Refractive outcomes after vitrectomy combined with phacoemulsification of idiopathic macular holes
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Abstract

- **AIM:** To report the refractive outcomes after vitrectomy combined with phacoemulsification and intraocular lens (IOL) implantation (phaco-vitrectomy) in idiopathic macular holes (IMH).
- **METHODS:** A total of 56 eyes with IMH (IMH group) that underwent phaco-vitrectomy and 44 eyes with age-related cataract (ARC group) that underwent cataract surgery were retrospectively reviewed. The best corrective visual acuity (BCVA), predicted refractive error (PRE), actual refractive error (ARE), axial length (AL), were measured in both groups before and 6mo after operation. The power calculation of IOL and the predicted refractive error (PRE) were calculated according to the SRK/T formula. The difference of PRE and ARE between the two groups were compared and analyzed.
- **RESULTS:** In the IMH group, the diameters of macular holes were 271.73±75.85 μm, the closure rate was 100%. The pre- and post-operative BCVA were 0.80±0.35 and 0.40±0.35 logMAR. The PRE of A-ultrasound and IOL Master in the IMH group was -0.27±0.25 and 0.10±0.66 D. The postoperative mean absolute prediction error (MAE) was observed to be 0.58±0.65 and 0.53±0.37 D in the IOL Master and A-ultrasound (P=0.758). The PRE and ARE of the IMH group were -0.10±0.66 D and -0.19±0.64 D (P=0.102). The PRE and ARE of the ARC group was -0.43±0.95 and -0.31±0.93 D (P=0.383). The difference between PRE and ARE was -0.33±0.81 and 0.09±0.64 D in the IMH and ARC groups (P=0.021). The proportion of myopic shift was 67.9% in the IMH group and 27.3% in the ARC group (P=0.004).
- **CONCLUSION:** The myopic shift can be observed in patients with IMH after phaco-vitrectomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic macular hole (IMH) predominantly affects individuals aged over 50y, and is often complicated by cataract. The removal of the lens assists in better visualization during vitrectomy and is considered beneficial with internal limiting membrane (ILM) peeling. Furthermore, most of the patients with mild lens opacity cause progression to nuclear sclerotic cataract due to gas filling and other factors after vitrectomy, which leads to decreased vision short-term. So, performing cataract surgery is more difficult after vitrectomy, as it is prone to posterior capsule rupture and other complications. Therefore, the combined surgery of phaco-vitrectomy, which is a cost-effective and involves rapid recovery of visual acuity, has become a routine procedure for IMH[1-3]. At present, because of good anatomical and visual outcomes after operation, the postoperative refractory outcome has become a more concerned topic. Whether the predicted refractive error (PRE) is as accurate as that of cataract surgery alone, whether the macular hole affects the preoperative axial length (AL) measurement, and whether air filling affects the location of intraocular lens (IOL) have been rarely reported. Therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the trend of postoperative refraction in patients with phaco-vitrectomy for macular holes and analyze the associated influencing factors.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval  The study protocol followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tianjin Medical University Eye Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to study enrollment.
Preoperative Examination and Preparation Preoperative and postoperative ophthalmic examinations were performed at baseline, 1, and 6mo after surgery, and included the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) calculated using the Snellen visual chart. The intraocular pressure measurement was calculated using a noncontact tonometer, slit-lamp microscopy, and indirect ophthalmoscopy. The IMH was diagnosed by using optical coherence tomography (OCT; TOPCON 3D-OCT-2000; Topcon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The AL was measured by IOL Master Biometry (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany) and A-ultrasound (Quantel Medical Corporation, France). The IOL power was calculated using the SRK/T formula. All ASP artificial lens (HumanOptic Corporation, Germany) was used for the implantation of a foldable posterior chamber IOL in the capsular bag. The refractive outcomes were measured in spherical equivalent (SE) form, and the difference between the PRE and the actual refractive error (ARE) in each eye was calculated.

Surgical Methods and Procedures All surgeries were performed by the same experienced surgeon using the same instruments (25G, Constellation, Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA). Phacoemulsification was performed by a 3-mm clear corneal incision, and a foldable posterior chamber IOL was implanted in the capsular bag. A 3-port pars plana vitrectomy was performed in patients included in the experimental group for removing of the posterior vitreous completely. The ILM surrounding the macula was then peeled by approximately 2-3 papillary diameters assisted by indocyanine green (ICG; 2.5 mg/mL, 5-10s). This was followed by fluid-air exchange, air tamponade, and all patients in the IMH group maintained a strict prone position for 48h.

