# A sutureless technique for securing leaking sclerotomies with viscoelastic substances in 23-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery

Meng Li<sup>1</sup>, Quan-Yong Yi<sup>2</sup>, Jing-Hai Mao<sup>2</sup>, Yan-Hong Liao<sup>2</sup>, Yan-Yan Wang<sup>2</sup>, Qin-Kang Lu<sup>3</sup>, Yan Gong<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Health Science Center, Ningbo University, Ningbo 315211, Zhejiang Province, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Ningbo Eye Hospital, Ningbo 315042, Zhejiang Province, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, The Affiliated People's Hospital of Ningbo University, Ningbo 315040, Zhejiang Province, China

**Correspondence to:** Yan Gong. Department of Ophthalmology, Ningbo Eye Hospital, Ningbo 315042, Zhejiang Province, China. 18906627688@189.cn

Received: 2023-01-11 Accepted: 2023-03-03

# Abstract

• AIM: To introduce and evaluate the clinical efficacy of a new technique, the use of viscoelastic substances (VS) to close leaking sclerotomy in 23G microincision vitrectomy, and to observe its effect on the visual acuity and intraocular pressure (IOP) of patients.

• **METHODS:** Patients who underwent 23G vitrectomy in Ningbo Eye Hospital before the use of VS technique (June 2019 to September 2020) and after the use of VS technique (October 2020 to December 2021) were selected as the subjects of this study. The above cases underwent operation by the same surgeon and were retrospectively analyzed. VS technique was used as the alternative to suturing, in which a small amount of VS was injected at the leaking sclerotomy and then gently massaged to confirm leaking sclerotomy closure.

• **RESULTS:** A total of 174 eyes were covered in the study, including 84 eyes in the control group (before the use of VS technique) and 90 eyes in the VS technique group. The number of eyes that needed to be sutured decreased considerably from 42.9% in the control group to 3.3% in the VS technique group, and the proportion of subconjunctival hemorrhage at 1-2d after surgery decreased remarkably from 35.7% in the control group to 2.2% in the VS technique group. No substantial differences in the incidence of mean IOP and low IOP were found between 1-2 and 3-20d after

surgery in the VS technique group. No major complications associated with VS technique were identified during the study.

• **CONCLUSION:** In 23G microincision vitrectomy, VS technique is a safe, simple, and effective method to close leaking sclerotomy.

• **KEYWORDS:** vitrectomy surgery; leaking sclerotomy; 23-gauge; sutureless technique; viscoelastic substances; intraocular pressure

## DOI:10.18240/ijo.2023.05.09

**Citation:** Li M, Yi QY, Mao JH, Liao YH, Wang YY, Lu QK, Gong Y. A sutureless technique for securing leaking sclerotomies with viscoelastic substances in 23-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2023;16(5):730-735

## INTRODUCTION

inimally invasive vitreoretinal surgery has developed rapidly since O'Malley and Sr Heintz<sup>[1]</sup> developed the first transscleral three-channel 20-gauge vitrectomy system in the early 70s. Microincision vitrectomy surgery has many advantages, such as short operation time<sup>[2]</sup>, low inflammation of the anterior and posterior segments of the eye after surgery<sup>[3]</sup>, no conjunctival cicatrix after surgery<sup>[4-5]</sup>, and reduced dry eyes after surgery<sup>[6]</sup>, which improve the comfort of patients after surgery. However, various complications follow, such as bleeding from the incision, hyperplasia of incision tissue, and vitreoretinal traction<sup>[7-9]</sup>. Moreover, leaking sclerotomy is prone to postoperative hypotony, and sclera-free closure also leads to a higher risk of endophthalmitis after microincision vitrectomy surgery than traditional vitrectomy<sup>[10]</sup>. Suture is the most conventional treatment for the postoperative leaking sclerotomies<sup>[11]</sup>. However, sutures exacerbate the postoperative inflammatory response and increase the patients' discomfort owing to the local hyperplasia of suture knots. It is recommended that highly myopia, history of previous vitrectomy, history of eye trauma, and the absence of tamponade increase the need

