Basic Research

A novel mutation in *FBN1* gene in autosomal dominant Marfan syndrome and macular degeneration in a Chinese consanguineous family

Ping-Bo Ouyang^{1,2}, Yuan Zhao³, Ying-Qian Peng^{1,2}, Lu-Si Zhang^{1,2}, Jian Cao^{1,2}, Yun Li^{1,2}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha 410011, Hunan Province, China

²Hunan Clinical Research Center of Ophthalmic Disease, Changsha 410011, Hunan Province, China

³Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha 410011, Hunan Province, China

Correspondence to: Yun Li. Department of Ophthalmology, the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, 139 Middle Renmin Road, Changsha 410011, Hunan Province, China. yun.li@csu.edu.cn

Received: 2018-06-10 Accepted: 2018-12-17

Abstract

• AIM: To report a novel mutation in *FBN1* gene in a Chinese consanguineous family with common Marfan syndrome (MFS) phenotype and an unusual bilateral macular degeneration.

• METHODS: Ophthalmic, cardiovascular and systemic examinations were performed, and genomic DNA extracted from all living family members. The 24-32 exon mutations of *FBN1* gene were screened by Sanger Sequencing in all family members and 100 unrelated healthy Chinese individuals.

RESULTS: In the four-generation family, classic MFS phenotypes were observed in all 5 patients, 2 of them had peculiar phenotype of bilateral macular degeneration. Mutation screening in *FBN1* identified a heterozygous missense mutation (c.3932A>G, p.Y1311C) with co-segregation. This mutation was found with the MFS phenotypes in all 5 patients but not in unaffected members or unrelated controls.
 CONCLUSION: A Chinese consanguineous MFS family with uncommon bilateral macular degeneration and an unreported c.3932A>G mutation in *FBN1* was identified. Our finding expands the *FBN1* mutation spectrum and its

possible role in the pathogenesis of Marfan syndrome.

• **KEYWORDS:** Marfan syndrome; fibrillin-1; autosomal dominant; heterozygous mutation

DOI:10.18240/ijo.2019.05.05

Citation: Ouyang PB, Zhao Y, Peng YQ, Zhang LS, Cao J, Li Y. A novel mutation in *FBN1* gene in autosomal dominant Marfan syndrome and macular degeneration in a Chinese consanguineous family. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2019;12(5):725-730

INTRODUCTION

M arfan syndrome (MFS; MIM #154700), incidence 1/5000-1/10 000, is an autosomal dominant disorder in which fibrous connective tissue all over the body can be affected^[1-2]. Mostly presented manifestations are in cardiovascular, skeletal, ocular, pulmonary and nervous systems. Ocular signs include ectopia lentis, high myopia, peripheral retinal degeneration and rhegmatogenous detachment, $etc^{[3-5]}$. Diagnosis of MFS is based on both clinical criteria and genetic test^[6-7]. For example, a major clinical manifestation plus an *FBN1* mutation is enough to confirm the diagnosis^[8].

FBN1 (location: 15q-21, 65 exons) is the major causative gene accounting for 90% of MFS cases^[9-10]. *FBN1* codifies fibrillin-1, a 350-kDa glycoprotein macromolecule that polymerizes to form microfibrils, which serves mechanical support in connective tissue throughout the body^[11-12]. It also regulates microfibril assemble and stability^[12]. Therefore, *FBN1* mutations leads to fibrillin-1 disruption, microfibril malformation, and eventually the attenuation of connective tissues^[13-14].

Most *FBN1* mutations are unique for specific MFS families, while 15% of them recur among different pedigrees^[11]. We report a missense mutation in exon 32 of *FBN1* (c.3932A>G), resulting in a tyrosine to cysteine change at codon 1443 (p.Y1311C). This mutation was identified in a 4-generation Chinese family with typical MFS signs together with a peculiar macular degeneration. To the best of our knowledge, neither the mutation nor this macular lesion has been reported in MFS before.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval This study was approved by the Ethics Committee on Clinical Investigations of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, and carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki for Human Subjects. All participants were given informed consent, and then underwent ophthalmologic, cardiovascular and systemic physical examinations.

