye syndrome[26]. It is assessed on a scale of 0 to 100, with higher scores representing greater disability. The tBUT was measured the number of seconds that elapse between the last blink and the appearance of the first dry spot in the tear film after exposure of the fluorescein paper (Haag-Streit AG, Köniz, Switzerland) to the lower conjunctival sac in the slit lamp blue filter illumination. The Schirmer test was measured using a filtered paper strip (Eagle Vision, Memphis, TN, USA). It was placed inside the lower eyelid to avoid corneal stimulation and left for five minutes. The filtered paper was removed and the amount of wetting was measured in millimeters after five minutes.

**Figure 1 Examination flow diagram in this study** MGD: Meibomian gland dysfunction; OSDI: Ocular surface disease index; tBUT: Tear film break-up time.

All patients underwent lid warming massage for 10min before bedtime, and preservatives free 0.1% sodium hyaluronate solution was applied four times a day. Topical 0.05% CsA eyedrop was applied twice a day in the experimental group and the group without cyclosporine eye drop use was defined as a control eye group. All patients were followed up at baseline and 1, 2 and 3mo after instillation to determine the therapeutic effect. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. For this type of study formal consent is not required.
Ocular Surface Problem  CS score was performed according to the method described by National Eye Institute[27]. The cornea was divided into 5 regions in the middle and upper, lower, left and right regions. When each area was not stained, 0 point was obtained. When there was a slight surface staining, 1 point was observed. When there is a slight overlapping speckle, 2 point was observed. When there are multiple stainings or large spots, 3 point was observed and the total score was from 0 to 15. CI score was defined as 0 point for normal, 1 point for mild congestion, 2 points for moderate congestion, and 3 points for severe congestion according to the degree of vasodilatation of the bulbar conjunctiva with reference to Efron et al’s[28] grading scales.

Meibomian Gland Dysfunction Problems  There are about 30-40 glands in upper lid margin, and fewer (20-40) in the lower lid margin, and the vascular zone, which can be observed with telangiectasia, is the zone from the mucocutaneous junction near the meibomian gland orifice to the eyelash root. It is easier to observe the telangiectasia in the upper because the vascular zone in the upper eyelid is wider than in the lower eyelid. The LMT was scored based on the degree of telangiectasia at the upper eyelid margin, with 0 points in the absence of capillary dilatation, 1 point in the case of mild capillary dilatation, 2 points in the case of moderate capillary dilatation, and severe capillary dilatation was defined as 3 points[29]. For measurement of MGS, we pressed softly skin and palpable conjunctiva near the center of upper lid margin using a sterilized cotton swab. MGS is defined as 0 point for secretion of clear secretion, 1 point for turbid secretion, 2 points for granular secretion, and 3 points for solid form secretion from meibomian orifice[30].

Data Analysis  Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 20 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Repeated measures ANOVA was used for the comparison of effects between the two groups according to time, and Tukey test was used for post-test. Student’s t-test was used to compare the mean changes from baseline between two groups at each time point. P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS  Data were collected on 106 eyes (53 patients) from five clinical sites. There were no differences between the two groups in the baseline test results including gender, age, OSDI, tBUT, Schirmer test, CS score, CI, LMT, MGS (Table 1).

Dry Eye Problem (OSDI, tBUT and Schirmer Test)  There was a significant difference in OSDI improvement between two groups by repeated measure ANOVA ($F=6.564$, $P<0.001$; Table 2). And mean changes from baseline to 2 and 3mo after