| Variable  | Control group ( <i>n</i> =84) | New technique group ( <i>n</i> =90) | Р     |  |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Age, y, mean±SD                                 | 61.43±5.32                    | 62.42±6.32                          | 0.266 |  |
| Sex, male, <i>n</i> (%)                         | 33 (39.3)                     | 35 (38.9)                           | 0.957 |  |
| Study eye, right, n (%)                         | 42 (50)                       | 44 (48.9)                           | 0.884 |  |
| logMAR <sup>a</sup> visual acuity, mean±SD      | 1.59±0.75                     | 1.57±0.81                           | 0.886 |  |
| Lens status, <i>n</i> (%)                       |                               |                                     |       |  |
| Phakic  | 57 (67.9)                     | 62 (68.9)                           | 0.884 |  |
| Pseudophakic                                    | 27 (32.1)                     | 28 (31.1)                           |       |  |
| Intraocular pressure, mean±SD; range            | 12.5±5.76; 5.8-30.9           | 11.6±4.22; 5.7-25.7                 | 0.333 |  |
| Hypotony <sup>b</sup> before operation, $n$ (%) | 1/84 (1.2)                    | 1/90 (1.1)                          | 0.961 |  |

<sup>a</sup>logMAR visual acuity values based on Holladay and Schulze-Bonsel *et al* with counting fingers=2, hand motion=2.3, light perception=2.7, and no light perception=3<sup>[20-21]</sup>. <sup>b</sup>Hypotony was defined as intraocular pressure less than 6 mm Hg.

for suturing<sup>[12-14]</sup>. Substitutes for suturing include application of tissue adhesives<sup>[15-16]</sup>, conjunctival cauterization<sup>[17-18]</sup>, and scleral needling<sup>[19]</sup>. Nonetheless, each of these techniques have associated limitations in terms of to effectiveness, availability of materials, and excess damage.

In this paper, a new method is introduced to close leaking sclerotomies with 23-gauge vitrectomy combined with intraocular gas filling with viscoelastic substances. Then, the effectiveness of the new method as an alternative for the closure of incisions is assessed.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

**Ethical Approval** The research adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ningbo Eye Hospital Ethics Board (2019-qtky-14). All patients received clear information about the study and signed a written informed consent. No stipend was applied through the study.

**Research Design** This program is a retrospective comparative analysis. All cases were from Ningbo Eye Hospital and underwent operation by the same vitreoretinal surgeon (Gong Y) in Ningbo, China. A retrospective comparative analysis was made on the cases who underwent 23-gauge vitrectomy combined with intraocular gas filling in the control group (before the introduction of the viscoelastic substances technique, June 2019 to September 2020) and the new technique group (after the introduction of the viscoelastic substances technique, October 2020 to December 2021). Comparative data included the incidence of postoperative hypotony and other related complications. Preoperative data included the patients' age, gender, operative eye, best-corrected visual acuity, and lens status. The data obtained during the operation included the details of surgery, the number and location of sutured sclerotomies, and the use of viscoelastic substances technique. Complications, such as hypotony, subconjunctival hemorrhage, and endophthalmitis during days 1 to 2 and days 3 to 20 after surgery, were retrospectively analyzed, and the pooled data were compared.

Surgical Techniques In the control group, a small amount of balanced salt solution was dropped at the incision site to check the incision closure after removal of the trocar cannula postsurgery. Poor closure was indicated by the presence of bubbles at the incision, which was then massaged with a cotton swab and pressure was applied. If the incision still did not close well, the operation was repeated and extended if necessary. If the wound still could not be closed, the leaking sclerotomy was closed with sutures. In the new technique group, a small amount of viscoelastic substances was injected at the incision immediately after removal of the trocar cannula post-surgery. This was followed by gentle massage with a cotton swab for several seconds. Then, a small amount of balanced salt solution was dropped at the incision site to check the incision closure. This procedure could be repeated 2-3 times while reducing the eyelid opener and relieving eyeball pressure. If leakage was detected after three repetitions, the incision was sutured. Otherwise, the incision was considered closed. Videos of the surgical technique are provided as supplementary materials.

