Eye reflux: an ocular extraesophageal manifestation of gastric reflux
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Abstract

● AIM: To suspect laryngopharyngeal reflux (LPR) in patients with ocular surface disease (OSD).
● METHODS: The present study evaluated a group of subjects with OSD assessing the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) and the Reflux Symptom Index (RSI) to detect patients with suspected LPR and define a possible relationship between tests.
● RESULTS: Two hundred and ninety subjects (175 females, mean age: 60.41±15.68y) were consecutively visited at ophthalmologist offices. One hundred and one (34%) patients had pathological RSI (>13) and consequently a suspected LPR.
● CONCLUSION: The current study shows that suspected LPR may be common (34%) in patients with OSD and a suspected LPR may be considered in OSD patients when RSI score is >13 and OSDI score is >42.
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INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) represents a widespread disorder as about 40% of US population suffers from it¹-². From a clinical point of view, GERD is characterized by two main symptoms, such as heartburn and regurgitation. Actually, it is well known that gastric reflux may stray the oesophagus. Thus, several extraesophageal symptoms have been identified as potential outcome of an underlying reflux. The Montreal Classification includes chronic cough, asthma, and laryngopharyngeal reflux (LPR) as extraesophageal manifestations of GERD³. LPR is the consequence of aggressive refluxate exposure on upper airways, namely larynx and pharynx⁴. LPR symptoms typically consist of hoarseness, sore throat, globus sensation, and throat clearing. LPR may be associated with GERD, but it may also occur as alone disorder without typical oesophageal symptoms: the so called “silent reflux”, such as a gastroduodenal reflux without perceived oesophageal and/or gastric symptoms⁵-⁶. For these reasons, LPR is difficult to diagnose as there is no pathognomonic symptoms or standard diagnostic criteria and there is conflicting thought between gastroenterologists and otolaryngologists. On the other hand, LPR is very common and represent a relevant burden both concerning social and personal costs and impaired quality of life⁷. Therefore, LPR management is an impellent and intriguing challenge for general practitioners and specialists. Even though the pathophysiological mechanisms of gastric reflux are not completely defined, the pathogenic pathway causing mucosal damages evident. In fact, low pH of refluxate and pepsin play a major role in inducing chronic mucosal inflammation⁸-⁹. Pepsin is a proteolytic enzyme deriving from pepsinogen and activated by low pH (at least <4) that is produced only in the stomach. Therefore, pepsin detection outside gastric area may be considered without doubt a biomarker for gastric reflux¹⁰. Consistently with this postulate, several studies investigated the presence of pepsin in different organs, including larynx, pharynx, paranasal sinus, mouth, and internal ear¹¹-¹³. In line with this research field, some studies addressed the investigation to support the hypothesis that also the eye could be a target organ of LPR. The first study considered the possibility that gastric reflux may contribute to the development of acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction¹⁴. This suggestive speculation paved the way to other investigations. An Iranian study reported the Helicobacter pylori colonization in the lacrimal sac mucosa of patients with obstruction of the nasolacrimal sac: an indirect demonstration of LPR at eye level¹⁵. In addition, a recent review pointed out this issue highlighting the relevant association between H pylori infection
and ocular involvement[16]. Further, it has been provided the direct evidence that pepsin may be present in the tears of subjects with LPR[17-19]. Consequently, the hypothesis to be tested in the current study was the possible suspect of LPR in patients with ocular surface disease (OSD). Thus, the present study evaluated a group of subjects with OSD, assessing the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) and the Reflux Symptom Index (RSI) to investigate the frequency of suspected LPR and define a possible relationship between these diagnostic tests.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The current cross-sectional study enrolled 290 consecutive outpatients (175 females, mean age: 60.41±15.68y) with OSD. These subjects were visited at ocular offices between February and June 2017. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and all patients signed an informed consent. All subjects were visited by an ophthalmologist belonging to the Scientific Association Gruppo Oculisti Ambulatoriali Liberi (GOAL) and completed two diagnostic questionnaires: the OSDI and the RSI.