Statistical Analysis SPSS 22.0 was used for conducting statistical analyses. Descriptive statistics were calculated and compared between the IMH group and the ARC group. SPSS 22.0 was used for conducting statistical analyses. Descriptive statistics were calculated and compared between the IMH group and the ARC group. Statistical analyses were calculated using SPSS 22.0. The Student's t-tests were used to compare the differences between PRE and ARE in the two groups. The threshold for statistical significance was set at P<0.05. Data were expressed as means and standard deviation (SD).

RESULTS This study included 51 patients (56 eyes) in IMH group and 22 patients (44 eyes) in ARC group. Table 1 showed the baseline demographic data of the patients.

### Table 1 Baseline demographic data of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>IMH group</th>
<th>ARC group</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>66.79±4.33</td>
<td>69.89±6.71</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>17/34</td>
<td>10/12</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye (R/L)</td>
<td>26/30</td>
<td>18/26</td>
<td>0.696</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: Male; F: Female; R: Right eye; L: Left eye.

### Table 2 Comparison of A-scan and IOL Master in IMH group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>IOL Master</th>
<th>A-Scan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>22.96±0.68</td>
<td>22.96±0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACD (mm)</td>
<td>2.89±0.29</td>
<td>2.98±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE (D)</td>
<td>0.10±0.66</td>
<td>-0.27±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAE (D)</td>
<td>0.58±0.65</td>
<td>0.53±0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL: Axis length; ACD: Anterior chamber depth; PRE: Predicted refractive error; MAE: Mean absolute prediction error.

Student’s t-tests were used to compare the differences between PRE and ARE in the two groups. The threshold for statistical significance was set at P<0.05. Data were expressed as means and standard deviation (SD).
the ARC group did not. Meanwhile, according to the trend analysis of the postoperative refractive state, the proportion of postoperative myopic shift was 67.9% in the IMH group and 27.3% in the ARC group (P=0.004; Table 5).

DISCUSSION

IMH predominantly affects patients aged over 55y. Combined phaco-vitrectomy is cost-effective and rapidly recovers the visual acuity, and therefore, it has become the main treatment strategy for IMH[4]. During operation, the ILM peeling and air tamponade increases the closure rate of IMH[5-7]. Yu et al[8] have found that patients with minimum diameter less than 677 μm underwent air filling, the postoperative closure rate reached 97.94%, and the postoperative vision was significantly improved. Qi et al[9] and Kita et al[10] reported that the closure rate of air tamponade in small macular hole could reach 100%, and the vision was statistically improved. In this study, the macular diameter was less than 400 μm, and the postoperative closure rate reached to 100%, significantly improving the postoperative vision. Therefore, improving the accuracy of the predictive degrees of IOL in patients with IMH, and improving the refractive outcomes in patients with IMH have become more and more important.

The results of related studies on the changes of refractive outcomes after phaco-vitrectomy reported inconsistent results. Nishigaki et al[11] have reported a hyperopic shift caused by increased ACD after vitrectomy in 1996. Manvikar et al[12] reported no refractive shift after combining the surgery of ERM and IMH when compared with cataract surgery. However, more recent studies have found a myopic shift after phaco-vitrectomy[12-15]. Falkner-Radler et al[16] and Kim et al[17] compared phaco-vitrectomy of macular diseases with phaco surgery and found an approximately 0.4 D myopic shift after operation, and these conclusions are close to our results. Patel et al[18] have reported 40 patients with macular hole who received phaco-vitrectomy and C3F8 tamponade, and found an average of -0.39 D postoperative refractive error, and the greater preoperative vision led to the greater postoperative refractive error. Schweitzer and García[19] reported 0.46 D myopic shift after phaco-vitrectomy with gas filling in patients with IMH. These studies did not establish cataract surgery as a control group, but IMH patients in our study had an air filling of 0.33 D myopic shift post operation, showing statistically significant difference as compared to cataract surgery alone. Furthermore, the proportion of myopic shift after operation in the IMH group was 67.9% when compared to the control group (P=0.004). To balance a postoperative myopic shift, about 0.5 D of hyperopia was suggested to be included for preoperative IOL diopter calculation[20].