**Statistics Analysis** The incidences of postoperative hypotony and other postoperative complications were compared between the control group and new technique group using Chi-square test. Other variables, including postoperative intraocular pressure and best-corrected visual acuity, were described by standard deviation and compared using independent sample *t*-test. Descriptive statistics are used to reflect the demographic and surgical details of the patients. SPSS software version 25.0 (SPSS, Inc., USA) was used in all statistical analyses. P<0.05 was considered significantly different.

## RESULTS

A total of 174 eyes, 84 were from the control group and 90 were from the new technique group, that underwent pars plana vitrectomy during the 30-month study period were reviewed and included in this study (Table 1)<sup>[20-21]</sup>. The sample consisted of 39.1% (68/174) men with a mean age of 61.94. The preoperative lens status was phakic in 68.4% (119/174),

#### A sutureless technique for 23-G vitrectomy

## Table 2 Operative details for the control group and the new technique group

| Variable  | Control group ( <i>n</i> =84) | New technique group ( <i>n</i> =90) | Р                   |  |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Tamponade type, n (%)   |                               |                                     |                     |  |
| Air   | 58 (69.0)                     | 62 (68.9)                           | 0 0 0 2 2           |  |
| Perfluoropropane ( $C_3F_8$ )   | 26 (31.0)                     | 28 (31.1)                           | 0.982               |  |
| Additional intraoperative procedures, n (%)                               |                               |                                     |                     |  |
| Phacoemulsification combined with pars plana lensectomy                   | 28 (33.3)                     | 31 (34.4)                           |                     |  |
| No additional intraoperative procedures                                   | 56 (66.7)                     | 59 (65.6)                           | 0.877               |  |
| Closure technique for at least one of three sclerotomy sites, n (%)       |                               |                                     |                     |  |
| No closure required   | 48 (57.1)                     | 34 (37.8)                           | 0.011 <sup>e</sup>  |  |
| Suture closure <sup>c</sup>   | 36 (42.9)                     | 3 (3.3)                             | <0.001 <sup>e</sup> |  |
| Viscoelastic substances closure <sup>d</sup>                              | -                             | 53 (58.9)                           | -                   |  |
| No. of eyes requiring suture at one or more sites, n (%)                  |                               |                                     |                     |  |
| 1 suture site   | 13 (15.5)                     | 1 (1.1)                             | -                   |  |
| 2 suture sites  | 6 (7.1)                       | 0                                   | -                   |  |
| 3 suture sites  | 17 (20.2)                     | 2 (2.2)                             | -                   |  |
| No. of eyes requiring viscoelastic substances at one or more sites, n (%) | )                             |                                     |                     |  |
| 1 viscoelastic substances site  | -                             | 14 (15.6)                           | -                   |  |
| 2 viscoelastic substances sites   | -                             | 16 (17.8)                           | -                   |  |
| 3 viscoelastic substances sites   | -                             | 23 (25.6)                           | -                   |  |

<sup>c</sup>At least one suture required for one of the sclerotomies irrespective of viscoelastic substances closure required for other sites in each eye. <sup>d</sup>At least viscoelastic substances required for one of the sclerotomies and no sites requiring sutures in each eye. <sup>e</sup>*P*<0.05.

