Alcohol delamination of the corneal epithelium for recurrent corneal erosion syndrome
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Abstract

\textbf{AIM}: To evaluate the outcomes of alcohol delamination (ALD) of the corneal epithelium for the treatment of recurrent corneal erosion syndrome (RCES) and to implement a standardized treatment protocol for this condition utilizing evidence based practice and the findings of an internal audit.

\textbf{METHODS}: A retrospective analysis of 42 eyes of 40 patients diagnosed with RCES who were treated with ALD between January 2006 and March 2016 was conducted. Patients had 20% alcohol applied to the cornea with the use of a well for 40s. Patients were reviewed one week later in the Outpatient Department. Outcome criteria were established based on standards from other studies in the medical literature. These included, a treatment success rate of at least 72% (defined as complete resolution of symptoms one month after treatment), a postoperative complication a rate of <5% (mainly infective keratitis, and subepithelial haze), and the absence of any detrimental effect on visual acuity in ≥95% of patients.

\textbf{RESULTS}: The mean age at the time of ALD was 41.17±13.44y. Patients were followed for an average of 12.8±15.65mo. The majority were female (52.5%, \(n=21\)) and the majority of eyes treated with ALD were left eyes (62.9%, \(n=26\)). Trauma was the primary aetiology in our study population. Treatment was successful in 73.8% (\(n=31\)) of eyes and in 75% (\(n=30\)) of patients. Recurrence occurred in 26.2% of eyes at a mean of 10.41±12.63mo post treatment.

\textbf{CONCLUSION}: ALD is an efficacious and cost-effective primary surgical intervention for RCES.
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\textbf{INTRODUCTION}

Recurrent corneal erosion syndrome (RCES) was first described by Hansen in 1872\textsuperscript{[1]}. It is a chronic relapsing clinical disorder involving the corneal epithelium and epithelial basement membrane and is characterized by repeated episodes of breakdown of the corneal epithelium causing moderate to severe eye pain, photophobia, lacrimation, and corneal scarring leading to visual changes. Risk factors include a history of trauma, corneal dystrophies (epithelial basement membrane dystrophy, map-dot-fingerprint dystrophy), meibomian gland dysfunction, previous ocular infection, dry eyes and diabetes.

Conservative treatments of RCES include topical lubricants, antibiotic ointments, patching, cycloplegia, bandage contact lenses (BCL) and metalloproteinase inhibitors\textsuperscript{[2-3]}. For patients who are refractory to medical treatment, interventional treatment such as manual epithelial debridement, diamond burr superficial keratectomy, anterior stromal micropuncture, phototherapeutic keratectomy (PTK) and alcohol delamination (ALD) of the corneal epithelium are indicated\textsuperscript{[3]}. Treatments directed at the pathogenesis of RCES such as substance P-derived peptide with insulin like growth factor-1 (ILGF-1)\textsuperscript{[4]} and autologous serum\textsuperscript{[5]} drops have also been studied.

The aims of this study were to evaluate the outcomes of ALD in the treatment of RCES and to implement a standardized treatment protocol for this condition utilizing evidence based practice and the findings of an internal audit.

\textbf{SUBJECTS AND METHODS}

The ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki (2008) were adhered to in carrying out this research. Oral consent was obtained from the participants and they did not receive a stipend. The population for this audit was obtained retrospectively through medical record code searches for patients diagnosed with RCES, who were treated with ALD between January 2006 and March 2016 in the Royal Victoria
Eye and Ear Hospital (RVEEH), Dublin, Ireland. In this institution, patients have 20% alcohol applied to the cornea with the use of a well for 40s. The cornea is then washed with sterile balanced salt solution and the epithelium is debrided. A BCL is inserted and patients are discharged on Pred Forte 1% and minims chloramphenicol 0.5% eye drops, along with oral analgesia. They are reviewed one week later in the Outpatient Department. Outcome criteria were established based on standards from other studies in the medical literature. These included, a treatment success rate of at least 72% (defined as complete resolution of symptoms one month after treatment)\[2,6-7\], a postoperative complication a rate of <5% (mainly infective keratitis\[8-9\] and subepithelial haze\[60\]), and the absence of any detrimental effect on visual acuity in ≥95% of patients.

Data was analyzed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Excel for Mac Version 15.18 2015, Seattle Washington, USA) and GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Prism version 7 for Mac, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California, USA). Statistical significance was attributed when \(P<0.05\).