OSDI is a 12-item questionnaire to investigate ocular symptoms[19]. Further, reliability and validity were confirmed by two studies[20-21]. OSDI questionnaire is of low burden to the patient, as it takes approximately 5min to be completed, and was successfully used by researchers and clinicians in patients with different diseases, including connective disorders[22]. The OSDI scoring was performed according to the reference guidelines[23-24].

RSI is a self-administered nine-item questionnaire developed by Belafsky et al[25] and colleagues for assessing symptoms in patients with reflux disease. It is so simple that can be completed in less than 1min. The scale for each individual item ranges from 0 (no problem) to 5 (severe problems), with a maximum score of 45. It has been concluded that RSI has high reproducibility and validity for the diagnosis of reflux if an RSI score >13 is defined as abnormal[25]. Therefore, RSI may be considered a pragmatic tool in the approach of patients with suspected LPR[26].

Statistical Analysis Demographic and clinical characteristics were described using means with Standard Deviation (SDs) for normally-distributed continuous data (i.e. age) or medians with lower and upper quartiles for not normally-distributed data (i.e. for the score of each item of OSDI questionnaire) or as absolute frequency and percentages for categorical data (i.e. frequency of patients who refers sensitivity to light). Any statistically significant difference in the mean values or in the median values of each continuous variable between patients with normal or pathologic RSI (as defined at the RSI questionnaire) was evaluated with the unpaired t-test or Mann-Whitney U test, respectively. Comparison of frequency distributions was made by means of the Chi-square test or the Fisher’s exact test in case of expected frequencies <5. Odds ratios (OR) were reported with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Correlation between the RSI and the total OSDI score was evaluated with Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient. We labelled the strength of the association as follows: for absolute values of r, 0 to 0.19 is regarded as very weak, 0.2 to 0.39 as weak, 0.40 to 0.59 as moderate, 0.6 to 0.79 as strong and 0.8 to 1 as very strong correlation[27].

The best cut-off point of total OSDI score able to discriminate between patients with or without LRP was estimated on the basis of the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Statistical significance was set at P<0.05, and the analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software Inc, CA, USA) and MedCalc (Ostend, Belgium).

RESULTS

The present study analysed the reports of 290 outpatients. Patients were subdivided in two sub-groups on the basis of the RSI score: patients with normal RSI (≤13, RSI negative group) and patients with abnormal RSI (>13, RSI positive group). RSI negative group consisted of 189 (65.2%) subjects (114 females, mean age: 51.4±14.74y); RSI positive group consisted of 101 (34.8%) subjects (61 females, mean age: 63.8±14.62y).

The OSDI outcomes were analysed considering both the 3 individual items, such as ocular symptoms, vision-related function, and environmental triggers, and the global score. The distribution of the median scores is reported according to the RSI outcomes in Table 1. Noteworthy, all single items and global scores were significantly higher in patients with abnormal RSI (P<0.0001, each comparison). A moderate (r=0.58) correlation between total OSDI score and RSI scores was detected, as reported in Figure 1.

We also estimated the frequency of ocular symptoms, vision related functioning, and environmental triggers evaluated by the OSDI questionnaire in patients with pathologic or normal RSI: for each question concerning different eye issues, patients rating their symptom/problem equal to zero were classified as not having symptoms/problems whereas those rating their symptom/problem ≥1 were classified as having symptoms/problems. As shown in Table 2, ocular symptoms, vision related functioning, and environmental triggers were significantly more frequently reported by RSI positive patients. Particularly pain, poor vision, and problems when using a computer or watching TV were almost 5-fold more frequent in RSI positive patients than in RSI negative patients. RSI positive patients showed an almost 7-fold more frequent gritty feeling or problems when reading or having problems if low humidity. More frequent problems if windy conditions or in air conditioned spaces were reported 10-to-20-fold more frequently by RSI positive patients.
ROC analysis allowed to define as >41.67 the best total OSDI score cut-off able to discriminate patients with abnormal RSI: area under the curve (AUC) was 0.812 (0.762-0.855), sensitivity 65.35%, specificity 85.19%, and Youden index J 0.51, as reported in Figure 2.