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Experimental group ($n=74$)</th>
<th>Control group ($n=32$)</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female, $n$)</td>
<td>20/54</td>
<td>14/18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>60.43±10.81</td>
<td>57.93±9.53</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSDI</td>
<td>56.58±12.43</td>
<td>55.87±9.67</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tBUT (s)</td>
<td>5.64±1.42</td>
<td>5.53±1.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schirmer test score (mm)</td>
<td>9.57±3.42</td>
<td>9.44±3.34</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMT ($n$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No telangiectasia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild telangiectasia</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate telangiectasia</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe telangiectasia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGS ($n$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear fluid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloudy fluid</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloudy granular fluid</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid, toothpaste like</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI ($n$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No injection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild injection</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate injection</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe injection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal fluorescein stain score</td>
<td>1.47±1.27</td>
<td>1.53±0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OSDI: Ocular surface disease index; tBUT: Tear film break-up time; LMT: Lid margin telangiectasia; MGS: Meibomian gland secretion; CI: Conjunctival injection. There was no difference between two groups at baseline.
instillation were -15.89±0.97, -20.65±0.97 in the experimental group and -8.78±1.76, -13.26±1.76 in the control group, showing a statistically significant improvement in the experimental group compared with the control group (P<0.001, P<0.001; Figure 2A). There was a significant difference in tBUT improvement between two groups by repeated measure ANOVA (F=4.643, P=0.004; Table 2). And mean changes from baseline to 2 and 3mo after instillation were 1.43±0.16, 2.21±0.20 in the experimental group and 0.82±0.19, 1.21±1.16 in the control group, showing a statistically significant improvement between two groups (P=0.031, P=0.001; Figure 2B).

There was a significant difference in Schirmer test improvement between two groups by repeated measure ANOVA (F=4.19, P=0.008; Table 2). And mean changes from baseline to 3mo after instillation were 1.43±1.35 in the experimental group and 0.82±1.22 in the control group, showing a statistically significant improvement between two groups (P=0.029; Figure 2C).

Table 2 The changes of OSDI, tBUT, Schirmer test, and LMT in two groups for 3mo of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>1mo</th>
<th>2mo</th>
<th>3mo</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| OSDI
  Experimental group | 56.58±12.43 | 49.41±14.05 | 40.59±15.28 | 35.93±15.52 | 128.37 | <0.001  |
  Control group   | 55.97±9.67  | 51.04±11.87 | 47.19±15.07 | 42.71±14.55 |       |         |
| tBUT (s)
  Experimental group | 5.64±1.42  | 6.18±1.45  | 7.07±1.46  | 7.85±1.58  | 36.26  | <0.001  |
  Control group   | 5.53±1.00   | 6.08±1.11   | 6.34±1.23   | 6.73±1.43   |       |         |
| Schirmer test (mm)
  Experimental group | 9.57±3.42  | 9.68±3.01  | 10.30±2.82 | 11.00±2.40 | 34.45  | <0.001  |
  Control group   | 9.44±3.34   | 9.56±2.98   | 10.25±2.64 | 10.25±2.64 |       |         |
| CS score
  Experimental group | 1.47±1.27  | 0.51±0.64  | 0.30±0.49  | 0.12±0.33  | 30.59  | <0.001  |
  Control group   | 1.53±0.98   | 0.94±0.76   | 0.59±0.67   | 0.56±0.50   |       |         |
| CI score
  Experimental group | 1.42±0.50  | 1.24±0.43  | 1.11±0.31  | 0.95±0.23  | 15.34  | <0.001  |
  Control group   | 1.41±0.50   | 1.28±0.46   | 1.16±0.37   | 1.15±0.37   |       | 0.198   |
| LMT score
  Experimental group | 1.70±0.52  | 1.26±0.50  | 1.05±0.49  | 0.43±0.50  | 61.21  | <0.001  |
  Control group   | 1.66±0.65   | 1.41±0.61   | 1.25±0.57   | 0.87±0.66   |       |         |
| MGS score
  Experimental group | 1.53±0.55  | 1.28±0.45  | 1.12±0.33  | 1.04±0.20  | 3.39   | 0.021   |
  Control group   | 1.53±0.51   | 1.41±0.50   | 1.19±0.40   | 1.09±0.30   |       |         |

*P<0.05 between experimental group and control group according to repeated measures ANOVA; ^Variable values were changed statistically significantly with time; †The change of the variable was statistically significantly different depending on the group. OSDI: Ocular surface disease index; tBUT: Tear film break-up time; CS: Corneal stain; CI: Conjunctival injection; LMT: Lid margin telangiectasia; MGS: Meibomian gland secretion.
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and 3mo after instillation were -1.01±0.13, -1.41±0.15 in the experimental group and -0.59±0.13, -0.97±0.16 in the control group, showing a statistically significant improvement between two groups (P=0.048, 0.047). At 3mo, the mean changes of CI score were significantly higher in the experimental group than in the control group (P=0.030). *P<0.05 between two groups according to Student’s t-test.