A treatment algorithm was established based on available resources in the Irish public hospital system.

**RESULTS**

A total of 42 eyes of 40 patients were included in this study. The mean age at the time of ALD was 41.17±13.44y, which is consistent with the literature showing middle-aged predominance\[10-13\]. Patients were followed for an average of 12.8±15.65mo. The majority were female (52.5%, \(n=21\)) and the majority of eyes treated with ALD were left eyes (62.9%, \(n=26\)). The aetiology of RCES in our population is outlined in Table 1, with trauma comprising the largest subgroup (\(n=22\)). There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications. Conservative treatment was initiated in all patients before ALD was proposed, with lubricants and bandage contact lenses being the most frequent choices.

Treatment was successful in 73.8% (\(n=31\)) of eyes and 75.0% (\(n=30\)) of patients. Recurrence occurred in 26.2% of eyes at an average of 10.41±12.63mo. The aetiology of recurrent cases is summarised in Table 2. Postoperatively, 95% (\(n=38\)) of patients retained or improved their best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) at their last follow-up. Preoperatively, the mean logMAR BCVA was 0.20±0.08, which improved postoperatively to a mean logMAR BCVA of 0.058±0.058 (\(P<0.0001\)) at the latest documented follow-up. The two patients who did not meet this standard both lost one line of vision. Table 3 displays a summary of outcomes.

**DISCUSSION**

In 2001, Shah et al\[14\] reported the use of ALD in preparation for photorefractive keratectomy (PRK) and laser assisted sub-epithelial keratectomy (LASEK). In LASEK patients who underwent ALD, the epithelium was more difficult to remove in the event of retreatment. This led to a 12-patient trial published in 2006 by Dua et al\[3\], describing ALD as a novel treatment for RCES. Seventy-five percent of patients responded to treatment. A prospective study by Singh et al\[60\], which included 20 RCES patients, confirmed ALD of the corneal epithelium as a viable treatment option for RCES refractive to conservative treatment.

This audit confirms that the majority of patients receiving ALD as a treatment for RCES at the RVEEH meet the safety and efficacy standards as described in the medical literature. The two patients whose vision was negatively impacted upon only lost 1 line of BCVA at 2 and 5mo post-operatively. There was no overt reason for this visual loss as both patients’ corneas recovered adequately after treatment. The loss could have been due to inter-examiner variability or natural fluctuations in patients’ level of vision between clinic appointments. In this audit, trauma and epithelial basement membrane dystrophy (EBMD) were the most common causes of RCES, which is in keeping with the medical literature\[3,12,15-16\].

We have drafted a number of recommendations to be used locally for such patients. Firstly, the patients’ level of pain should be quantified in the clinical notes, for example, on a Likert scale. This helps in determining successful or partially successful treatment outcomes (those patients who do not have complete resolution of symptoms, but who experience a reduction in symptom frequency and intensity\[2,6\].

---

**Table 1 Aetiology of recurrent corneal erosion syndrome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>(n) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>22 (52.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial basement membrane dystrophy</td>
<td>7 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic/etiology not specified</td>
<td>7 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map dot finger dystrophy</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meibomian gland dysfunction</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Following ocular infection</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (Eyes)</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Primary aetiology of treatment failures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>(n) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>5 (45.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial basement membrane dystrophy</td>
<td>3 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic/etiology not specified</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meibomian gland dysfunction</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3 Summary of outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Standard (from the literature)</th>
<th>Meeting standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment success</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative complications</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative complication</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual outcomes</td>
<td>(\geq 95)</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) inhibitors such as oral doxycycline were sparingly used in the care of patients in this audit. MMPs are degradative enzymes found to have an important role in corneal wound healing by clearing damaged matrix during epithelization\cite{17-18}. Garrana et al\cite{19} found that MMP levels, specifically MMP-2 and MMP-9, are elevated in RCES patients compared to those with normal corneal tissue. This excess protease activity can lead to chronic non-healing wounds. In a study of combination treatment consisting of oral doxycycline and topical fluorometholone, 73% of patients were symptom free 6mo after cessation of treatment. In a study of combination treatment consisting of oral antibiotic drops, topical lubricants, cycloplegic drops, and topical steroid drops, treatment failure was reported in 27% of patients. This excess protease activity can lead to chronic non-healing wounds.

![Figure 1 Treatment algorithm for recurrent corneal erosion syndrome.](image)
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