	Ocular symptoms			Skeletal abnormalities					Cardiovascular abnormalities		
Patients	Lens dislocation	High myopia	Central retinal degeneration	Joint laxity	Dolichostenomelia	Arachnodactyly	Pectus excavatum orpectus carinatum	Scoliosis	Aortic aneurysm	Tricuspid and mitral valve insufficientcy	Tricuspid or aortic valve regurgitation
II:5	+	+	-	±	±	±	-	-	-	+	+
II:7	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
III:4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
III:5	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
III:9	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+

Table 1 Clinic features of the patients in the pedigree

Patients and the Clinical Data Diagnosis of MFS were confirmed according to the revised Ghent criteria^[4]. Clinical data were collected from 14 living family members (five patients: II:5, II:7, III:4, III:5, III:9; nine unaffected family members: II:1, II:6, II:8, III:1, III:3, III:10, III:11, IV:1 and IV:2) in the pedigree, all family members received systemic review and ophthalmic examination for MFS-relevant abnormalities, including physical examination, chest X-ray, cardiac ultrasonography, best corrected visual acuity (BCVA), slit lamp examination and indirect ophthalmoscopy. Photography of the anterior segment and fundoscopy were recorded if any abnormality presented. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) images (Topcon 3D 2000, Japan) centered on the fovea showed structural changes.

DNA Sample Collection and Sanger Sequencing of *FBN1* **Gene** Genomic DNA extraction were performed using peripheral blood lymphocytes following the standard phenolchloroform method. DNA samples of patients were used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of exon 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, and 32. DNA samples of other family members and 100 normal controls were amplified on exon 32. Primer sequences as follow:

FBN1-24-F: 5'-GGGCTCGTTCTGGTTGCTA-3', *FBN1*-24-R: 5'-TGCTGCTTACTATTTGAAAGACTGT-3', *FBN1*-25-F: 5'-TTATTAGGCAAGGATACTTACCC-3', *FBN1*-25-R: 5'-GGACTTCTTGGACCAAACAGT-3', *FBN1*-26-27F: 5'-AAGGCTAGAAATGTTTACAAAGTCA-3', *FBN1*-26-27R: 5'-TCCCTCATTCTTTCTACCTCAGT-3', *FBN1*-28-29-F: 5'-GCATTTTGGTTTTAGTCTGAT-3', *FBN1*-28-29-R: 5'-CAGGGAAACAAGAAAGATAAG-3', *FBN1*-30-F: 5'-ATCCCACCATGAGGGTAGAG-3', *FBN1*-30-R: 5'-TTTACCAAGGCAATTTGAACTTC-3', *FBN1*-31-F: 5'-TTTACCAAGGAAAGGAGGGT-3', *FBN1*-31-R: 5'-AGTCAAAGCAGAAAGGAGGGT-3', *FBN1*-31-R: 5'-AGTCAAAGCAGAAGGAGGGT-3', *FBN1*-32-F: 5'-ATGAAAGCCAGTCTGAATAATG-3', *FBN1*-32-R: 5'-TTCAAAGAAGTGGAAGCTAAAT-3'.

PCR was performed in a 50 μ L reaction mixture containing 5 μ L Pfu DNA Polymerase (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China, SC0014), 5 μ L 10×PCR Buffer without Mg²⁺, 20 pmol of each primer, 100 ng of genomic DNA, 5 μ L MgCl₂

(25 mmol/L), 1 μL 10 mmol/L dNTP. The amplification conditions consisted of denaturation at 95 °C for 3min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30s, annealing at 55 °C-60 °C for 35s, and extension at 72 °C for 40-50s. A final extension was stranded at 72 °C for 7min. The PCR products were then purified by DNA purification kit (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China, SK1141). Sanger sequencing was performed on Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzers (Hudson, New Hampshire, USA). Sequencing results were analyzed by DNASTAR Lasergene software (Madison, Wisconsin, USA).

Mutant Analysis Analysis of the conservatism of nonsynonymous variant was performed by multiple sequence alignment tools Clustal Omega (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/). Software SIFT (http://sift.jcvi.org/www/SIFT_enst_submit.html) were used to perform functional prediction, PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/), and Mutation Taster (http://www.mutationtaster.org/) to score nonsynonymous variant.