| Table 3 Postoperative | e details for the | control group a | and the new t | echnique group |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|

| Variable                                   | Control group ( <i>n</i> =84) | New technique group ( <i>n</i> =90) | Р                   |  |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Postoperative days 1-2                     |                               |                                     |                     |  |
| Intraocular pressure, mean±SD; range       | 16.28±5.54; 4.23-32.22        | 16.12±3.56; 5.78-27.66              | 0.82                |  |
| Hypotony <sup>b</sup> , <i>n</i> (%)       | 4 (4.8)                       | 0                                   | 0.036               |  |
| logMAR <sup>a</sup> visual acuity, mean±SD | 1.971±0.765                   | 1.651±0.678                         | 0.004 <sup>e</sup>  |  |
| Subconjunctival hemorrhage, n (%)          | 30 (35.7)                     | 2 (2.2)                             | <0.001 <sup>e</sup> |  |
| Postoperative days 3-20                    |                               |                                     |                     |  |
| Intraocular pressure, mean±SD; range       | 14.13±5.63; 2.78-27.12        | 14.54±4.16; 9.89-28.33              | 0.653               |  |
| Hypotony <sup>b</sup> , <i>n</i> (%)       | 2 (3.4)                       | 0                                   | 0.147               |  |
| logMAR <sup>a</sup> visual acuity, mean±SD | 1.37±0.559                    | 1.38±0.684                          | 0.931               |  |
| Subconjunctival hemorrhage, n (%)          | 9 (15.5)                      | 0                                   | 0.001 <sup>e</sup>  |  |

<sup>a</sup>logMAR visual acuity values based on Holladay and Schulze-Bonsel *et al* with counting fingers=2, hand motion=2.3, light perception=2.7, and no light perception=3<sup>[20-21]</sup>. <sup>b</sup>Hypotony was defined as intraocular pressure less than 6 mm Hg. <sup>e</sup>P<0.05.

intraocular lens in 31.6% (55/174), and the preoperative mean intraocular pressure was 12.01 mm Hg. The differences between the two groups were not statistically remarkable. In addition to 23-gauge vitrectomy, phacoemulsification combined with intraocular lens implantation was performed in 33.9% (59/174) cases.

In control group, 42.9% (36/84) of the eyes and 30.2% (76/252) of leaking sclerotomies eventually required sutures to close, with 27.4% (23/84) of all eyes requiring more than one site sutured as shown in Table 2. By contrast, in the new technique group, the number of eyes and leaking sclerotomies requiring sutures were significantly reduced to 3.3% (3/90, P<0.001) and 2.6% (7/270, P<0.001) respectively. In the new technique group, 58.9% (53/90) of the eyes required closure

of incisions with viscoelastic substances, with 41.5% (39/94) of eyes required closure of more than one leaking sclerotomy with viscoelastic substances.

The postoperative intraocular pressure during days 1 to 2 (P=0.82), days 3 to 20 (P=0.653) was not significantly between the control group and new technique group (Table 3)<sup>[20-21]</sup>. Hypotony did not occur in the new technique group within the first two days after surgery, which indicates that the result of the new technique group was better than that in the control group (P=0.036). No significant difference was also observed between the two groups between days 3 to 20 after surgery (P=0.147). The visual acuity of the new technique group during days 1 to 2 after surgery was significantly better than that of the control group (P=0.004). The two groups had

no significant differences during days 3 to 20 after surgery (P=0.931). The incidences of subconjunctival hemorrhage in clinical examination during days 1 to 2 and days 3 to 20 after surgery in the new technique group were significantly lower than those in the control group (P<0.001, P=0.001). No other complications associated with viscoelastic substances were noted during the study period.

Postoperative days 3 to 20 data not available for 26 and 30 patients assigned to the control group and the new technique group, respectively.

