• Clinical Research •

Objective visual quality one year after toric IOL implantation for correction of moderate and high corneal astigmatism

Hui Zhong, Hong Qin, Hui-Juan Wang, Zhao-Yi Wang

Eye Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing 100040, China

Correspondence to: Hong Qin. Eye Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing 100040, China. drqinhong66@sina.com

Received: 2020-03-24 Accepted: 2020-07-21

Abstract

- **AIM:** To compare the objective visual quality after implantation of a toric intraocular lens (IOL) in order to correct moderate or high corneal astigmatism at the one year postoperative follow-up.
- **METHODS:** From December 2017 to June 2018, 66 patients (90 eyes) with simple age-related cataract with regular corneal astigmatism greater than 1.5 D were enrolled in this prospective self-control study. The patients were implanted with Proming® toric IOL (model: AT3BH-AT6BH). The subjects were divided into moderate astigmatism group (46 eyes, 1.5-2.5 D) and high astigmatism group (44 eyes, >2.5 D). The uncorrected distance visual acuity, residual astigmatism and axial position of IOL were observed before operation, 3, 6mo and 1y after operation. Modulation transfer function cutoff (MTF cutoff), Strehl ratio (SR), object scatter index (OSI) were observed by OQAS II to evaluate the objective visual quality of patients.
- **RESULTS:** There was no significant difference in UCVA, residual astigmatism, axial deviation, MTF cutoff, SR and OSI between moderate and high astigmatism group (all *P*>0.05). After 3mo, UCVA, MTF cutoff and SR were significantly increased (all *P*<0.05), residual astigmatism and OSI were significantly decreased (all *P*<0.05). After 3mo, all the indexes remained stable.
- **CONCLUSION:** Proming toric IOL can effectively treat age-related cataract patients with moderate-to-high regular corneal astigmatism, correcting corneal astigmatism, improving UCVA, ensuring long-term stability in the capsule, and providing patients with better visual quality.
- **KEYWORDS:** cataract; Toric IOL; astigmatism; visual quality; stability

DOI:10.18240/ijo.2021.02.10

Citation: Zhong H, Qin H, Wang HJ, Wang ZY. Objective visual quality one year after toric IOL implantation for correction of moderate and high corneal astigmatism. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2021;14(2):245-249

INTRODUCTION

orneal astigmatism is common in age-related cataract patients. According to the epidemiological investigation in China, 18.8%-25.4% of patients had corneal astigmatism over 1.5 D before cataract surgery^[1-2], which is close to 15%-29% of cataract patients with corneal astigmatism of more than 1.50 D according to the American Preferred Practice Pattern (PPP)^[3]. Astigmatism not only affects the quality of life, but also brings great psychological burden to patients^[4]. Corneal astigmatism leads to blurred vision and ambiopa, which reduces both the visual quality and quality of life of patients after cataract surgery. Therefore, the correction of corneal astigmatism in cataract surgery is very important to improve the uncorrected visual acuity. The invention, development and popularization of toric intraocular lens (IOL) provides a new solution for patients with large corneal astigmatism. As the first domestic toric IOL, the clinical reports of Proming® toric IOL are few. Song et al^[5] found that the efficacy and safety of Proming toric IOL 1y after operation were equivalent to AcrySof IQ toric IOL, and the contrast sensitivity of bright light, dark light, bright glare and dark glare were better. Wu et al^[6] observed for 3mo the patients with moderate and low astigmatism implanted with Proming toric IOL, and found that postoperative visual acuity was significantly improved, residual astigmatism was significantly reduced, and the position stability of IOL was good. The aim of this study was to observe the long-term efficacy of toric IOL in the correction of moderate and high astigmatism, and to objectively measure the postoperative visual quality with OQAS II.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval The study follows the Declaration of Helsinki (2008) and all patients signed informed consent.