RESULTS

Clinical Findings The proband is from a 4-generation consanguineous Chinese family consisting of 13 men and 8 women (pedigree shown in Figure 1A). Of 5 individuals in this pedigree were confirmed with the diagnosis MFS, clinical features were shown in Table 1. The median onset age of the patients was 29y, ranging from 22 to 62y. All 5 patients had typical ocular, skeletal and cardiovascular manifestations. Common MFS skeletal abnormalities like dolichostenomelia, pectus excavatum/carinatum, and scoliosis, joint laxity and arachnodactyly were observed in all affected family members. Cardiovascular findings including aortic aneurysm, tricuspid/ mitral valve insufficiency, tricuspid/aortic valve regurgitation, mitral valve prolapse were noted in patient III:5 and III:9, both received Bentall surgery. Shared ophthalmic findings include high myopia, bilateral lens dislocation, and peripheral retinal degeneration. The proband III:4 presents with an acute angleclosure glaucoma secondary to anteriorly dislocated lens after mild trauma in the left eye (Figure 1B-1D). She had lensectomy and scleral fixated intraocular lens (SF-IOL) implantation in both eyes. Her visual acuity is 0.4 for her better eye, and 0.2 for worse eye. Moreover, the proband and her brother (III:5)



Figure 1 Pedigree and phenotypes of the MFS family A: Pedigree of the family; B: Ectopia lentis in the proband; C: Arachnodactyly and the laxity of the joints; D: Scoliosis shown on chest X-ray; E-L: Unusual bilateral macular degeneration of the proband and her brother; E, F: Fundus photography showed symmetrical macular degeneration of right eye (E) and left (F) of the proband; G, H: OCT scan through the lesion showed marked thinning of the outer retinal layers (attenuation and disruption of the photoreceptor and retinal pigment epithelium layer). Also note a subretinal accumulation of hyperreflective material under the fovea. Subfoveal choroid vessels seems to have enlarged lumen in proband's left eye (H). Outside this area, retinal structures appear intact; I-L: Similar changes were found in both eyes of the proband's brother (III:5); I, J: Fundus photography showed symmetrical macular degeneration of both eye and similar to the proband; K, L: OCT showed similar but milder thinning of the outer retinal layers, disruption of the photoreceptor and accumulation of hyperreflective material between the neurosensory retina and RPE in the fovea area.

present an unusual bilateral macular degeneration appearance in both eyes (Figure 1E-1L). Patient II:3 deceased of dissecting aneurysm at the age of 30, his off-springs III:6, III:7, and III:8 all died in utero or at very early age with "reasons unidentified".

Genetic Analysis Identified *FBN1* **Heterozygous Missense Mutation** DNA sample of our proband III:4 were performed PCR and Sanger sequencing to find out mutations in exons 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, and 32, for mutants in these exons are often associated with early onset, prominent MFS phenotypes. Genetic analysis detected a heterozygous missense mutation c.3932A>G (hg37, NM_000138) in our proband, which lead to a mutant protein p.Y1311C. The mutation has not been reported in dbSNP database (https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/snp) and 1000 Genome (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/variation/tools/1000genomes/). We performed Sanger sequencing to confirm the co-segregation status in all patients and normal relatives in the family. We found the mutation was consistent with the phenotypes in the family. We assessed this variant in 100 normal controls furtherly and found no mutation at this locus (Figure 2A).



Figure 2 Identification of the c.3932A>G mutation in *FBN1* on exon 34 A: Presentative sequencing results of the mutation in all affected members and the corresponding normal sequence in the unaffected family members; B: Evolutionary conservation analysis for p.Y1311C residue. Asterisk: Complete identical amino acid; Codon: Highly conserved amino acid; Dot: Moderate conserved amino acid. Red line marked mutated amino acid residues.

Mutation Analysis of p.Y1311C To explore possible impact of the missense mutation on *FBN1* protein function, we performed the conservation and function prediction through Clustal Omega, MutatinTaster, Polyphen-2 and SIFT on our mutant. Clustal Omega result predicted asterisks in the amino acid position 1311 (Figure 2B), which indicated highly conserved amino acid on this site. As shown in Table 2, MutationTaster predicted that p.Y1311C was disease causing nucleotide substitution. Both Polyphen-2 and SIFT results demonstrated that the mutation was very likely a damaging nucleotide variation. Conservative functional analysis tools predicted that p.Y1311C mutation probably affected the structure and function of human fibrillin-1 protein.