## DISCUSSION

The research is a retrospective study of the use of viscoelastic substances technique to close leaking sclerotomies after 23-gauge vitrectomy. According to our results, with the introduction of the viscoelastic substances technique and incorporation into surgical practice, the number of eyes that required suture for closure decreased from 42.9% to 3.3%, with the incidence of hypotony during days 1 to 2 after surgery was 0, which was considerably better than that in the control group. Moreover, no remarkable difference in the incidence of hypotony was found during days 3 to 20 after surgery compared with the control group. According to our study, the incidence of hypotony with viscoelastic substances technique during days 1 to 2 after surgery was 0, which was considerably lower than that of hypotony after small-gauge sclerostomy  $(3.8\%-6.5\%)^{[12,22]}$ . Notably, there were three cases in new technique group that had leaking sclerotomy which could not be closed by the viscoelastic substances and were eventually closed through sutures. These cases were all highly myopia or had eye trauma. This result means that the eye axis of highly myopia eyes is too long and the eyeball wall is weak. The poor seal of the eyeball caused by the previous injury was the possible reason for the failure of viscoelastic substances technique. In addition, we also found that in the cases of intraoperative basal vitreous resection that was relatively incomplete, which result in a small number of vitreous incarcerations in leaking sclerotomy, the incisions often have better closure. For example, eyes which lens had to be preserved in surgery to prevent intraoperative damage to the lens, or eyes which had diabetic retinopathy surgery to avoid iatrogenic tears that lead to retinal detachment all likely to have better closure.

The viscoelastic substances itself is a transparent macromolecular colloidal agent with certain adhesive, sterile, non-toxic, and non-antigenic properties<sup>[23-24]</sup>. It has good biocompatibility and has the functions of maintaining anterior chamber depth, protecting corneal endothelial cells, preventing intraoperative bleeding, and separating adhesions<sup>[25-29]</sup>. At present, it is widely used in many ophthalmic microsurgeries, such as phacoemulsification, penetrating keratoplasty, and



Figure 1 Comparison of postoperative anterior segment photographs A: Suture closure; B: Viscoelastic substances closure.

ocular trauma. With the help of three characteristics of the viscoelastic substances, first of which is macromolecular colloidal feature, the second is its good biocompatibility with the human eye, and the third is its good adhesion, the leaking sclerotomies could be closed. The mechanism of wound closure in this method may be the blocking of the sclerotomy sites, thus preventing intraocular gas loss, maintaining intraocular pressure.

The viscoelastic substances technique is easy to use. It greatly shortens the surgery time, reduces conjunctival injury, mitigates postoperative inflammation, and improves patients' comfort. Abouanmoh *et al*<sup>[30]</sup> reported a method to close incision through using balanced salt solution at the edge of the scleral tunnel. In their study, the postoperative incidence of hypotony was 1.32%. However, no control group was established in their study. Felfeli et al<sup>[19]</sup> reported a method of sealing incisions by scleral needle technique. Although, in their study, the incidence of postoperative subconjunctival hemorrhage was higher, the viscoelastic substances method did not cause secondary damage to the eyeball. Subconjunctival hemorrhage was not observed in our cases (Figure 1). Nevertheless, the use of viscoelastic substances is limited when subconjunctival hemorrhage or subconjunctival hematoma occurs during surgery, because the edge of the scleral tunnel would be difficult to find if the surgical field is blurred, and the conjunctiva at the leaking sclerotomy might need to be cut. Furthermore, when treating patients with fragile eyeball walls, this technique may not seal the incision well and require suture for closure. There were other types of tissue glues used to close conjunctival incisions reported previously<sup>[31]</sup>, which can be subdivided into synthetic tissue glues and biologic tissue glues. The synthetic tissue glues are nonbiodegradable, which may induce an inflammatory foreign body reaction. The biologic

tissue glues (*e.g.*, fibrin-based glues) are biodegradable and induce less inflammation. But these fibrin glues use human thrombin, this blood product may lead to the risk of disease transmission and anaphylactic reaction. In addition, it is very complex to prepare the fibrin-based glues. Compared with these tissue glues, viscoelastic substances are non-toxic and non-antigenic, which can reduce discomfort and the risk of allergy in patients, therefore improve safety. A limitation of our study was the small sample size. Due to various factors, some patients did not adhere to follow-up after surgery; as a result, not all complications were recorded completely.

