Effect of green flickering light on myopia development and expression of M1 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor in guinea pigs
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Abstract

• AIM: To investigate the effects of green flickering light on refractive development and expression of muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mACHR) M1 in the eyes of guinea pigs.
• METHODS: Thirty guinea pigs (15-20 days old) were randomly divided into three groups (n=10/group). Animals in group I were raised in a completely closed carton with green flickering light illumination. Those in group II were kept in the open top closed carton under normal natural light. Guinea pigs were raised in a sight-widen cage under normal natural light in group III. The refractive status and axial length were measured before and after 8 weeks’ illumination. Moreover, total RNA extracted from retinal, choroidal, and scleral tissues were determined by real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). The expressions of the receptor M1 were also explored in the retina, choroid, and sclera using immunohistochemistry.
• RESULTS: There was a remarkable reduction in refractive error and increase in axial length after 8-weeks’ green flickering light stimulation (P<0.001). The expression of M1 receptor mRNA in sclera and retina in myopia group were remarkably lower than that in group II and III (P<0.01). Significant reduced expression of M1 receptor stimulated by green flickering light in retina and sclera tissues were also observed (P<0.05). However, there was no M1 receptor expression in choroid in 3 groups.
• CONCLUSION: Myopia can be induced by 8 weeks’ green flickering light exposure in the animal model. M1 receptor may be involved causally or protectively in myopia development.
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INTRODUCTION

Myopia, one of the most common human visual disorders, is characterized by excessive axial elongation of the eye and negative refractive error[1-2]. The number of myopia presents a dramatically increasing tendency over the past decades, with a reported rate of 80% in urban areas in Asia alone[3-5]. The underlying pathogenesis of myopia is poorly understood, but increasing evidences have demonstrated that genetic and environmental factors are critical for myopia development[6]. Exposure to flickering light has long been considered as one of important environmental risks for myopia progression to which people are extensively used electronics during their lives and work. It has been reported that chronic exposure to low-frequency flickering light induces myopia in guinea pigs with the associated histological and concurrent electrophysiological changes[7]. Aslo, previous studies have revealed that flickering light is closely associated with refractive error development[8]. By contrast, Crewther et al’s[9] research reported that luminance modulation had no effect on refraction or ocular parameters in no-lens conditions. Thus, controversy still exists at present regarding flickering light induced myopia.

However, considerable evidences from studies in animal models demonstrated that many retinal neurotransmitters have been involved in ocular development, also implicated in myopia[10-11]. Five distinct muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mACHRs) subtype (M1-M5) have been identified and mediated most of the actions of the neurotransmitter ACh in the central and peripheral nervous systems[12]. Clinical application of muscarinic receptor antagonists, such as atropine (a non-
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selective mAChR antagonist), pirenzepine (an M1-selective antagonist) and himbacine (an M4-selective antagonist) etc. can play a critical role in the inhibition of myopia development\[13\]. The M1 receptor is widely distributed in the eyes of guinea pigs, which is might has the most important role in the “stop” signal of myopic progression\[14\]. M1 is the most effective in preventing myopic eye change. Moreover, retina, choroid, and/or sclera are implicated potential sites of action for muscarinic-active drugs. Although some studies revealed that the high concentrations of the M1 or M4 receptor may suggest involvement of a non-cholinergic receptor mechanism such as the nicotinic system\[15-16\], their underlying mechanism remains unclear. The objective of current study was to investigate the effectiveness of green flickering light on myopia and expression of M1 receptor in the eyes of guinea pigs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals and Groups** Thirty male and female guinea pigs (black, brown and white), aged from 15 to 20d, 70-90 g were obtained from the Experimental Animal Center of Shandong University. The refraction ranged from +2.50 to +3.50 D was examined by retinoscopy. All experimental protocols conformed to the ARVO statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. This work was approved by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee in Shandong University. Animals were randomly divided into 3 groups (n=10 for each group). Animals in group I were irradiated with 5 Hz green flickering light (515-530 nm, peak value 525 nm, bright 2s, dark 2s) for 8wk, placed in a completely sealed carton. The light source is installed above the carton, and the experimental animals were exposed to an illumination intensity of 800 lx constant temperature inside, food and water free access. All the cages were well ventilated to maintain windows and good lighting, avoid interference from artificial light sources. All the cages were well ventilated to maintain constant temperature inside, food and water free access.

**Measurement of Ocular Refraction and Axial Length** The refraction was examined prior to the experiment and 8wk after the experiment. Before examination, the eyes were applied with compound tropicamide eye drops (per 1 mL containing tropicamide 5 mg, phenylephrine hydrochloride 5 mg, Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Japan) 2 times to paralyze the ciliary muscle. After half an hour, horizontal and vertical diameter of the retinoscopy was respectively performed to examine the refractive error by a streak retinoscope. The gradient was 0.25 D, and astigmatism was represented by an equivalent spherical mirror. The axial length was measured prior to the experiment and 8wk after the experiment. Oxybuprocaine hydrochloride eye drops 0.4% (Santen Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Japan) were administered in conjunctival sac 2 times, and the axial length was measured with A-scan ultrasonography. A continuous measurement was made 10 times and presented as mean values±standard deviation (SD).