DISCUSSION

Over 3000 *FBN1* mutations have been reported in the Universal Marfan Mutation Database (UMD-*FBN1*; http://www.umd. be/*FBN1/*), in which 60.3% were missense mutations^[15]. In this study we have identified a novel *FBN1* heterozygous missense mutation (c.3932A>G, p.Y1311C) in our pedigree. This mutation causes tyrosine to cysteine substitution at the 1311th amino acid, which could results in abnormality of fibrillin-1 in the heterozygous affected individuals. Fibrillin-1 molecular structure comprises of 47 epidermal growth factor-like (EGF) domains and seven transforming growth factor- β 1 binding protein-like (TB) domains, most mutations of *FBN1*

 Int J Ophthalmol,
 Vol. 12,
 No. 5,
 May 18,
 2019
 www.ijo.cn

 Tel:
 8629-82245172
 8629-82210956
 Email:
 ijopress@163.com

Table 2 Conservative and functional analysis on c	e.3932A>G (p.Y1311C) missense mutation in <i>FBN1</i>

Mutant	Exon No.	MutationTaster	PolyPhen-2	SIFT				
c.3932A>G	32	Disease causing	0.999, P	0.000, D				

P: Probably damaging; D: Damaging.

in the EGF domains^[16] which could disrupt formation of microfibril, the vital component of extracellular matrix (ECM) and collagen universally expressed in connective tissues in major vessels, cartilages, tendons, corneas, zonules, $etc^{[17-18]}$. Fibrillin-1 also functions as an important regulator of TGF β pathway through forming complex with TGF β , latent TGF β binding protein (LTBPs), and microfibrils^[19-21]. Since our p.Y1311C mutation was predicted to disrupt the biological function or structure of *FBN1* protein, it is very likely to disrupt microfibril formation and subsequently cause MFS.

Individuals in this pedigree with heterozygous mutation have MFS phenotypes, which is coincident with other study that missense mutation often cause ectopia lentis^[4,6]. Moreover, our pedigree showed a very unusual phenotype of symmetrical bilateral macular degeneration in addition to common phenotype like ectopia lentis, arachnodactyly and scoliosis. Fundus photography showed symmetrical bilateral macular lesions in the proband and her living brother, OCT further revealed an uncommon lesion for MFS in the outer retinal layers: thinning of outer nuclear layer, disruption of external limiting membrane and photoreceptor layers, and accumulation of hyper-reflective material between the neurosensory retina and RPE under the fovea. These changes all imply degenerated photoreceptors in the foveal area, hyperreflective material may correspond to clumping of abnormal photoreceptor outer segments material. As far as we are concerned, this feature has never been reported in Marfan syndrome. Our hypothesis is the microfibrils in photoreceptor-RPE interdigitation and Bruch's membrane is also affected in this mutation, so the RPE-Bruch's membrane-choriocapillaris complex is unhealthy and degenerates with time.

In our study, a novel mutation of *FBN1* c.3932A>G in exon 32 was identified in a Chinese consanguineous MFS family with an unusual phenotype of macular degeneration. The result not only expands our knowledge of *FBN1* mutations, but also is helpful in definite diagnosis of uncertain MFS cases. The genotype-phenotype relationship of the new mutation and its unusual sign of bilateral macular degeneration needs to be identified by further study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to all the patients, their unaffected family members, and the healthy volunteers for their participation. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. **Authors' contributions:** Li Y and Zhao Y analyzed and interpreted the patient data regarding the Marfan syndrome. Ouyang PB, Cao J and Zhao Y performed the patients and the clinical data. Zhang LS performed the genetic analysis. Peng YQ and Cao J was a major contributor in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. **Foundations:** Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.81300798); Project supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province, China (No.2018JJ3737); Department of Science and Technology, Hunan (No.2015TP2007).

Conflicts of Interest: Ouyang PB, None; Zhao Y, None; Peng YQ, None; Zhang LS, None; Cao J, None; Li Y, None. REFERENCES

1 Judge DP, Dietz HC. Marfan's syndrome. *Lancet* 2005;366(9501): 1965-1976.

2 Dietz H: Marfan syndrome. 2017.In: M Adam, HH Ardinger, RA Pagon, SE Wallace, LJH Bean, K Stephens, A Amemiya editors. GeneReviews.2017. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1335/.