Research Subjects From December 2017 to June 2018, 70 patients (94 eyes) with simple age-related cataract with regular corneal astigmatism (1.50-3.56 D) were collected in Eye Hospital of China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences and implanted with proming toricIOL. Totally 66 patients (90 eyes)

Table 1 UCVA at different follow-up time

Group	Preoperative	3mo after operation	6mo after operation	1y after operation	
Moderate astigmatism group	0.26 ± 0.16	0.73 ± 0.22	0.76 ± 0.20	0.76 ± 0.19	
High astigmatism group	0.19 ± 0.13	0.63 ± 0.22	0.68 ± 0.17	0.67 ± 0.17	
U	777.50	840.50	888.00	813.50	
P	0.06	0.15	0.30	0.19	

UCVA: Uncorrected visual acuity.

finished the 1 year follow-up, including 35 males (47 eyes) and 31 females (43 eyes), with an average age of 72.37±1.86 (48-88)y. They had no history of intraocular active inflammation, corneal disease, pupil adhesion, atresia, fundus lesions, intraocular surgery history, *etc.* According to the preoperative corneal astigmatism, the 66 subjects (90 eyes) were divided into moderate astigmatism group (46 eyes, 1.5-2.5 D) and high astigmatism group (44 eyes, >2.5 D).

Research Methods

Preoperative examination Uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) was measured with standard logarithmic visual acuity chart, optometry with computer optometry, intraocular pressure with non-contact tonometer. Fundus color photography, OCT, corneal topography, IOL master and OQAS II were examined. Required IOL model and implant axis were calculated through the online calculator (http://www.promingtoric.com/).

Mark the axial position of IOL implantation Totally 30min before operation, the patient sat and looked ahead. The doctor used the thinnest light band of slit lamp to pass through the patient's center of pupil, and made horizontal reference mark, lens target implantation axis and incision mark with marker pen.

Operation method All patients underwent phacoemulsification combined with IOL implantation by the same skilled chief physician. After topical anesthesia, a 3.0 mm main incision and a side incision in the direction of 15° were made on the corneoscleral edge of the operative eye according to the marked position. Sufficient viscoelastic agent was injected into the anterior chamber. The capsulorhexis was done clockwise for 5.5 mm. After phacoemulsification, the lens nucleus and the cortex were removed. Then the viscoelastic agent was injected again. After implantation of toric IOL (model: AT3BH to AT6BH), the axial position was adjusted, and the viscoelastic agent was removed. Seal the cut with water. After the operation, tobramycin dexamethasone ointment and gauze were applied to the eyes.

Postoperative examination and follow-up At 3, 6mo, and 1y after the operation, the UCVA was examined, which were expressed as fractional visual acuity; computer automatic optometry was performed; IOL axis was observed under slit lamp under paralyzed ciliary muscles; visual quality [modulation transfer function cutoff (MTF cutoff), Strehl ratio (SR), object scatter index (OSI)] was evaluated by OQAS II.

Statistical Analysis Statistical tests were prospectively identified in a statistical analysis plan. A sample size of 64 evaluable eyes provided over 80% power for the primary effectiveness end point (assuming the percentage of UCVA not less than 20/40 of 94.00% and a performance goal of 81.00%), using exact estimation method with a one-sided significance level of 0.025 and considering 20% drop rate. SPSS 25.0 (USA) was used to analyze the data. Before statistical analysis, whether the data obey normal distribution is tested. The binocular data were analyzed and the correlation was corrected^[7]. The differences of UCVA, BCVA, residual astigmatism, axial deflection, MTF, SR, OSI at different time points were analyzed by generalized estimation equation (GEE), and the differences between postoperative residual astigmatism and preoperative corneal astigmatism were also analyzed. P<0.05 was significant difference.

RESULTS

Uncorrected Visual Acuity Compared with that before operation, UCVA and BCVA increased significantly 3 months after operation (P<0.05), and remained stable after 3 months. One year after operation, 22 eyes (36.67%) had UCVA \geq 0.8, 50 eyes (83.33%) \geq 0.6 and 87 eyes (96.67%) \geq 0.5. The lower limit of 95% confidence interval (96.60%) of the percentage reaching 20/40 1y after operation was higher than 81.00% of the target value. There was no significant difference between the two groups at each follow-up time point, as shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Astigmatism Compared with preoperative corneal astigmatism, the residual astigmatism decreased significantly 3mo after operation (P<0.05), and remained stable after 3mo. Although there was significant difference in preoperative corneal astigmatism between moderate astigmatism group and high astigmatism group, there was no statistically significant difference in the residual astigmatism at each follow-up time point after operation, as shown in Table 3.