**Collection of Tissue** Animals were sacrificed by excessive anesthesia (3% sodium pentobarbital). The eyeballs were enucleated and hemisected after carefully removal of residual orbital tissue (conjunctiva, fascia, extraocular muscles, fat and optic nerve). Then anterior ocular tissues and vitreous body were removed with no teeth tweezers, and posterior retina, choroid and sclera were collected. All dissected tissue samples were placed in eppendorf tubes, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

**RNA Extraction and Reverse Transcription** To prevent RNA degradation by RNA enzymes during the extraction process, glassware, pipette tips and other experimental consumables were pretreated with diethyolcarbonate water (DEPC). Total RNA was routinely extracted from the tissue samples by Trizol (Shanghai shenggong bio-engineering technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The A260/A280 value was determined between 1.8 and 2.0 by the ZF type ultraviolet spectrophotometer analyzer (Shanghai Hong Wah biochemical instrument factory, Shanghai, China). Agarose 1% gel electrophoresis results showed that 28S and 18S RNA bands were clear observed, indicating that the total RNA extracted was intact and no obvious degradation. cDNA was then obtained by reverse transcription and cDNA was used as a template to amplify the target gene for PCR. Amplification and detection of mRNA were performed in a TaqMan real-time RT-PCR (TaqMan rRT-PCR).

**Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction** Oligonucleotide primers for M1 receptors of guinea pigs were summarized in Table 1. The internal reference gene was murine β-actin. Reaction system was totally 20 μL. Amplification of the M1 receptor product was performed according to RT-PCR kit (Dalian TakaRa Biological Engineering Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) instructions. After an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5min, the samples underwent 35 cycles of denaturation (94°C, 40s), annealing (60°C, 40s), and extension (72°C, 1min). This was followed by final extension at 72°C for 7min. Of 15 g/L

### Table 1 Muscarinic acetylcholine 1 receptor and β-actin oligonucleotide primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide primer sequences (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1 receptor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GCTCTACTCGGCACCCTCTACC</td>
<td>459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CTGACCAGCCGAGAAGGTCT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>TGAGACCTTCAACACCCCCAG</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GCCATCTCTTGTGTCGAAAGTC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The M1 receptor is widely distributed in the eyes of guinea pigs, which is might has the most important role in the “stop” signal of myopic progression\[14\]. M1 is the most effective in preventing myopic eye change. Moreover, retina, choroid, and/or sclera are implicated potential sites of action for muscarinic-active drugs. Although some studies revealed that the high concentrations of the M1 or M4 receptor may suggest involvement of a non-cholinergic receptor mechanism such as the nicotinic system\[15-16\], their underlying mechanism remains unclear. The objective of current study was to investigate the effectiveness of green flickering light on myopia and expression of M1 receptor in the eyes of guinea pigs.
PCR product was subjected to gel electrophoresis to determine the amount of M1 receptor product. The PCR product was scanned and quantified by using a Gel Imager System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Immunohistochemistry Strept avidin-biotin complex (SABC) assay was used to detect the expression of M1 receptor. Briefly, 5 μm tissue sections were obtained from several representative areas of each specimen and were mounted on to glass slides.Slides were incubated at room temperature for 10min. Xylene was used to dewaxing for 15min and anhydrous alcohol was applied for 7min twice. Tissue sections were incubated with 90% alcohol for 10min twice, 80% and 70% alcohol for 5min respectively to block endogenous peroxidase activity. After washing, sections were incubated with a repairing solution for 5min. Immunostaining was then carried out by incubation with 50 μL M1 antibody (Santa Cruz, California, USA, diluted by 1.5% goat serum, and the final concentration was 1:100) at 4℃ for one night. After washing three times with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) for 5min, the reaction was subsequently amplified with primary antibody amplifier, followed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP; Santa Cruz, California, USA, diluted by 1.5% goat serum, and the final concentration was 1:200). Then SABC was added and incubated at 37℃ for 30min. Color was developed using Horseradish Peroxidase Color Development Kit (DAB; Santa Cruz, California, USA) substrate chromogenic system for 3-5min (Santa Cruz, California, USA). Finally, sections were analyzed with a microscope (BH-2 OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan). Sections were analyzed with a microscope (BH-2 OLYMPUS, Tokyo, Japan). For evaluation of M1 receptors expression, the percentage of positive cells (0: <5% positive cells; 1: 5%-10% positive cells; 2: 11%-50% positive cells; 3: 51%-75% positive cells; 4: >75% positive cells) were counted in the 400-fold field of view using the Leica Qwin V3 image analysis system.

Statistical Analysis Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS software (Version 12.0, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous data was presented as mean±SD. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test was applied for analysis of differences between groups.