3 Maumenee IH. The eye in the Marfan syndrome. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 1981;79:684-733.

4 Loeys BL, Dietz HC, Braverman AC, Callewaert BL, de Backer J, Devereux RB, Hilhorst-Hofstee Y, Jondeau G, Faivre L, Milewicz DM, Pyeritz RE, Sponseller PD, Wordsworth P, De Paepe AM. The revised Ghent nosology for the Marfan syndrome. *J Med Genet* 2010;47(7):476-485.

5 Dean JC, Loeys B. Marfan syndrome and related disorders. In: Cardiovascular Genetics and Genomics. Springer 2018:pp589-615.

6 Zhurayev R, Proost D, Zerbino D, Fedorenko V, Meester JAN, van Laer L, Loeys BL. Identification of FBN1 gene mutations in Ukrainian Marfan syndrome patients. *Genet Res* 2016;98:e13.

7 Groth KA, Hove H, Kyhl K, Folkestad L, Gaustadnes M, Vejlstrup N, Stochholm K, Østergaard JR, Andersen NH, Gravholt CH. Prevalence, incidence, and age at diagnosis in Marfan Syndrome. *Orphanet J Rare Dis* 2015;10:153.

8 Pepe G, Giusti B, Sticchi E, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Nistri S. Marfan syndrome: current perspectives. *Appl Clin Genet* 2016;9:55-65.

9 Ramirez F, Dietz HC. Marfan syndrome: from molecular pathogenesis to clinical treatment. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2007;17(3):252-258.

10 Baetens M, van Laer L, de Leeneer K, Hellemans J, de Schrijver J, van de Voorde H, Renard M, Dietz H, Lacro RV, Menten B, van Criekinge W, de Backer J, de Paepe A, Loeys B, Coucke PJ. Applying massive parallel sequencing to molecular diagnosis of Marfan and Loeys-Dietz syndromes. *Hum Mutat* 2011;32(9):1053-1062.

11 Loeys B, De Backer J, Van Acker P, Wettinck K, Pals G, Nuytinck L, Coucke P, De Paepe A. Comprehensive molecular screening of the FBN1 gene favors locus homogeneity of classical Marfan syndrome. *Hum Mutat* 2004;24(2):140-146.

12 Abdelrahim M, Khalid KE, Faris ME, *et al.* Review of FBN1 gene role in Marfan syndrome presentations insilico analysis. *American Journal of Biomedical Research* 2016;4(1):5-12.

13 Zeyer KA, Reinhardt DP. Engineered mutations in fibrillin-1 leading to Marfan syndrome act at the protein, cellular and organismal levels. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res* 2015;765:7-18.

14 Aragon-Martin JA, Child AH. Marfan Syndrome (MFS): Inherited Microfibrillar Disorder Caused by Mutations in the Fibrillin-1 Gene. In: Diagnosis and Management of Marfan Syndrome. *Springer* 2016:pp233-243.

15 Wang F, Li B, Lan L, Li L. C596G mutation in FBN1 causes Marfan syndrome with exotropia in a Chinese family. *Mol Vis* 2015;21:194-200.

16 Xiao Y, Liu X, Guo X, Liu L, Jiang L, Wang Q, Gong B. A novel FBN1 mutation causes autosomal dominant Marfan syndrome. *Mol Med Rep* 2017;16(5):7321-7328.

17 Hubmacher D, Tiedemann K, Reinhardt DP. Fibrillins: from biogenesis of microfibrils to signaling functions. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 2006;75:93-123.

Kielty CM, Sherratt MJ, Marson A, Baldock C. Fibrillin microfibrils.
 In: Advances in protein chemistry. Vol 70. *Elsevier* 2005:pp405-436.

19 Ramirez F, Sakai LY. Biogenesis and function of fibrillin assemblies. *Cell Tissue Res* 2010;339(1):71-82.

20 Benke K, Ágg B, Szilveszter B, Tarr F, Nagy ZB, Pólos M, Daróczi L, Merkely B, Szabolcs Z. The role of transforming growth factor-beta in Marfan syndrome. *Cardiol J* 2013;20(3):227-234.

21 Todorovic V, Jurukovski V, Chen Y, Fontana L, Dabovic B, Rifkin DB. Latent TGF-β binding proteins. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2005;37(1):38-41.