In summary, our retrospective study shows that the suture rate of leaking sclerotomies decreased from 42.9% to 3.3% after the introduction of viscoelastic substances technique. Moreover, the proposed method had no noticeable negative impacts on intraocular pressure and postoperative visual acuity recovery. The results indicated that viscoelastic substances technique is an effective, simple, and safe method for the sutureless sealing of leaking sclerotomy in microincision vitrectomy surgery.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

**Foundations:** Supported by General Class A of the Zhejiang Medical and Health Science and Technique Plan Project in 2020 (No.2020ky288); Zhejiang Provincial Science and Technique Program of Traditional Chinese Medicine (No.2021ZB268); Health Science and Technique Program of Zhejiang Province (No.2021PY073); Yinzhou District Agriculture and Social Development Field Science and Technique Project (No.2021AS0058; No.2020AS0080).

Conflicts of Interest: Li M, None; Yi QY, None; Mao JH, None; Liao YH, None; Wang YY, None; Lu QK, None; Gong Y, None.

## REFERENCES

- O'Malley C, Sr Heintz RM. Vitrectomy with an alternative instrument system. *Ann Ophthalmol* 1975;7(4):585-588,591-594.
- 2 Hikichi T, Matsumoto N, Ohtsuka H, Higuchi M, Matsushita T, Ariga H, Kosaka S, Matsushita R. Comparison of one-year outcomes between 23- and 20-gauge vitrectomy for preretinal membrane. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2009;147(4):639-643.e1.
- 3 Ma JL, Wang Q, Niu HY. Comparison of 27-gauge and 25-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery for the treatment of vitreoretinal disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Ophthalmol* 2020; 2020:6149692.
- 4 Lin Z, Feng XF, Zheng LY, Moonasar N, Shen LJ, Wu RH, Chen F. Incidence of endophthalmitis after 23-gauge pars plana vitrectomy. *BMC Ophthalmol* 2018;18(1):16.
- 5 Zhang T, Wei YT, Zhang ZT, Chi W, Feng LJ, Xiang W, Wang L, Fang D, Shi YH, Zhang SC. Intraoperative choroidal detachment during small-gauge vitrectomy: analysis of causes, anatomic, and visual outcomes. *Eye (Lond)* 2022;36(6):1294-1301.
- 6 Mikalauskiene L, Grzybowski A, Zemaitiene R. Ocular surface changes

associated with ophthalmic surgery. J Clin Med 2021;10(8):1642.

- 7 Lai JM, Patel V, Watane A, Fils AJ, Pakravan P, Huang CY, Patel N, Sridhar J, Yannuzzi NA. Mechanical property comparison of 23-, 25-, and 27-gauge vitrectors across vitrectomy systems. *Ophthalmol Retina* 2022;6(11):1001-1008.
- 8 Schadlu R, Shah GK. Early postoperative intraocular pressure after 23-gauge sutureless small-incision pars plana vitrectomy. *Retina* 2009;29(7):1043-1044.
- 9 López-Guajardo L, Pareja-Esteban J, Teus-Guezala MA. Oblique sclerotomy technique for prevention of incompetent wound closure in transconjunctival 25-gauge vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2006;141(6):1154-1156.
- 10 Bisorca-Gassendorf L, Boden KT, Szurman P, Al-Nawaiseh S, Rickmann A, Januschowski K. Postoperative endophthalmitis im spiegel der literatur. *Ophthalmologe* 2021;118(3):210-218.
- 11 Duval R, Hui JM, Rezaei KA. Rate of sclerotomy suturing in 23-gauge primary vitrectomy. *Retina* 2014;34(4):679-683.
- 12 Sedova A, Steiner I, Matzenberger RP, Georgopoulos M, Scholda C, Kriechbaum KF, Abela-Formanek C, Mylonas G, Sacu S, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Pollreisz A. Comparison of safety and effectiveness between 23-gauge and 25-gauge vitrectomy surgery in common vitreoretinal diseases. *PLoS One* 2021;16(3):e0248164.
- 13 Horowitz S, Damasceno NA, Muralha F, Pereira MB, Maia M, Damasceno EF. Diathermy for 23-gauge sclerotomy: a functional and morphologic study to avoid ocular hypotony. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2019;13:1703-1710.
- 14 Bopp S, Kellner U. Pars plana vitrectomy. Klin Monbl Augenheilkd 2019;236(5):705-722.
- 15 Singh A, Hosseini M, Hariprasad SM. Polyethylene glycol hydrogel polymer sealant for closure of sutureless sclerotomies: a histologic study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2010;150(3):346-351.e2.
- 16 Batman C, Ozdamar Y, Mutevelli S, Sonmez K, Zilelioglu G, Karakaya J. A comparative study of tissue glue and vicryl suture for conjunctival and scleral closure in conventional 20-gauge vitrectomy. *Eye (Lond)* 2009;23(6):1382-1387.
- 17 Boscia F, Besozzi G, Recchimurzo N, Sborgia L, Furino C. Cauterization for the prevention of leaking sclerotomies after 23-gauge transconjunctival pars plana vitrectomy: an easy way to obtain sclerotomy closure. *Retina* 2011;31(5):988-990.
- 18 Benitez-Herreros J, Lopez-Guajardo L, Vazquez-Blanco M, Opazo-Toro V, Silva-Mato A. Evaluation of mechanical closure resistance of sutureless vitrectomy sclerotomies after conjunctival cauterization with bipolar diathermy forceps. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2016;254(3):489-495.
- 19 Felfeli T, Altomare F, Mandelcorn ED. Sutureless closure of 23- and 25-gauge leaking sclerotomies with the scleral needling technique. *Retina* 2020;40(5):838-844.
- 20 Holladay JT. Proper method for calculating average visual acuity. J Refract Surg 1997;13(4):388-391.
- 21 Schulze-Bonsel K, Feltgen N, Burau H, Hansen L, Bach M. Visual