Many factors can lead to refractive error after combined surgery. The main factors were the measurement of AL, the change of ACD post operation, the tamponade in vitreous cavity and the change of refractive index after vitreous removal. The accuracy of IOL degree prediction mainly depends on the accuracy of biological parameter measurement and the accuracy of calculation formula. The methods for measuring the ocular biological parameters include contact ultrasonic biological measurement (A-ultrasound) and IOL Master. There was no significant difference in AL measurement between the two methods in cataract patients[21,22]. However,
the IOL Master as an optical biological measurement does not need to contact the patient’s cornea, and the method used is simple, fast and reproducible, and so it has become the first choice for ocular biological measurements. The central vision of the patients with macular hole remained poor. The IOL Master requires good fixation for optical biological measurement. Whether the measurement of eye axis and other indicators were affected, this study suggested that in patients with macular hole, the measured values of eye axis and ACD by IOL Master were close to those by A-scan, and the difference was not statistically significant. With the same SRK/T formula, there was no significant difference in the error of postoperative refractive state. This indicated that the biological measurement of IOL Master in patients with macular hole was unaffected by poor central vision and defects in the central structure of the macula. Some studies have shown that the increase in the AL after combined surgery led to postoperative myopic shift. The study and control groups in this used IOL Master to examine that there was no significant difference in the changes of AL before and after surgery ($P=0.454$). This might be related to the fact that the patients with macular hole in this study had better visual acuity and higher accuracy of eye axis measurement before surgery. For patients with IMH undergoing combined surgery, inert gas and disinfectant air are usually used for tamponade. Current studies have suggested that myopic shift occurs in refractive state after combined surgery with inert gas tamponade$^{[23]}$. The reason for this might be due to that the intraocular gas has high surface tension and buoyancy, which can push the IOL forward and reduce the ACD, thereby resulting in a myopic shift$^{[15]}$. In contrast, some studies showed that the position of IOL after combined surgery with intraocular inert gas tamponade remain more backward$^{[16]}$ resulting in increased ACD and hyperopic shift. The reason for this might be that the inert gas tamponade in the eye for a long time causes weakening of the elasticity of suspensory ligament of lens$^{[13,16]}$. Compared with patients without inert gas tamponade, ACD deepening could reduce the myopic shift of refractive error (-0.52 D vs -0.2 D, $P<0.05$)$^{[12,16]}$. This study showed that ACD of the study group was much deeper after surgery when compared to the control group, and the ACD after surgery showed no difference when compared with that before surgery. The reason for this might be that the retention time of disinfectant air in the eye was short, which led to little effect on IOL and anterior chamber. Cataract surgery is a minimally invasive one, and the incision of the sclera for combined surgery is only 0.5 mm, and no suture is needed, reducing the astigmatism caused by the surgery. At present, studies on the effect of postoperative astigmatism on the shift of postoperative refractive state in patients with macular hole are unavailable. There is a slight difference in the refractive index between the vitreous body (1.3346) and aqueous humor (1.3336). During combined surgery, the vitreous body is replaced by aqueous fluid, and the difference in refractive index between the two changes the refractive index of the eye$^{[24]}$, resulting in myopic shift$^{[15]}$. This in turn causes myopic shift of 0.13-0.5 D$^{[15,23,25]}$. However, a study on the refractive state after cataract surgery in patients undergoing trans pars plana vitrectomy (TPPV) and non-TPPV in 2009 showed no significant difference between the predicted refractive value before cataract surgery after TPPV and the actual one after surgery$^{[26]}$. It has also been reported that the myopic shift is the same for patients in the cataract surgery group after TPPV and the TPPV combined cataract surgery group (-0.3 D)$^{[27]}$. Vitrectomy does not cause any myopic shift. This study did not find related factors that significantly affected the change in the postoperative refractive state. Considering that the calculation of lens degree remained accurate in the normal eye axis for biological measurement and SRK/T formula in macular hole patients, air had little effect on ACD after surgery, and the postoperative myopic shift of -0.33 D might be related to the removal of vitreous body.

While focusing on the rate of the hole closure, attention to visual quality is also needed as cataract surgeries. This study found that the ARE after IMH surgery was shifted by -0.33±0.81 D when compared with PRE. After excluding the effects of the measurement of eye axis and ACD, the removal of vitreous body that caused changes in the refractive index should be mainly considered, which led to the change in the refractive state. The IOL degree calculated before surgery was under corrected by about 0.3 D. After surgery, the patient’s vision was closer to the BCVA to obtain better visual quality. However, with the relatively small number of study cases and the retrospective nature of the study, it is still necessary to expand the sample size and design a prospective study to further confirm the changes in the trend and risk factors of postoperative refractive state in IMH patients undergoing combined surgery.
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