The best cut-off point of overall OSDI score able to discriminate between patients with or without LPR was estimated on the basis of the ROC curve analysis. Patients with an overall OSDI score >41.67 were considered as “disease positive” patients (patients with suspected LPR), whereas patients with an overall OSDI score ≤41.67 were considered “disease negative” patients (patients without LPR).

### DISCUSSION

GERD is a common and well recognized disorder that may be easily diagnosed mainly on a clinical ground. However, LPR diagnosis is debated and there is no agreement about its real clinical relevance. Anyway, there is convincing evidence demonstrating an LPR role in airways inflammation involving some organs, such as larynx, pharynx, paranasal sinus, and middle ear.[27-31]. In particular, it has been demonstrated the pathogenic role of pepsin in otitis media.[32-33] These studies are crucial as they paved the way to investigate also a possible LPR impact also on eye. First studies addressed this topic and evidence of pepsin presence in the tears has been documented.[18] The possible explanation of this way could depend on a peculiar mechanism. Pepsin can move to lacrimal film passing through the nasal cavity, the inferior meatus, and the nasolacrimal duct.

On the other hand, LPR diagnosis has been proposed on the basis of clinical insight and using a specific questionnaire, i.e. RSI.[25]. On the basis of this background, the current study tested the hypothesis that LPR could affect eye, mainly concerning anterior segment area and function.

The present outcomes demonstrate that suspected LPR is common in a selected population as more than one third of patients have a positive RSI questionnaire suggestive for LPR. Patients with suspected LPR frequently may present impaired ocular function and symptoms. In particular, patients with suspected LPR show a significant association between severity of digestive symptoms and ocular complaints. This outcome underlines the close association between suspected LPR and ocular involvement as the severity of reflux symptoms well correlated with ocular symptom severity. Noteworthy, an OSDI cut-off has been defined as reliable predictor for LPR: 41.67. Another, clinically relevant outcome is the age of patients: usually old patients more easily may have LPR.[34]. This aspect

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pathological RSI (&gt;13; n=101)</th>
<th>Normal RSI (≤13; n=189)</th>
<th>P (Mann-Whitney U test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Problems in the last 4wk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitive to light</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gritty feeling</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>2 (1-2)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blurred vision</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor vision</td>
<td>2 (1-2.5)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems when</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving in the night</td>
<td>2 (1-2)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using a computer</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching TV</td>
<td>2 (1-2)</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If windy conditions</td>
<td>3 (1.5-3)</td>
<td>1 (0-2)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If low humidity</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In air conditioned spaces</td>
<td>2 (2-3)</td>
<td>2 (1-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum score of the questions</td>
<td>25 (17-29.5)</td>
<td>12 (8-18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OSDI score</td>
<td>52.1 (35.4-62.5)</td>
<td>25 (16.7-37.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OSDI: Ocular Surface Disease Index; RSI: Reflux Symptom Index. All data are reported as medians with lower and upper quartiles in parentheses.
is rather understandable as it is well known that GERD is common in people aging more than 50y.

However, this study has some relevant limitations, including the cross-sectional design, the lack of functional and macroscopic investigation of the upper digestive and respiratory tract, the lack of pepsin assessment in the tears, and the lack of a follow-up. Moreover, the degree of the relationship could be not enough to define the real link between reflux and eye involvement.

Anyway, a strength of the current study is the number of evaluated patients and the contemporary evaluation of digestive and ocular symptoms using validated instruments.

In conclusion, a suspected LPR may be common in patients with OSD and an OSDI score >42 may be predictive for positive RSI.
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