Axial Deflection One patient complained about blurred vision and dizziness. After mydriasis, the patient was found to have a 13° IOL deflection in right eye and a 21° IOL deflection in left eye. The IOL position was stable and the UCVA of the patient was significantly improved on the 10th day after operation. One patient developed an 11° deflection one week after the operation, but the patient did not complain

Table 2 BCVA at different follow-up time

Group	Preoperative	3mo after operation	6mo after operation	1y after operation
Moderate astigmatism group	0.27 ± 0.17	0.81 ± 0.23	0.77 ± 0.22	0.81 ± 0.21
High astigmatism group	0.24 ± 0.16	0.68 ± 0.26	0.71 ± 0.23	0.68 ± 0.22
U	266.50	216.50	263.50	212.50
P	0.55	0.12	0.50	0.10

BCVA: Best corrected visual acuity.

Table 3 Corneal astigmatism before operation and residual astigmatism after operation (D)

Group	Corneal astigmatism	Residual astigmatism			
	Preoperative	3mo after operation	6mo after operation	1y after operation	
Moderate astigmatism group	1.85 ± 0.48	0.60 ± 0.47	0.68 ± 0.40	0.62 ± 0.47	
High astigmatism group	2.90 ± 0.57	0.61 ± 0.41	0.60 ± 0.40	0.65 ± 0.42	
U	2024	869.00	878.00	932.50	
P	< 0.01	0.26	0.28	0.52	

of obvious discomfort due to clear vision and did not receive IOL repositioning. There was no significant difference in axial deflection between moderate astigmatism group and high astigmatism group at each follow-up time point, as shown in Table 4.

Visual Quality Compared with that before operation, the MTF cutoff and SR values were significantly increased (P<0.05). OSI values were significantly decreased (P<0.05), and remained stable after 3mo, and there was no significant difference between the two groups (Table 5).

Complications No complications occurred during the operation. One eye had mild corneal edema and 2 eyes had anterior chamber flash under slit lamp. Tobramycin and diclofenac sodium eye drops were given routinely for anti-inflammatory, then symptoms disappeared within 1wk after operation. No postoperative endophthalmitis, high intraocular pressure, cystoid macular edema, tilt or eccentricity of IOL, capsular contraction, posterior cataract or other complications were found.

DISCUSSION

In this study, the UCVA of age-related cataract patients with moderate to high astigmatism was significantly improved after implantation of Proming toric IOL and the visual acuity correction was stable. The UCVA 1y after operation was 0.74±0.19 in our study, which was close to 0.76±0.14 of AcrySof IQ toric SN6AT IOL in 39 cases (39 eyes) reported by Lan et $al^{[8]}$. The corneal astigmatism had no significant change before and after operation, but the astigmatism of the whole eye decreased significantly after the operation, which indicated that the toric IOL played an important role in astigmatism correction. The mean residual astigmatism of Proming toric IOL was 0.61±0.44 D 3-month after operation, which was close to 0.50±0.30 D of AcrySof IQ toric SN6AT IOL in 44 cases (50 eyes) reported by Xiao et al^[9]. In our study, there was no significant difference in postoperative visual acuity and residual astigmatism between moderate astigmatism group

Table 4 IOL axis deflection after operation (°)

Group	3mo after operation	6mo after operation	1y after operation	
Moderate astigmatism group	4.55 ± 2.34	4.46±2.07	4.42±2.19	
high astigmatism group	4.62 ± 2.30	4.60 ± 2.33	4.60 ± 2.35	
U	914.00	932.50	970.00	
P	0.41	0.49	0.731	

Table 5 Objective visual quality at different follow-up time

Follow-up time	Moderate astigmatism group	High astigmatism group	U	P
OSI				
Preoperative	5.53 ± 2.30	5.77 ± 2.48	918.50	0.45
3mo after operation	1.95 ± 0.72	2.03 ± 0.43	957.50	0.66
6mo after operation	2.11 ± 0.60	2.29 ± 0.61	855.00	0.20
1y after operation	2.10 ± 0.62	2.22 ± 0.60	679.50	0.28
MTF cutoff				
Preoperative	13.32 ± 5.37	12.64 ± 5.38	954.00	0.64
3mo after operation	30.34 ± 6.59	31.44 ± 3.02	871.00	0.26
6mo after operation	30.31 ± 5.45	31.26 ± 4.07	931.50	0.52
1y after operation	30.23 ± 4.59	30.86 ± 3.50	923.00	0.47
SR				
Preoperative	0.09 ± 0.03	0.07 ± 0.02	784.00	0.06
3mo after operation	0.13 ± 0.03	0.12 ± 0.03	929.50	0.51
6mo after operation	0.13 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.02	929.50	0.51
1y after operation	0.13 ± 0.02	0.12 ± 0.02	929.50	0.51