acuities "hand motion" and "counting fingers" can be quantified with the Freiburg visual acuity test. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006;47(3):1236-1240.

- 22 Seyyar SA, Saygılı O, Tıskaoğlu NS. Intraocular pressure outcomes after 23-G vitreoretinal surgery with two different transconjunctival sutureless sclerotomy techniques: vertical versus tunnel entry. *Int Ophthalmol* 2022;42(6):1763-1769.
- 23 Serra M, Casas A, Toubarro D, Barros AN, Teixeira JA. Microbial hyaluronic acid production: a review. *Molecules* 2023;28(5):2084.
- 24 Valachová K, Volpi N, Stern R, Soltes L. Hyaluronan in medical practice. *Curr Med Chem* 2016;23(31):3607-3617.
- 25 Liesegang TJ. Viscoelastic substances in ophthalmology. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1990;34(4):268-293.
- 26 Zhang ZY, Liu SJ, Xie F, Jiang B, Sun M, Sun DW. Comparison of viscoelastic substance injection versus air filling in the anterior chamber during foldable capsular vitreous body (FCVB) implant surgery: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Adv Ther*

2021;38(9):4859-4871.

- 27 Borkenstein AF, Borkenstein EM, Malyugin B. Ophthalmic viscosurgical devices (OVDs) in challenging cases: a review. *Ophthalmol Ther* 2021;10(4):831-843.
- 28 Koçluk Y, Kasım B, Sukgen EA. Use of viscoelastic substance for preventing Descemet's membrane rupture in deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty. *Arq Bras Oftalmol* 2021;84(3):230-234.
- 29 Ren YP, Zhao ZL, Shao YM, Waller SG, Jhanji V, Chen W. Viscoelastic-assisted non-descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty in vitrectomized and iris-lens diaphragm injured eyes. *Eye Contact Lens* 2015;41(6):398-402.
- 30 Abouammoh MA, Abouammoh MA, Gale JS, Arevalo JF, Sharma S. A novel technique for securing sclerotomies in 20-gauge transconjunctival pars Plana vitrectomy: surgical outcomes and complications in 529 consecutive cases. *Retina* 2016;36(5):974-980.
- 31 Panda A, Kumar S, Kumar A, Bansal R, Bhartiya S. Fibrin glue in ophthalmology. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2009;57(5):371-379.