RESULTS Measurement of Ocular Refraction and Axial Length The refraction and axial length of all guinea pigs in three groups were presented in Figure 1. There were no significant differences among the three groups with respect to the refraction and axial length before exposure. Refraction was found to be significantly reduced among the three groups over 8 weeks’ experiments, and the refractive changes in the group I was 6.74±0.68 (P<0.001), group II was 3.80±0.51 (P<0.001), group III was 1.20±0.22 (P<0.001; Table 2). Moreover, the refractive changes of any two of these three groups all showed significant difference (P<0.05; Figure 1A). Axial length was significantly longer after 8 weeks’ exposure in both group I (P<0.001) and group II (P<0.001; Table 3). Meanwhile, the axial length changes of any two of these three groups all showed significant difference (P<0.05; Figure 1B).

RNA Sample Identification The RNA concentration was 2.5-4.5 g/L and the A260/A280 value was between 1.8 and 2.0. The results of 1% agarose gel electrophoresis showed that the total RNA of receptor extracted through this method has no obvious degradation and has a good purity.
Qualitative Expression and Quantitative Analysis of M1 Receptor mRNA in Retina, Sclera and Choroid of Guinea Pig

As shown in Figure 2A, the expression of acetylcholine M1 receptor mRNA was observed in retina and sclera of group I, II and III. However, there was no M1 receptor mRNA expression in choroid. According to the image analysis (Figure 2B, 2C), the mRNA expression of M1 receptor in group I was significant different from that in group II and group III (56.38±3.34 vs 70.16±2.45 and 73.34±2.83) in retina tissues (P<0.01). In sclera tissues, the content of M1 receptor mRNA in group I was 58.40±2.73, 70.59±1.89 in group II and 72.60±2.81 in group III, and mRNA content in group I had obvious difference from those in groups II and III (P<0.01).

Expression of M1 Receptor in Retina, Sclera and Choroid of Guinea Pig by Immunohistochemistry

M1 receptor was expressed in all layers of retina and sclera tissues in three groups and the tissue with positive expression of M1 receptor was brownish. No positive expression was found in choroid tissue (Figure 3A). As shown in Figure 3B, animals were stimulated by green flickering light showed significant reduced expression of M1 receptor in retina and sclera tissues compared with those exposed to normal natural light (P<0.05). The result was consistent with the results of mRNA expression.

Table 3 The axial length and changes before and after exposure of guinea pigs in the three groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Axial length before exposure (mm)</th>
<th>Axial length after 8 weeks’ exposure (mm)</th>
<th>Changes in axial length (mm)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I (n=10)</td>
<td>7.51±0.22</td>
<td>8.38±0.54</td>
<td>0.87±0.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II (n=10)</td>
<td>7.66±0.26</td>
<td>8.05±0.45</td>
<td>0.39±0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III (n=10)</td>
<td>7.53±0.34</td>
<td>7.70±0.53</td>
<td>0.17±0.02</td>
<td>0.2347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 The images and expression of M1 receptor mRNA present in retina, sclera and choroid of the three groups

A: The expression of M1 receptor mRNA was observed in retina and sclera of the three groups; B: The mRNA expression of M1 receptor in the three groups in retina tissues; C: The mRNA expression of M1 receptor in the three groups in sclera. ¹P<0.01 compared with group I. 1: Group I; 2: Group II; 3: Group III; 4: DNA marker.

Figure 3 The immunohistochemistry images and expression of retina and sclera obtained by staining M1 receptors

A: The images of retina and sclera obtained by staining M1 receptors; B: The expression of M1 receptor in retina and sclera in the three groups. ¹P<0.05 compared with group I.
DISCUSSION
This study was undertaken to investigate the effects of green flickering light on refractive error development and expression of mAChRs subtype M1 during the development of myopia in the eyes of guinea pigs. Induction of refractive errors from green flickering light has been reported in different animal species. Wang et al's study indicated that in green light of 530 nm was not only to induce greater axial myopia, but also to increase secretion of melatonin. This study confirmed that axial myopia can be induced by long-wavelength green light. Findings of the present study are consistent with that axial myopia can be induced by long-wavelength green light. It is a better and in-depth understanding of the role of M1 receptor in myopic development. An important consideration in comparing the two studies is that Liu et al induced myopia over a 21d period rather than the 8wk period used in the current study. Thus, the observed alterations in the retina and sclera may be potential sites for preventing myopia by using mAChR antagonists. However, our research was in consistent with the study conducted by Liu et al, where M1 gene and protein expression were increased in the guinea pig during myopia development. An important conclusion of this study might be due to different myopic model induced by different mechanisms. Therefore further studies of the relevant mechanisms are still needed.
In conclusion, our study provided a comprehensive profile of the expression of mAChRs in the ocular tissues of guinea pigs. Expression of the M1 subtype significantly decreased in the posterior retina and sclera of myopia induced by green flickering light. It is a better and in-depth understanding to further study the specific mechanism of M1 receptor in flickering light induced myopia.
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