OSI: Objective scatter index; MTF cutoff: Modulation transfer function cutoff; SR: Strehl ratio.

and high astigmatism group. These results indicate that toric IOL (model: AT3BH - AT6BH) provides better postoperative visual acuity and astigmatism correction for cataract patients with regular corneal astigmatism more than 1.5 D. However, due to the limited conditions, this study did not carry out vector analysis of astigmatism, and did not conduct a separate analysis of intraocular astigmatism. More detailed examination and analysis are still needed in the follow-up study.

The rotation stability in capsular bag of toric IOL is quiet easily influenced by the rupture and shrinkage of the capsule. Shah *et al*^[10] conducted a clinical study on 168 eyes with Acrysof toric IOL implantation. It was found that the axial deviation was the

largest from 1wk to 1mo after IOL implantation, and there was no significant difference in the average axial deviation from 3mo to 6mo. After 3mo, the bag was basically stable and did not retract. At this time, the stability of IOL was high. In this study, we observed the axial deviation 3mo after operation and found that the average axial deflection of Proming toric IOL (model: AT3BH - AT6BH) was 4.60° 3mo after operation, which was close to the average axial deviation of 4.92° reported by Grohlich *et al*^[11].

Shah et al^[10] also found that the rotational stability of toric IOL is positively correlated with the axial length, which may be related to the looseness of the capsular bag of the long axial axis and the failure of intraocular lens to adhere to the capsule membrane. Miyake et al^[21] followed up 378 eyes implanted with AcrySof IO toric SN6AT for 2v, and 6 eyes had axial rotation of more than 20° within 10d after operation, all of them were long axial length greater than 25 mm. However, Gao et al[13] found that there was no significant correlation between axial length and toricIOL rotation stability, and it did not affect the prediction of rotation. In this study, the axial length of the eye whose IOL rotated 21° after operation was greater than 26 mm. It is suggested that toric IOL should be chosen more carefully or more detailed preoperative communication should be done, but whether the stability of Proming toric IOL is related to axial length should be further studied. In addition, studies have found that axial length and high myopia are negatively correlated with corneal diameter. It is suggested that toric IOL should be carefully selected for patients with corneal diameter less than 12 mm and lens power less than 14 D^[14-15].

In the clinical process of this study, it was found that the factors conducive to the success of the operation and the improvement of postoperative visual acuity included: checking IOL Master results and corneal topography repeatedly before operation to confirm the degree and axial direction, excluding the influence of ocular surface factors such as dry eye syndrome on the error of corneal astigmatism and total astigmatism, and so on. The IOL Master and corneal curvature should be re-measured after artificial tears or anti-inflammatory eye drops if necessary, to ensure the accuracy of lens measurement and axial position for IOL implantion for the patients with severe dry eye symptoms or the value of tear film OSI too high. Li et al[16] found that the visual acuity after artificial tears was better than that without artificial tears, and the residual astigmatism was smaller. In addition, the astigmatism and axial position of the posterior corneal surface are positively correlated with the anterior corneal surface. When the posterior corneal surface variation index is large, the astigmatism of the posterior corneal surface may cause axial changes of 2.6° to 7.4°, while the variation index of the posterior corneal surface is less than 0.15, the astigmatism of the posterior corneal surface is often ignored, so the astigmatism of the posterior corneal surface cannot be ignored^[17-18]. Digital navigation system assisted toric IOL implantation can achieve better astigmatism axis calibration. Luebke *et al*^[19] in a study of the effects of corneal astigmatism axis marking using the digital Callisto[®] system and manual-pendulum-based marking on toric IOL implantation, there was no significant difference between the no-touch digital Callisto[®] system and the manual-pendulum-based marking in lens position and refractive results after surgery, but the digital Callisto[®] system provided standardized and handy techniques, the utility model is more beneficial to the doctors with insufficient experience to use in the clinical practice and reduces the errors caused by subjective factors.