There was no significant difference in CI score improvement between two groups (F=1.027, P=0.384; Table 2). Mean changes from baseline to 3mo after instillation were -0.47±0.06 in the experimental group and -0.25±0.08 in the control group, showing a statistically significant improvement between two groups (P=0.030; Figure 3B).

**MGD Problems (Lid Margin Telangiectasia Score And Meibomian Gland Secretion Score)** There was a significant difference in improvement of LMT score between two groups by repeated measure ANOVA (F=3.391, P=0.021; Table 2, Figure 4). Mean changes from baseline to 3mo after instillation were -1.27±0.08 in the experimental group and -0.78±0.14 in the control group, showing a statistically significant improvement between two groups (P=0.002; Figure 5A).

There was no significant difference in improvement of MGS score between two groups (F=0.671, P=0.571; Table 2). And there was also no significant difference in mean changes of MGS score between the two groups at all points by Student’s t-test (P>0.05; Figure 5B).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, CsA is effective to treat symptoms and signs in MGD. Patients were treated with eyelid warming massage for 10min and artificial tear solution, which are widely used as treatments for MGD, and patients were classified according to whether CsA was added or not. CsA-added group (experimental group) was statistically significant better in the improvement of OSDI, tBUT, Schirmer test and LMT over time for 3mo compared with the control group respectively.
Especially at 3mo of treatment, the CsA-added group was more effective than the control group in terms of OSDI, tBUT, Schirmer test, CS, LMT, CI.

MGD is blockage or some other abnormality of the meibomian glands. MGD can lead to inflammation of the meibomian glands and excess oil in the tear film. In MGD, concentrations of inflammatory cytokines such as epidermal growth factor (EGF), interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-8), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) are increased\([10-11,31]\). And these increased inflammatory cytokines have been reported to be associated with CS\([10-11]\), conjunctival staining and injection\([9-10,12]\), tear film instability\([13]\) as well as ocular discomfort\([10,11]\) in dry eye syndrome. Increased inflammation in MGD affects not only ocular surface but also eyelid margin including meibomian gland, causing angiogenesis and capillary dilatation around the margin of the eyelid and meibum stasis\([8-9,29]\). Therefore, TFOS workshop on MGD recommends anti-inflammatory treatment for stage 3 MGD or worse that meibomian orifice plugging and vascularity are not undergoing lid hygiene may account for this difference. Obstruction of meibomian gland causes meibum stasis, resulting to inflammation and bacterial overgrowth. This changes normal meibum to abnormal, which has a melting point above the ocular surface temperature. According to TFOS, warm massage is recommended from the initial treatment of MGD\([8]\). And Guillon et al\([36]\) reported the improvement of MGS with only eyelid hygiene as like eyelid warm massage and Blephaclean wipes. In our study, all patients underwent lid warm massage for 10min essentially. The MGS was improved in both groups. And there was no statistical significance between the two groups, although the experimental group showed better improvement than the control group. It is important to stop the vicious cycle between meibum stasis and inflammation through treatment with CsA, but it is expected to take longer, and lid hygeine, such as warm massage, is effective in improving early meibum stasis. Meibomian gland occlusion resulted from meibum stasis are improved by lid hygiene, such as eyelid warm massage, thus the benefits of using additional CsA are limited in this study. We found that improvement of subjective and objective symptoms including reduction of inflammation were confirmed by steady treatment for about 3mo. In this study, topical CsA installation for 3mo was more effective to treat MGD according to repeated measures analysis of variance. And there was a significant difference at 3mo, but no difference at 1 and 2mo according Student’s t-test between two groups. Our results are consistent with other reports. Perry et al\([37]\) and Fakhraie et al\([38]\) reported that symptoms of dry eye was improved at 3-6mo after CsA instillation. And Rubin et al\([25]\) showed that CsA shows less improvement at 1mo but better at 3mo after treatment against dexamethasone in posterior blepharitis, suggesting that CsA has a slow but better effect. Short-term treatment due to ocular discomfort at initial treatment makes that the anti-inflammatory property of CsA can decrease tear cytokine levels significantly, resulting in reduction of CS and CI indicating ocular surface inflammation and eyelid telangiectasia indicating eyelid margin inflammation. We found that OSDI, CS and Schirmer test were improved better in experimental group. MGD is a major cause of evaporative dry eye syndrome, and most symptoms in MGD patients are consistent with dry eye symptoms. It is well known that CsA increases tear volume through inflammation control of lacrimal gland and improve tear film stability by increasing mucin through increasing number and density of conjunctival goblet cells\([19,35]\). Increased tear volume and improved tear film stability seem to have improved the symptoms of dry eye syndrome in MGD patients.

However, there was no additional effect of CsA on MGS, in contrast to previous studies of CsA in MGD showing significantly improved MGS. The difference in whether or not undergoing lid hygiene may account for this difference. Obstruction of meibomian gland causes meibum stasis, resulting to inflammation and bacterial overgrowth. This changes normal meibum to abnormal, which has a melting point above the ocular surface temperature. According to TFOS, normal meibum to abnormal, which has a melting point above the ocular surface temperature. According to TFOS, warm massage is recommended from the initial treatment of MGD\([8]\). And Guillon et al\([36]\) reported the improvement of MGS with only eyelid hygiene as like eyelid warm massage and Blephaclean wipes. In our study, all patients underwent lid warm massage for 10min essentially. The MGS was improved in both groups. And there was no statistical significance between the two groups, although the experimental group showed better improvement than the control group. It is important to stop the vicious cycle between meibum stasis and inflammation through treatment with CsA, but it is expected to take longer, and lid hygiene, such as warm massage, is effective in improving early meibum stasis. Meibomian gland occlusion resulted from meibum stasis are improved by lid hygiene, such as eyelid warm massage, thus the benefits of using additional CsA are limited in this study. We found that improvement of subjective and objective symptoms including reduction of inflammation were confirmed by steady treatment for about 3mo. In this study, topical CsA installation for 3mo was more effective to treat MGD according to repeated measures analysis of variance. And there was a significant difference at 3mo, but no difference at 1 and 2mo according Student’s t-test between two groups. Our results are consistent with other reports. Perry et al\([37]\) and Fakhraie et al\([38]\) reported that symptoms of dry eye was improved at 3-6mo after CsA instillation. And Rubin et al\([25]\) showed that CsA shows less improvement at 1mo but better at 3mo after treatment against dexamethasone in posterior blepharitis, suggesting that CsA has a slow but better effect. Short-term treatment due to ocular discomfort at initial treatment makes...
the benefits limited, therefore long-term treatment for at least 3mo is considered necessary. This point means some big advantages of CsA induced anti-inflammatory reaction of ocular surface and eyelid compared with long-term therapy of topical steroid eye drops. Long-term usage of topical steroid eye drops has the possible complications, such as cataract, glaucoma and infection, while long-term usage of topical CsA has rare of these.

In conclusion, CsA not only alleviates symptoms and signs of dry eye associated with MGD, but also is effective in controlling inflammation of eyelid or dysfunction of meibomian gland. Therefore additional use of topical CsA in cases that do not respond to conservative treatments in MGD, such as artificial tear and eyelid hygiene, helps to reduce inflammation of ocular surface and eyelid margin, resulting to improve symptoms and signs. However, additional effects of CsA on MGS have not been identified in this study, so further studies are needed to further investigate the effect of CsA on meibomian glands, such as structure of meibomian gland, characteristics of meibum.
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