In addition, more accurate formulas can also improve the refractive results after toric IOL implantation. Nanavaty et al^[20] divided patients with anterior keratometric astigmatism which range 0.75 to 2.5 D into oblique (OB), with-the-rule (WTR), and anginst-the rule (ATR) groups. Toric IOL was implanted using a traditional toric IOL calculator, Barrett's formula was used to calculate the theoretical refractive astigmatism of the same eye, and the accuracy of the two formulas to predicted refractive astigmatism was compared. One year after operation, the ratio which turn to emmetropia of ATR group, WTR group and OB group was 1/2, 2/3 and 1/5, respectively. About 1/4 of the patients in WTR overcorrected, while 1/2 of the patients in ATR group still undercorrected. The achieved outcomes were not consistent with the traditional toric IOL formula, but similar to the Barrett's formula. Yang et al^[21] divided two groups of phacoemulsification combined with toric IOL implantation into Barrett's calculator group (41 eyes) and AcrySof calculator group (40 eyes), the effect and error of astigmatism were compared between the two groups. At 1mo and 3mo postoperation, the error of astigmatism correction and residual astigmatism of Barrett's calculator group were smaller than those of AcrySof calculator group with statistically significant, which showed the superiority of Barrett's formula in improving correction outcomes and the error of refractive astigmatism of toric IOL.

Nochez *et al*^[22] showed that higher visual quality could be obtained in both subjective and objective aspects when the total eye spherical aberration was $+0.07 \mu m$ to $+0.1 \mu m$. Because of the aspherical design of Proming toric IOL with the spherical aberration of $-0.2 \mu m$, the patients with higher order corneal aberrations $<0.3 \mu m$ were enrolled before operation, and the objective visual quality was evaluated postoperatively. MTF cutoff reflects the effect of optical factors on the image quality, while SR reflects the effect of aberration on the intensity of light at the center of the image, both of which are proportional to the visual quality. OSI reflects the opacity of the lens, which

is inversely proportional to the clarity of visual acuity. In this research, MTF cutoff at 3mo (30.37 ± 5.24) , 6mo (30.77 ± 4.82) , 1y (30.54 ± 4.09) postoperatively was close to the reference value of 30 cpd and the mean value of (28.52 ± 8.31) cpd for the aged 60-69y with transparent lens^[23]. At 3mo (0.12 ± 0.02) , 6mo (0.13 ± 0.02) and 1y (0.13 ± 0.03) postoperatively, SR was close to the normal value of 0.15. At 3mo (2.04 ± 0.49) , 6mo (2.18 ± 0.56) and 1y (2.19 ± 0.60) postoperatively, OSI was close to (2.031 ± 1.400) reported by Lan *et al*^[8].

To sum up, higher surgical accuracy, accurate case selection, accurate measurement of astigmatism and axial position, selection of IOL calculation formula before surgery, accurate preoperative astigmatism marker, the location and size of incision, the consistency of IOL axial position and designed axial position during surgery, and the technique of reducing rotation in capsule are all needed to improve the success rate of surgery and postoperative visual acuity. Proming toric IOL can effectively correct corneal astigmatism with excellent long-term rotation stability. The long-term visual quality of the patients can be improved and the dependence on glasses can be reduced. It is a safe, stable and effective IOL for age-related cataract patients with corneal astigmatism more than 1.5 D.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conflicts of Interest: Zhong H, None; Qin H, None; Wang HJ, None; Wang ZY, None.

REFERENCES

- 1 Chen WR, Zuo CG, Chen CY, Su JN, Luo LX, Congdon N, Liu YZ. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism before cataract surgery in Chinese patients. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2013;39(2):188-192.
- 2 Yuan XY, Song H, Peng G, Hua X, Tang X. Prevalence of corneal astigmatism in patients before cataract surgery in Northern China. J Ophthalmol 2014;2014;536412.
- 3 American Academy of Ophthalmology Cataract and Anterior Segment Panel. Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines: cataract in the adult eye. San Francisco,CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology, 2011. http://one.aao.org/preferred-practice-pattern/cataract-in-adult-eye-ppp-october-2011.
- 4 Jiménez R, Cárdenas D, González-Anera R, Jiménez JR, Vera J. Measuring mental workload: ocular astigmatism aberration as a novel objective index. *Ergonomics* 2018;61(4):506-516.
- 5 Song XD, Hao YS, Bao YZ, Li ZH, Zhang H, Yu AY, Zhao MS, Huang YS, Fang J, Liu Y, Wang NL. A multicenter study of the effectiveness and safety of Toric intraocular lens implantation. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi* 2018;54(5):349-356.
- 6 Wu JM, Wang SN, Zhao ZT, Li MQ. Clinical observation of Toric intraocular lens for correcting low and moderate corneal regular astigmatism in cataract patients. *Ophthalmology in China* 2018;27(6):433-437.
- 7 Ying GS, Liang YB. Analysis of correlated two eyes data for ophthalmic clinical research. *Chin J Optom Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2017;19(7):390-393.
- 8 Lan QQ, He WJ, Zhou Z, Xie JY, Huang LT, Li L. Long-term

- observation of visual quality after monofocal toric intraocular lens implantation. *Recent Advance in Ophthalmol* 2019;39(12):1166-1170.
- 9 Xiao XW, Tian F, Zhang H. Clinical study of optical quality after implantation of aspheric toric intraocular lens in cataract surgery. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi* 2015;51(4):263-269.
- 10 Shah GD, Praveen MR, Vasavada AR, Vasavada VA, Rampal G, Shastry LR. Rotational stability of a toric intraocular lens: influence of axial length and alignment in the capsular bag. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2012;38(1):54-59.
- 11 Grohlich M, Miháltz K, Lasta M, Weingessel B, Vécsei-Marlovits V. Evaluation of postoperative astigmatism correction and postoperative rotational stability of two toric intraocular lenses. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd* 2017;234(6):796-804.
- 12 Miyake T, Kamiya K, Amano R, Iida Y, Tsunehiro S, Shimizu K. Long-term clinical outcomes of toric intraocular lens implantation in cataract cases with preexisting astigmatism. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2014;40(10):1654-1660.
- 13 Gao YF, Sun T, Luo JH, Liu YY, Ma BK, Liu RJ, Zheng MY, Qi H. The rotational stability of Toric intraocular lenses and influencing factors in cataract patients with different axial length. *Zhonghua Yan* Ke Za Zhi 2020;56(1):41-46.
- 14 Deng ZY, Li PH. Analysis of corneal diameter in myopia operation patients. *Int Eye Sci* 2015;15(9):1572-1575.
- 15 Seitz B, Langenbucher A, Zagrada D, Budde W, Kus MM. Corneal dimensions in various types of corneal dystrophies and their effect on penetrating keratoplasty. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd 2000;217(3):152-158.
- 16 Li LJ, Yu Z, Yan X, et al. Reference of cataract intraocular lens selection in dry eye patients. J Mod Med&Health 2017;33(12):1772-1774.
- 17 Guo CR, Zhang B, Ma JX, Cui YX, Zhang S, Shi JF. Affection of posterior corneal astigmatism on calculation toric intraocular lens. *J Hebei Medical University* 2013;34(6):661-663.
- 18 Zhang DN, Zhang H, Tlian F, Zhang L. Effects of posterior corneal astigmatism on total corneal astigmatism in age-related cataract. *Recent Adv Ophthalmol* 2015;35(7):652-655.
- 19 Luebke J, Boehringer D, Maier P, Reinhard T, Eberwein P. Toric IOL positioning with a no-touch head-up display axis alignment. *Int Ophthalmol* 2020;40(3):617-626.
- 20 Nanavaty MA, Teeluck K, Bardan AS, Bedi KK, Ali S. Residual refractive astigmatism following toric intraocular lens implantation without consideration of posterior corneal astigmatism during cataract surgery with low anterior keratometric astigmatism upto 2.5 dioptres. Curr Eye Res 2019;44(12):1399-1406.
- 21 Yang XT, Zhang H, Liang JL, Xing XL, Li YT. The influence of two calculation methods on the outcomes of Toric intraocular lenses. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi* 2019;55(3):208-213.
- 22 Nochez Y, Majzoub S, Pisella PJ. Effect of residual ocular spherical aberration on objective and subjective quality of vision in pseudophakic eyes. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2011;37(6):1076-1081.
- 23 Yu A, Shi E, Wang QM, Xu CC, Zhang XX. Objective assessment of comprehensive optical quality among adults at different ages. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi* 2016;52(1):47-50.